

No. 18-9563 (and other cases listed inside cover)

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT**

SPRINT CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

CITY OF NEW YORK,

Intervenor - Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents,

CITY OF BOWIE, MARYLAND, et al.,

Intervenors - Respondents.

On Petition for Review of an Order of
the Federal Communications Commission

RESPONDENTS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TRANSFER

Makan Delrahim
Assistant Attorney General
Robert B. Nicholson
Adam D. Chandler
Attorneys
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20530

Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.
General Counsel
David M. Gossett
Deputy General Counsel
Jacob M. Lewis
Associate General Counsel
Scott M. Noveck
Counsel
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554
(202) 418-1740
fcclitigation@fcc.gov

[Caption Continued from Front Cover]

No. 18-9566

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents,

THE CITY OF SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Intervenors - Respondents.

No. 18-9567

PUERTO RICO TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC.,

Petitioner,

CITY OF NEW YORK,

Intervenor - Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents,

THE CITY OF SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Intervenors - Respondents.

[Caption Continued from Previous Page]

No. 18-9568

THE CITY OF SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Petitioners,
CITY OF NEW YORK,
Intervenor - Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondents,
CTIA—THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION, et al.,
Intervenors - Respondents.

No. 18-9571

CITY OF SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, et al.,
Petitioners,
CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Intervenors - Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondents.

[Caption Continued from Previous Page]

No. 18-9572

CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH,

Petitioner,

THE CITY OF SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Intervenors - Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) and the United States of America respectfully oppose the San Jose Petitioners’ motion to transfer these cases, which seek review of the FCC’s Declaratory Ruling and Third Report & Order, *Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment*, --- FCC Rcd. ---, FCC 18-133 (rel. Sept. 27, 2018) (*September Order*).

Under the judicial lottery procedures of 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a), the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation designated this Court as the forum to decide any petitions for review of the *September Order*. San Jose misreads federal law as requiring transfer to the Ninth Circuit after the Judicial Panel randomly assigned all six qualifying petitions, filed in four different circuits, to this Circuit. San Jose insists that these cases must be transferred to the Ninth Circuit because it contends that the *September Order* is “the same order” as the FCC’s *August Order*,¹ which has been challenged in the Ninth and Eleventh Circuits. That premise

¹ Third Report & Order and Declaratory Ruling, *Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment*, 33 FCC Rcd. 7705 (rel. Aug. 3, 2018) (*August Order*), *pets. for review pending*, *City of Portland v. FCC*, No. 18-72689 (9th Cir. filed Oct. 2, 2018), and *Am. Elec. Power Servs. Corp. v. FCC*, No. 18-14408 (11th Cir. filed Oct. 18, 2018).

is incorrect: The *August Order* and the *September Order* are separate standalone orders that were adopted by separate votes on separate documents at separate times based on differing records (with over 700 additional record submissions for the *September Order*), and the two orders each address separate and discrete subjects. San Jose also has not shown that transfer is necessary for the convenience of the parties or in the interest of justice. To the contrary, while San Jose and Seattle filed petitions for review in the Ninth Circuit, municipalities located in eleven of twelve regional circuits filed separate petitions in the Fourth, Eighth, and D.C. Circuits, belying any argument that the Ninth Circuit is uniquely situated to hear this case. In short, there is no reason why this Court, which often hears cases involving the Communications Act, should transfer the consolidated petitions. The motion to transfer should be denied.

1. The *September Order* was released on September 27, 2018, and a summary was published in the Federal Register on October 15, 2018. See 83 Fed. Reg. 51867. Any party aggrieved by an FCC order may file a petition for review in the federal courts of appeals. 28 U.S.C. §§ 2342(1), 2344; 47 U.S.C. § 402(a). Separate petitions for review of the *September*

Order have been filed in the First, Second, Fourth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and D.C. Circuits. *See* Addendum A (listing petitions).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a), when petitions for review of an FCC order are filed in multiple circuits and date-stamped copies are served on the agency within ten days after issuance of the order, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation conducts a judicial lottery to designate one court of appeals, from among those receiving qualifying petitions, in which the record is to be filed. 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(1)–(3). All petitions for review challenging that order must then be transferred to the court in which the record is filed. *Id.* § 2112(a)(5).

Petitions qualifying for the judicial lottery were filed in the First, Second, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits, and the Judicial Panel randomly selected this Circuit as the court in which the record is to be filed. *See* Consolidation Order, *In re FCC*, MCP No. 155 (J.P.M.L. Nov. 2, 2018). Because the Tenth Circuit has been designated as the court in which the record is to be filed, “[a]ll courts in which proceedings are instituted with respect to the same order”—here, the *September Order*—“shall transfer those proceedings to” this Court, and this Court thereafter can transfer the petitions to another circuit only “[f]or the convenience of the parties in the interest of justice.” 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(5).

Given the Judicial Panel's designation of this Court to review all challenges to the *September Order*, the First, Second, and Ninth Circuits transferred the petitions initially filed in those circuits to this Court. Separately, other petitions for review of the *September Order* (that were filed after or did not qualify for the judicial lottery) have been filed in the Fourth,² Eighth,³ Ninth,⁴ and D.C. Circuits.⁵ The FCC has filed unopposed motions to transfer the petitions filed in the Fourth and D.C. Circuits to this Court, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(5), and will soon be moving to transfer the petitions recently filed in the Eighth and Ninth Circuits (which were just received this morning). The Fourth Circuit has already granted the FCC's motion and ordered its case transferred to this Circuit.

² *Montgomery County v. FCC*, No. 18-2448 (4th Cir. filed Dec. 5, 2018) (order granting transfer to Tenth Circuit issued December 13, 2018).

³ *City of N. Little Rock v. FCC*, No. 18-3678 (8th Cir. filed Dec. 14, 2018).

⁴ *City & Cnty. of S.F. v. United States*, No. 18-73376 (9th Cir. filed Dec. 14, 2018).

⁵ *AT&T Servs., Inc. v. FCC*, No. 18-1294 (D.C. Cir. filed Oct. 25, 2018); *Am. Pub. Power Ass'n v. FCC*, No. 18-1305 (D.C. Cir. filed Nov. 15, 2018); *City of Austin v. FCC*, No. 18-1326 (D.C. Cir. filed Dec. 11, 2018); *City of Eugene v. FCC*, No. 18-1330 (D.C. Cir. filed Dec. 12, 2018).

2. a. San Jose is incorrect that these cases should be transferred to the Ninth Circuit because the *September Order* “can be treated as the same order” (Mot. 5) as the *August Order*. Nothing in the orders supports that claim. The two orders are separate, standalone documents. The orders were considered and adopted at separate times—indeed, nearly eight weeks apart—with the Commissioners casting separate (and differing) votes on each order. Separate documents that are adopted at separate times by separate action are presumed not to be the same order. *See, e.g., Far East Conference v. Fed. Mar. Comm’n*, 337 F.2d 146, 148 n.1 (D.C. Cir. 1964) (maritime orders adopted at different times and directed to different trade routes and commodities “cannot be considered the ‘same order’ within the meaning of” 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a) even if the orders are substantially identical); *Midwest Video Corp. v. United States*, 362 F.2d 259, 260–61 (8th Cir. 1966) (per curiam).

The *August Order* and the *September Order* likewise do not constitute “the same order” because they each address separate and discrete subjects. The *August Order* addresses federal pole attachment rules under 47 U.S.C. § 224, *August Order* ¶¶ 13–139, and state and local moratoria on new wireline and wireless infrastructure through explicit or *de facto* refusals to allow deployment, *id.* ¶¶ 140–168. The *September*

Order, by contrast, does not relate to either state or local moratoria or pole attachment rules, nor does it apply to wireline facilities. Rather, it addresses three discrete subjects in the specific context of small wireless facilities: fees and charges assessed by state and local governments, *September Order* ¶¶ 43–80; aesthetic requirements and similar issues, *id.* ¶¶ 81–91; and timelines for state and local authorizations, *id.* ¶¶ 103–147. The fact that the *September Order* addresses different subjects from the *August Order* further supports the conclusion that it is not “the same order” under 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(5). *See Mobil Oil Expl. Co. v. FERC*, 814 F.2d 1001, 1003 (5th Cir. 1987) (per curiam) (denying motion to transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 2112 where orders were “issued by the same regulatory body under the same statutory authority” but addressed different subjects); *Midwest*, 362 F.2d at 260–61 (denying motion to transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 2112 because “[i]t is apparent that the second order presents issues not raised by the first order” and that “jurisdiction to review the first order does not carry with it jurisdiction to review the second order”).

This case thus presents the opposite situation from *American Electric Power Services Corp. v. FCC*, No. 18-14408 (11th Cir.) (*AEP*), in which the FCC has moved to transfer a challenge to the *August Order*

that was filed in the Eleventh Circuit to be consolidated with an earlier challenge to the *August Order* that was filed in the Ninth Circuit.⁶ (The Eleventh Circuit has not yet acted on that motion as of this filing.) In that case, the petitions for review in the Ninth and Eleventh Circuits challenged *literally* “the same order”: Each challenged aspects of a single document adopted at a single time by a single vote of the Commission. Here, by contrast, San Jose’s petition challenges a *different* order that was adopted at a separate time in a separate vote on a separate standalone document.

b. San Jose insists that these two separate orders must be treated as “the same order” because they “are associated with the same dockets [and] arise out of the same administrative record” (Mot. 5). But San Jose then concedes in a footnote (Mot. 5 n.2) that the administrative records are *not* in fact the same, because the record before the Commission when it adopted the *August Order* necessarily closed upon that order’s adoption in early August, whereas the record underlying the *September Order* did

⁶ The challenges to the *August Order* were not filed within the ten-day window for a judicial lottery, so challenges to the *August Order* must be transferred to the circuit in which the first petition for review was filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(1), (5).

not close until late September. *See Bar MK Ranches v. Yuetter*, 994 F.2d 735, 739 (10th Cir. 1993) (judicial review of an agency decision is “based on the full administrative record that was before [the agency] at the time of the decision”).

Contrary to San Jose’s representation that the two orders “arise out of the same administrative record” (Mot. 5), in the nearly eight weeks between the adoption of the *August Order* and the adoption of the *September Order*, interested parties made more than 700 additional submissions to the FCC. *See* Addendum B (list of additional record submissions). And, indeed, the *September Order* discusses and relies on numerous letters and other submissions that were submitted to the agency after the release of the *August Order*, and thus are not part of the record that will be filed with the court reviewing the *August Order*. *See, e.g., September Order* nn.246–247, 253, 296.

Nor do these two separate orders become “the same order” simply because they were cross-filed in the same agency dockets (Mot. 5)—an omnibus docket for wireline infrastructure issues and an omnibus docket for wireless infrastructure issues. While the two orders were cross-filed in the same two agency dockets, the lead docket for each order is

different, reflecting their different subjects.⁷ In any event, the FCC routinely issues multiple separate orders under a given agency docket, and those separate orders are often reviewed by separate circuits. Here, for example, an earlier order in the same wireless infrastructure docket as the *September Order* was adopted in March and is currently under review by the D.C. Circuit. See Second Report & Order, *Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment*, --- FCC Rcd. ---, FCC 18-30 (rel. Mar. 30, 2018) (*March Order*), *pets. for review pending*, *United Keetowah Band of Cherokee Indians in Okla. v. FCC*, Nos. 18-1129 et al. (D.C. Cir. filed May 9, 2018). If San Jose were correct that separate orders associated with the same agency docket must be treated as “the same order,” then it should be seeking transfer to the D.C. Circuit, not the Ninth Circuit—but no party takes that position.

⁷ The *August Order* addresses subjects that are of similar significance to both traditional wireline and wireless service alike, so the lead docket listed on that order is the wireline docket (WC Docket No. 17-84). The *September Order* focuses principally on obstacles to wireless infrastructure deployment, so the lead docket listed on that order is the wireless docket (WT Docket No. 17-79). This is also why the two orders each contain sections labeled “Third Report and Order”: The *August Order* is the Third Report and Order in the wireline docket, whereas the *September Order* is the Third Report and Order in the wireless docket.

San Jose's claim that the *August Order* and the *September Order* are the same order rests (Mot. 4–5) primarily on an unpublished and inapposite D.C. Circuit order concerning challenges to two FCC orders that were adopted *on the same day* as part of “a single agency undertaking.” *Bell Atl. Tel. Cos. v. FCC*, 1996 WL 734326, at *1 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 25, 1996). In deciding to treat those orders as “the same order,” the D.C. Circuit addressed the unusual situation where two closely related orders were considered and adopted together based on an identical agency record. That unusual situation is not present here, because the separate orders at issue were considered and adopted separately at separate times and because the record relied on by the *September Order* contains hundreds of submissions that are not part of the record underlying the *August Order*.⁸

⁸ For similar reasons, San Jose errs (Mot. 5) in citing *American Civil Liberties Union v. FCC*, 486 F.2d 411 (D.C. Cir. 1973) (*ACLU*). In that case, the court found that challenges to several orders that “represent the staggered implementation of a single, multi-faceted agency undertaking” in a “prolonged and complex proceeding” should be consolidated because separate review would “result[] in the action of the agency being subjected to fragmentary review by different courts.” *Id.* at 414. There is no prospect of “fragmentary review” here, because the two orders address different subjects, nor can the two separate wireless and wireline dockets be characterized as part of a single “prolonged and complex proceeding.”

3. San Jose also briefly argues (Mot. 6–8) for a discretionary transfer “for the convenience of the parties in the interests of justice” under the second sentence of 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(5), but it has not shown any compelling reason for transfer here. Notably, the array of petitioners challenging the *September Order* do not even take a uniform view that the Judicial Panel’s selection of this Court is inconvenient for the parties; indeed, several petitioners oppose transfer.

“The only significant convenience factor which affects petitioners seeking review of rulemaking on an agency record is the convenience of counsel who will brief and argue the petitions,” because “[r]eview is confined to the agency record” and the parties themselves need not appear in court. *United Steelworkers of Am. v. Marshall*, 592 F.2d 693, 697 (3d Cir. 1979). While the San Jose Petitioners are located in the Ninth Circuit, their counsel is based in the District of Columbia. Even more notably, while the Seattle Petitioners are located in the Ninth Circuit, their lead counsel is based in Denver. And the same Denver-based counsel is also lead counsel for a group of intervenors that includes the Colorado Communications and Utility Alliance, an association of 57

local government entities throughout Colorado.⁹ To the extent San Jose contends that the Ninth Circuit would be more convenient simply because some petitioners are located in that circuit, granting its request would needlessly inconvenience the parties and their counsel who are located in *this* Circuit.

Other parties and their counsel are located throughout the country, including other petitioners who sought review in this Court or other circuits. San Jose does not contend that transfer to the Ninth Circuit would appreciably advance the convenience of these other parties, and it may even inconvenience them; indeed, several of these petitioners oppose transfer to the Ninth Circuit. And though San Jose contends that “states and their subdivisions’ interests are far more affected by this Order than are the interests of other petitioners” (Mot. 8), courts have “made clear that ‘it is inappropriate to compare the relative aggrievement’” of parties challenging the order when considering a transfer motion. *Oil, Chem. & Atomic Workers Local Union No. 6-418 v. NLRB*, 694 F.2d 1289, 1300

⁹ See *City of Bakersfield et al. Motion for Leave to Intervene* at 2 n.1, *City of Seattle v. FCC*, No. 18-9571 (10th Cir. filed Nov. 23, 2018) (describing the Colorado Communications and Utility Alliance and its members).

(D.C. Cir. 1982) (quoting *Liquor Salesmen’s Union Local 2 v. NLRB*, 664 F.2d 1200, 1206 (D.C. Cir. 1981)). San Jose’s preference to challenge the *September Order* in the Ninth Circuit does not override the operation of the judicial lottery mechanism or deprive other aggrieved parties of their right to seek review in another forum.

None of the issues addressed in the *September Order* are in any way specific to the Ninth Circuit. The *September Order* established nationwide rules governing the deployment of small wireless facilities across the country. While San Jose and Seattle filed their petitions for review in the Ninth Circuit, other municipalities filed similar petitions in the Fourth, Eighth, and D.C. Circuits—including a joint petition in the D.C. Circuit filed by municipalities located in eleven of the twelve regional circuits. See *City of Austin v. FCC*, No. 18-1326 (D.C. Cir. filed Dec. 11, 2018) (filed on behalf of municipalities in the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Eleventh, and D.C. Circuits).

Nor is there any merit to San Jose’s claim (Mot. 6-7) that the interest of justice requires that the two orders be heard by the same court to ensure consistent outcomes. Although both orders involve, among other issues, the Commission’s interpretation of the “effective

prohibition” language in Sections 253 and 332(c)(7) of the Communications Act, they apply that interpretation in wholly distinct contexts. *Cf. Mobil Oil*, 814 F.2d at 1003 (holding that “[t]hese similarities are not such * * * as to advise or compel a transfer” where two orders used the same statutory authority to address different issues). And while the *September Order* contains a handful of citations to the *August Order*, it does not rely on the *August Order* for any of its legal conclusions. Most of these citations appear in string cites as but one of several authorities for a well-established legal proposition; some simply describe actions that the Commission has taken in the past to provide historical background; and yet others *distinguish* matters addressed in the *August Order*.

That the *September Order* does not rely on the *August Order* is underscored by footnotes 79 and 103 of the *September Order*, in which the Commission acknowledged that several parties have petitioned for agency reconsideration of the *August Order* and explained that the determinations in the *September Order* did not require the Commission to resolve the petitions for reconsideration (which remain pending). The Commission thus made clear that the *September Order* stands on its own, and will continue to stand even if the petitions for reconsideration lead it

to modify or rescind the *August Order*. And just as the *September Order* would stand if the Commission were to reconsider or rescind the *August Order*, so too the *September Order* would stand even if a court were to vacate the *August Order*. There is thus no reason to expect the Ninth Circuit's disposition of challenges to the *August Order* to require any particular outcome on review of the *September Order*—or vice versa, should this Court decide the challenges to the *September Order* before the Ninth Circuit acts¹⁰—and thus no inconsistency in allowing the two different orders to be reviewed by two different courts.

Finally, San Jose suggests (Mot. 7) that this case should be in the Ninth Circuit because it wishes to rely on a prior Ninth Circuit case addressing 47 U.S.C. §§ 253 and 332(c)(7). But it neglects to mention that this Court has also issued a series of decisions interpreting those same statutory provisions. *See, e.g., T-Mobile Cent., LLC v. Unified Gov't of Wyandotte Cnty.*, 546 F.3d 1299 (10th Cir. 2008); *Qwest Corp. v. City of Santa Fe*, 380 F.3d 1258 (10th Cir. 2004); *RT Commc'ns, Inc. v. FCC*,

¹⁰ The Commission has moved to hold the Ninth Circuit's review of the *August Order* in abeyance until the agency rules on the petitions for reconsideration of that order. If the Ninth Circuit grants that motion, that would only further diminish any argument for transfer.

201 F.3d 1264 (10th Cir. 2000). There is thus no reason to think the Ninth Circuit has any greater facility with those statutory provisions than this Court—assuming that were even a relevant consideration. *Cf. Am. Pub. Gas Ass’n v. Fed. Power Comm’n*, 555 F.2d 852, 857–58 & n.3 (D.C. Cir. 1976) (per curiam) (rejecting similar argument because “[i]mplicit in the argument is a concept of specialized circuits and panels for certain types of cases, a suggestion we have previously rejected”). It would hardly be in the interests of justice to saddle the Ninth Circuit with a sprawling and potentially unmanageable case involving separate Commission decisions relating to pole attachments, infrastructure deployment moratoria, state and local fees, aesthetic requirements, and timelines for state and local authorizations.

CONCLUSION

The motions to transfer should be denied.¹¹

Dated: December 17, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott M. Noveck

Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.
General Counsel

David M. Gossett
Deputy General Counsel

Jacob M. Lewis
Associate General Counsel

Scott M. Noveck
Counsel

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554
(202) 418-1740
fcclitigation@fcc.gov

Makan Delrahim
Assistant Attorney General

Robert B. Nicholson
Adam D. Chandler
Attorneys

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
950 PENNSYLVANIA Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20530

*Counsel for Respondent
United States of America*

*Counsel for Respondent Federal
Communications Commission*

¹¹ The Court has also asked the FCC to “address whether transfer is permissible before the agency record is filed.” 11/30/18 Order at 4. In the FCC’s view, once the Judicial Panel has issued a consolidation order designating the court in which the record is to be filed, there is no good reason to postpone the disposition of any transfer motions until the record is officially filed.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME LIMIT

Certificate of Compliance With Type-Volume Limitation, Typeface Requirements and Type Style Requirements

1. This document complies with the type-volume limit of Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(2)(A) because, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(f):
 - ☒ this document contains 3,574 words, *or*
 - ☐ this document uses a monospaced typeface and contains _____ lines of text.
2. This document complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because:
 - ☒ this document has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2016 in 14-point Century Schoolbook, *or*
 - ☐ this document has been prepared in a monospaced spaced typeface using _____ with _____.

/s/ Scott M. Noveck
Scott M. Noveck
Counsel for Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF DIGITAL SUBMISSION

I hereby certify that with respect to the foregoing document:

1. All required privacy redactions have been made per Tenth Circuit Rule 25.5.
2. If required to file additional hard copies, the ECF submission is an exact copy of those documents.
3. The digital submissions have been scanned for viruses with the most recent version of a commercial virus scanning program, Cylance PROTECT Version 2.0.1500.18 (current as of December 17, 2018), and according to the program are free of viruses.

/s/ Scott M. Noveck

Scott M. Noveck

Counsel for Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 17, 2018, I caused the foregoing Opposition to Motion to Transfer to be filed with the Clerk of Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit using the electronic CM/ECF system. I further certify that all participants in the case, listed below, are registered CM/ECF users and will be served electronically by the CM/ECF system.

/s/ Scott M. Noveck

Scott M. Noveck

Counsel for Respondents

Service List:

Christopher J. Wright
Elizabeth Austin Bonner
HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
cwright@wiltshiregrannis.com
abonner@hwglaw.com
*Counsel for Petitioner/Intervenor
Sprint Corporation*

Henry Weissmann
MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON
350 South Grand Avenue
50th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
henry.weissmann@mto.com
*Counsel for Petitioner/Intervenor
Verizon Communications, Inc.*

[Service List Continued from Previous Page]

Jonathan Meltzer
MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON
1155 F Street, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20004
jonathan.meltzer@mtol.com
*Counsel for Petitioner/Intervenor
Verizon Communications, Inc.*

Megan L. Brown
Jeremy J. Broggi
WILEY REIN
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
mbrown@wileyrein.com
jbroggi@wileyrein.com
*Counsel for Petitioner Puerto Rico
Telephone Company, Inc.*

Joseph Leonard Van Eaton
BEST BEST & KRIEGER
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 5300
Washington, DC 20006
joseph.vaneaton@bbkllaw.com
*Counsel for Petitioners/Intervenors
The City of San Jose,
California, et al.*

Gail A Karish
BEST BEST & KRIEGER
300 South Grand Avenue
25th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
gail.karish@bbkllaw.com
*Counsel for Petitioners/Intervenors
The City of San Jose,
California, et al.*

Kenneth S. Fellman
KISSINGER & FELLMAN
3773 Cherry Creek North Drive
Ptarmigan Place, Suite 900
Denver, CO 80209
kfellman@kandf.com
*Counsel for Petitioners City of
Seattle, Washington, et al. and
Intervenors City of Coconut
Creek, Florida, et al.*

Robert Carroll May III
TELECOM LAW FIRM
3570 Camino del Rio North
Suite 102
San Diego, CA 92108
tripp@telecomlawfirm.com
*Counsel for Petitioners
League of Oregon Cities et al.
and Intervenors City of
Bakersfield, California, et al.*

[Service List Continued from Previous Page]

Michael J. Vigliotta
Scott Franklin Field
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH
2000 Main Street, P.O. Box 190
Huntington Beach, CA 92648
mvigliotta@surfcity-hb.org
sfield@surfcity-hb.org

*Counsel for Petitioner
City of Huntington Beach*

MacKenzie Fallow
NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
100 Church Street, Room 6-200
New York, NY 10007
mfallow@law.nyc.gov

*Counsel for Intervenor
City of New York*

Jennifer P. Bagg
Susannah J. Larson
HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
jbagg@hwglaw.com
slarson@hwglaw.com

*Counsel for Intervenor
Competitive Carriers
Association*

Tillman L Lay
Jeffrey Michael Bayne
SPIEGEL & MCDIARMID
1875 Eye St., NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
tim.lay@spiegelmc.com
jeffrey.bayne@spiegelmc.com

*Counsel for Intervenor
City of Eugene, Oregon, et al.*

Joshua Scott Turner
Sara Baxenberg
WILEY REIN
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
jturner@wileyrein.com
sbaxenberg@wileyrein.com

*Counsel for Intervenor
CTIA—The Wireless Association*

Thomas Scott Thompson
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-3401
scottthompson@dwt.com

*Counsel for Intervenor Wireless
Infrastructure Association*

[Service List Continued from Previous Page]

Robert Nicholson
Adam D. Chandler
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ANTITRUST DIVISION
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530
robert.nicholson@usdoj.gov
adam.chandler@usdoj.gov
*Counsel for Respondent United
States of America*

ADDENDUM A

Petitions for Review of the *September Order*

Tenth Circuit:

- *Sprint Corp. v. FCC*, No. 18-9563 (10th Cir. filed Oct. 25, 2018)
- *Verizon Communications, Inc. v. FCC*, No. 18-9566 (10th Cir. docketed Nov. 2, 2018) (originally filed Oct. 25, 2018)
- *Puerto Rico Telephone Co. v. FCC*, No. 18-9567 (10th Cir. docketed Nov. 5, 2018) (originally filed Oct. 25, 2018)
- *City of San Jose v. FCC*, No. 18-9568 (10th Cir. docketed Nov. 7, 2018) (originally filed Oct. 24, 2018)
- *City of Seattle v. FCC*, No. 18-9571 (10th Cir. docketed Nov. 7, 2018) (originally filed Oct. 24, 2018)
- *City of Huntington Beach v. FCC*, No. 18-9572 (10th Cir. docketed Nov. 7, 2018) (originally filed Oct. 24, 2018)

Fourth Circuit:

- *Montgomery County v. FCC*, No. 18-2448 (4th Cir. filed Dec. 5, 2018) (order granting transfer to the Tenth Circuit issued December 13, 2018)

Eighth Circuit:

- *City of North Little Rock v. FCC*, No. 18-3678 (8th Cir. filed Dec. 14, 2018) (motion to transfer to the Tenth Circuit to be filed)

Ninth Circuit:

- *City & County of San Francisco v. United States*, No. 18-73376 (9th Cir. filed Dec. 14, 2018) (motion to transfer to the Tenth Circuit to be filed)

D.C. Circuit:

- *AT&T Services, Inc. v. FCC*, No. 18-1294 (D.C. Cir. filed Oct. 25, 2018) (unopposed motion to transfer to the Tenth Circuit filed November 13, 2018)
- *American Public Power Ass'n v. FCC*, No. 18-1305 (D.C. Cir. filed Nov. 15, 2018) (consolidated with No. 18-1294 and subject to pending motion to transfer to the Tenth Circuit)
- *City of Austin v. FCC*, No. 18-1326 (D.C. Cir. filed Dec. 11, 2018) (consolidated with No. 18-1294 and subject to pending motion to transfer to the Tenth Circuit)
- *City of Eugene v. FCC*, No. 18-1330 (D.C. Cir. filed Dec. 12, 2018) (consolidated with No. 18-1294 and subject to pending motion to transfer to the Tenth Circuit)

ADDENDUM B**List of Additional Record Submissions**

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
8/3/2018	Alexis Pipkins	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108031036606166
8/3/2018	Anthony Trapchak	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803205926787
8/3/2018	Braden Pace	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080365199517
8/3/2018	brett marsh	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080334825948
8/3/2018	Cara Pace	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803931011736
8/3/2018	Charles Hannah	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803157116635
8/3/2018	Chris O'Shea	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803438107784
8/3/2018	Christopher Beattie	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108031983508576
8/3/2018	Christopher Seal	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108031099505919
8/3/2018	Daniel Akins	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108031824607095
8/3/2018	Dean Robitaille	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108031264211605
8/3/2018	Doris Hudson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803018822823
8/3/2018	Doug Tanner	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803022857856
8/3/2018	Dwayne Williams	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803922418089
8/3/2018	Eric Henry	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080391233659
8/3/2018	Esther bishop	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803148419547
8/3/2018	Grant Welch	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108032027809202
8/3/2018	Ian Brown	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803277919958
8/3/2018	James DANIELS	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803258618968
8/3/2018	Jasok Guillotte	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803878018364
8/3/2018	Jason McGrath	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803084401035
8/3/2018	JEROME WESTER	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803087045073
8/3/2018	Jessica Baldwin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803251910284
8/3/2018	Joseph Ward	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080381549267

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
8/3/2018	Keith Hunter	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803019182391
8/3/2018	Lawrence Smith	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108032405906177
8/3/2018	Mao Mooney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803900405208
8/3/2018	Mary Johnson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080348921101
8/3/2018	Mike Koenig	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080386719609
8/3/2018	Mitchell Henderson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080345657016
8/3/2018	Oscar Cardenas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803112045619
8/3/2018	paul merrill	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080383582972
8/3/2018	Robby Pace	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803515229126
8/3/2018	Robert Lamoureux	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803628107336
8/3/2018	Robert Pollock	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803738312982
8/3/2018	Samuel Choc	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080393027033
8/3/2018	Tamar Hernandez	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080342234626
8/3/2018	Terry Dugan	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080346606351
8/3/2018	Tim Childres	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803289421517
8/3/2018	Tom Kounnas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080303812056
8/3/2018	Venson Smith	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108032283004118
8/3/2018	Virginia Massey	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803529017452
8/3/2018	Wade Kilgore	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803109806023
8/3/2018	William White	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10803134647297
8/6/2018	AT&T Services, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10806085432193
8/6/2018	Ben King	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080471508038
8/6/2018	Carolyn A. Prince	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10806464901215
8/6/2018	David Roetman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108061356213194
8/6/2018	Paul Grohman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080469937753
8/6/2018	Timothy Leslie	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10805064135720
8/7/2018	City of Austin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10807670805455
8/7/2018	Terry Alexander	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108071369724733

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
8/8/2018	Association of American Railroads	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10808116114407
8/8/2018	Girard Moore	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108080700704484
8/8/2018	Jonathan Daniels	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1080802329749
8/8/2018	Shannon Palmer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108082890812211
8/9/2018	Lawana Mayfield	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10810152514196
8/9/2018	Public Knowledge	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10809269611247
8/10/2018	American Tower Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1081010935734
8/10/2018	Angela Fox	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108102593520654
8/10/2018	AT&T Services, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10810886708363
8/10/2018	Crown Castle	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10810312521593
8/10/2018	CTIA and Wireless Infrastructure Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10810298508690
8/10/2018	Public Knowledge	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10810250879708
8/10/2018	Verizon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10810285875669
8/13/2018	American Tower Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10813699626528
8/13/2018	Commissioner Sal Pace	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1081323736598
8/13/2018	Karon Gubbrud	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10812212018992
8/13/2018	NCTA - The Internet & Television Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1081301353921
8/13/2018	Senator Duane Ankney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10813638017316
8/13/2018	Sprint	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108130888126150
8/13/2018	Stratus Networks	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082282509043
8/17/2018	INCOMPAS	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1081710079256
8/17/2018	Office of General Counsel	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/081718591571
8/17/2018	tester	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108171783901988
8/20/2018	Benjamin L. Yousef	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108200039605529
8/20/2018	City of Palo Alto, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108200187221825
8/20/2018	NCTA - The Internet & Television Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108202002411328
8/20/2018	Northern Dakota County Cable Communications Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10820019832278

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
8/21/2018	City of Mukilteo	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082161533759
8/21/2018	Diamond Communications LLC	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10821216037970
8/21/2018	Frank Scammell	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108212969027478
8/21/2018	Southwest Suburban Cable Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10821943716239
8/22/2018	City of Portland, Oregon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10822136229600
8/22/2018	City of San Jose, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108221650207848
8/22/2018	Jeff Bohm	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10822015184963
8/22/2018	Uniti Fiber	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108222913714761
8/23/2018	City of Bloomington, Minnesota	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082333657951
8/23/2018	Mayor and Council of Rockville	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082300946978
8/23/2018	Verizon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108231242818450
8/24/2018	Andrew Thompson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082492178054
8/24/2018	Douglas County, Colorado	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082416288870
8/27/2018	John King	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108270595820025
8/27/2018	Prysmian Group	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10825634717632
8/27/2018	Wireless Internet Service Providers Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10827118627616
8/28/2018	Jason Kaiman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10828142445623
8/28/2018	Vermeer Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10829248354634
8/29/2018	Cheryl Weisheit	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1082926310151
8/29/2018	Corning Incorporated	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10829149623610
8/30/2018	City of Lincoln, Nebraska	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1083065780631
8/30/2018	City of St. Louis Park, Minnesota	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10830111857503
8/30/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10831104660066
8/30/2018	Kimberly Dudik, Jody Thomas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1083025005914
8/30/2018	Mark Gorman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108302206313216
8/30/2018	Mark Gorman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108300171902717
8/30/2018	Mark Gorman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10830640427462
8/30/2018	Michael C. Taylor	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10830132734077

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
8/30/2018	Nokia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10830228301910
8/30/2018	Skyway Towers	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108301086805673
8/30/2018	Wireless Infrastructure Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108300290817489
8/31/2018	Brian Hill	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1083114849253
8/31/2018	National Coalition on Black Civic Participation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/108312889422413
9/4/2018	American Council of the blind	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109041635307239
9/4/2018	City of San Jose, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090486618420
9/4/2018	Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/0904173198781
9/4/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10904166307418
9/4/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090486871515
9/4/2018	david roetman;	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10904518300320
9/4/2018	Marin Telecommunications Agency	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10906253119752
9/4/2018	Michael C. Levine	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090447719071
9/4/2018	National Caucus and Center on Black Aging	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10904097802078
9/4/2018	Niraj Antani	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090414176472
9/4/2018	Smart Communities,Special Districts Coalition	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10904323720005
9/4/2018	The City of New York - DoITT	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10904196886754
9/5/2018	Corning Incorporated	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10905352013311
9/5/2018	LGBT Technology Partnership	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10905072215911
9/5/2018	Marcella Gadson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090687144517
9/5/2018	National Hispanic Council on Aging	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10905899708505
9/5/2018	United Spinal Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10905130766650
9/5/2018	Verticom	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109053070717503
9/5/2018	Wireless Telecommunications Bureau	https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-353962A1.pdf
9/6/2018	Candace Waterman, Women Impacting Public Policy	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10906146386745
9/6/2018	City of Lake Forest	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10906122815390

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/6/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090610768719
9/6/2018	Jean Rasch	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090667360935
9/6/2018	NTCA-The Rural Broadband Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1090618571908
9/6/2018	Smart Communities and Special Districts Coalition	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10906119432986
9/6/2018	Smart Communities Coalition, National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, National League of Cities, U.S. Conference of Mayors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10906149012775
9/6/2018	Sprint	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10906010428904
9/7/2018	City of Lincoln, Nebraska	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10907831811895
9/7/2018	The Village of Greendale, WI	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109072339701081
9/10/2018	American Tower Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091087755014
9/10/2018	Dan Shaul	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10910035431765
9/10/2018	LOIS HANSEN	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10910560125092
9/10/2018	LOIS HANSEN	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10910261430352
9/10/2018	NCTA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109102404924504
9/10/2018	Scottsville Magisterial District of Albemarle County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109100508625895
9/10/2018	Smart Communities and Special Districts Coalition	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109100401318205
9/10/2018	Wallowa County Board of Commissioners	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109102299418558
9/10/2018	Wireless Infrastructure Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10911494304791
9/10/2018	Wireless Internet Service Providers Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109100680518364
9/10/2018	Wireline Competition Bureau	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/0910235611003
9/11/2018	American Tower Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10911205646147
9/11/2018	Fred. A Lamphere, Butte County Sheriff	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10911976528715
9/11/2018	Massachusetts Municipal Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10911732627893

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/11/2018	Wireless Internet Service Providers Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091159287620
9/12/2018	City of College Park, Mayor Patrick Wojahn	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109121797010716
9/12/2018	Elaine Unger, John Unger	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913026262618
9/12/2018	H davis	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091370882185
9/12/2018	H davis	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913034198758
9/12/2018	Jean Rasch	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10912802712480
9/12/2018	Kristi Lentz	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109132355309239
9/12/2018	Lonnie Gilbert	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091391748532
9/12/2018	Mike Posey, City of Huntington Beach	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091224380285
9/12/2018	Mobilitie, LLC	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10912394120932
9/12/2018	Noah A. Simon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10912565921335
9/12/2018	Noah A. Simon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10912443205763
9/12/2018	NTCA-The Rural Broadband Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10912284299091
9/12/2018	Robert Brunson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091211518071
9/12/2018	Steven, Wade	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091266384982
9/12/2018	Town of Culpeper, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091263539922
9/13/2018	American Tower Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913130903242
9/13/2018	Charles Martin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109131147510232
9/13/2018	Cindy Sage, MA, Sage Associates	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913417829818
9/13/2018	City Attorney's Office, Casper, Wyoming	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109130321721302
9/13/2018	City of Coshocton	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091328495462
9/13/2018	city of north port	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913068970864
9/13/2018	City of Wickliffe, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913108759087
9/13/2018	Condux International, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913052283969
9/13/2018	County of Prince George, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913181280369
9/13/2018	Dave Molidor	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109130143207895
9/13/2018	Genna Biddix	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109132680024793
9/13/2018	Jeanice Barcelo	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914046724667

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/13/2018	Julie Conrad	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091398910618
9/13/2018	Keith Mays	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091388006311
9/13/2018	Kiel Mangus, City of Manhattan	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913776904233
9/13/2018	Kirstin Beatty	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109132083118760
9/13/2018	LOIS HANSEN	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091305019409
9/13/2018	LOIS HANSEN	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109131379814346
9/13/2018	Marilynne Martin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091306834579
9/13/2018	Mark Graham	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091356675313
9/13/2018	Mark Graham	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091317920434
9/13/2018	North Royalton Ward 3 City Councilman Dan Langshaw	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109132922823558
9/13/2018	Richard A. Edwards, Mayor	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913949116785
9/13/2018	Richard K. Mavis, Mayor	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913393015823
9/13/2018	Susan Nine	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913074430733
9/13/2018	Tony Tollner	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091358142474
9/13/2018	Village of Bremen, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10913306959215
9/13/2018	Village of New Concord, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091383797707
9/14/2018	Alison Fox Mazzola	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914229595112
9/14/2018	City of McAllen, Texas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914587204491
9/14/2018	City of Virginia Beach, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091401886241
9/14/2018	Collins L. Owens, Jr.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109142692017503
9/14/2018	David Meyer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109142247815877
9/14/2018	Illinois Municipal League	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109141386713461
9/14/2018	Jean R Rasch	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914671816071
9/14/2018	Jean Rasch	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109182870815497
9/14/2018	Kentucky League of Cities	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091406763815
9/14/2018	Lynn Stull, Lead Organizer for Oconomwoc for Safe Technology, UA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109141704015320

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/14/2018	Mark Farina	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914175157705
9/14/2018	Mark Wahl	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914452717939
9/14/2018	Mary Burton	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914712721430
9/14/2018	Mayor Mary Ann Lefker	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109142540700335
9/14/2018	Mayor Pauline Russo Cutter, City of San Leandro	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109142791916008
9/14/2018	Paul laura	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914216702289
9/14/2018	Paul R Albrecht	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109142585810129
9/14/2018	Rep. Jason Saine	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109141963801467
9/14/2018	Richard J. Schuettler, Executive Director, PA Municipal League	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914085228943
9/14/2018	Soula Culver	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091481564246
9/14/2018	Stephanie Austin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109140834613444
9/14/2018	The Honorable Joe McComb	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091499825036
9/14/2018	Town of Ashland, VA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091423580569
9/14/2018	Town of Culpeper, VA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914537421004
9/14/2018	TracFone Wireless, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109140137818978
9/14/2018	Village of Mariemont	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10914012406784
9/14/2018	William Murdock, Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109140369829775
9/14/2018	Wireless Infrastructure Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091440207880
9/17/2018	17-84	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091701455247
9/17/2018	17-84	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170240324244
9/17/2018	Adam T. Van Dyke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091713416466
9/17/2018	Adam T. Van Dyke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091783040228
9/17/2018	Alan Jones	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918051420367
9/17/2018	Alan Jones	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918803118305
9/17/2018	Alan/Joanne Herren	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10916220186074
9/17/2018	Alan/Joanne Herren	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091619729043

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/17/2018	Ann H Mallek	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180816704803
9/17/2018	Apex Towers	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917403725583
9/17/2018	April Blake	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091798651375
9/17/2018	Arlene F.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917240143591
9/17/2018	Barry Lerner	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181092725471
9/17/2018	Bart Balmer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918885324951
9/17/2018	Battista Adamo	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917035259230
9/17/2018	Battista Adamo	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917292326794
9/17/2018	Bert J. Goodrich	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917273653088
9/17/2018	Bonnie E MCMURRY	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917284967112
9/17/2018	Bonnie E MCMURRY	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172846928658
9/17/2018	Bonnie E MCMURRY	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917090171206
9/17/2018	Bonnie e McMurry	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917073664463
9/17/2018	Bonnie e McMurry	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917815420590
9/17/2018	Bonnie e McMurry	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091790408754
9/17/2018	Brett Bucher	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917974016176
9/17/2018	Brian Riblet	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917038597024
9/17/2018	BRIAN V JARVIS	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109160096718963
9/17/2018	Bridey Matheney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917511226469
9/17/2018	C.L. Carlile	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109171302123883
9/17/2018	C.L. Carlile	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091704394075
9/17/2018	Carol Caywood	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10916158966914
9/17/2018	Carol Kuzdenyi,Tony Keppelman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10916501023550
9/17/2018	Cate Leger	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172272919826
9/17/2018	Cecile Leneman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091707889929
9/17/2018	Chris C. Foulke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091765460021
9/17/2018	Cincinnati Bell Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170897007271
9/17/2018	City of Clayton	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170857803427

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/17/2018	City of Dublin, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091720491755
9/17/2018	City of Everett WA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109150513901262
9/17/2018	Constance Anderson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918020123466
9/17/2018	Constance Anderson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180088429317
9/17/2018	Corinne Ashley Mayock Van Dyke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181702919287
9/17/2018	Corinne Ashley Mayock Van Dyke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109182420808693
9/17/2018	Cynthia Price	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091629399558
9/17/2018	Damon Connolly, President, Marin County (CA) Board of Supervisors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170053526020
9/17/2018	Damon Connolly, President, Marin County (CA) Board of Supervisors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091755359161
9/17/2018	Dan Newell	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170510423676
9/17/2018	David Adams	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917058769061
9/17/2018	David Scheffler, Mayor	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917633729061
9/17/2018	Debra Albus	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091702158379
9/17/2018	Debra Albus	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109171578316289
9/17/2018	Debra DeKam	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091714838406
9/17/2018	Delegate Kathy Byron	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917610706528
9/17/2018	Deloitte Consulting LLP	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917691803972
9/17/2018	Donna DeSanto Ott	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918180014576
9/17/2018	Dr. Mary Jane Ingui	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917137340217
9/17/2018	Dr. Sandra Ross	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918846609669
9/17/2018	DUANE PETERSON	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091800507684
9/17/2018	E. Sandra Nixon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917272609327
9/17/2018	E. Sandra Nixon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917687525395
9/17/2018	Eleanor Lyman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091636415936
9/17/2018	Ethan Pollack	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917792914577
9/17/2018	Ford Greene	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091759576296

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/17/2018	Ford Greene	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917074179912
9/17/2018	Frank Gonzales Jr.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091707798403
9/17/2018	Garril Page	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10915212701124
9/17/2018	Georgia Municipal Association, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917182210085
9/17/2018	Harrison Township	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917945803380
9/17/2018	Heather Dauler	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917130618864
9/17/2018	Helene Robertson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917757013434
9/17/2018	Helene Robertson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170631006968
9/17/2018	Jan Flanzer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917295609245
9/17/2018	Jeanine Deal	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917742709970
9/17/2018	Jeffrey Palm	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917145011304
9/17/2018	Jeffrey R. Palm	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917194922117
9/17/2018	jessica bucher	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172331017034
9/17/2018	jessica bucher	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091790330546
9/17/2018	Jessica Lerner	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091826135852
9/17/2018	Joan Capozzoli	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091753064320
9/17/2018	Joan Kaul	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091824648827
9/17/2018	Joan Kaul	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181168215987
9/17/2018	Joe Jensen	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109171907903523
9/17/2018	Johanna Finney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091701133738
9/17/2018	Johnson County, Iowa Planning, Development, and Sustainability Department	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917580712774
9/17/2018	Judy Aizuss	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091896729463
9/17/2018	Judy Aizuss	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918193008622
9/17/2018	Julie Van Balen	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917945425267
9/17/2018	Kate Kheel for Maryland Smart Meter Awareness	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918245556709
9/17/2018	Kathleen M. Sundmark,R. Paul Sundmark	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917507509335

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/17/2018	Ken Albert	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091775538535
9/17/2018	Kiah Bosy	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918079426494
9/17/2018	kim Burggraf,Paul Harris	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180281016261
9/17/2018	Kim Hahn	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917382521921
9/17/2018	Kim Hahn	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917616728399
9/17/2018	Kip J. Hudson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091665765801
9/17/2018	Kristen Byrne	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170503519883
9/17/2018	Kristin Dotterrer,Daniel Dotterrer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10916054753407
9/17/2018	League of California Cities	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917531330502
9/17/2018	Leah Spitzer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172198013084
9/17/2018	Leslie Rosenfeld	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10916988520688
9/17/2018	Lisa Mayock	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918304552746
9/17/2018	m thurman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917285216619
9/17/2018	Margaret Hall	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109162246827092
9/17/2018	Marin Lipowitz	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172116212781
9/17/2018	Mark Graham	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170285026377
9/17/2018	Mark Graham	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917580717306
9/17/2018	Mark Schwieterman,Donald Patterson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091771794321
9/17/2018	McKinley County New Mexico	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091782076806
9/17/2018	Mike Mayock	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180330003224
9/17/2018	Ms. Anahaar	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917292703072
9/17/2018	Nancy Scheidt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091537392109
9/17/2018	National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917465316626
9/17/2018	New Networks Institute,Irregularators	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918690818478
9/17/2018	Nicholas Ciappetta, Huntington Town Attorney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170457210303
9/17/2018	Nina Beety	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091787835748
9/17/2018	Nina Beety	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109171162407331

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/17/2018	Nina Beety	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170784130750
9/17/2018	Olemara Peters	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180369715910
9/17/2018	Olemara Peters	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918651007672
9/17/2018	Paige Clarke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091798615583
9/17/2018	Pamela A Ruth	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170044430323
9/17/2018	Pamela Menke	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091732573833
9/17/2018	Patricia Lesavoy, Ed.D.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180173307617
9/17/2018	Patricia Lesavoy, Ed.D.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918197977855
9/17/2018	Patricia R. Venza	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091693648414
9/17/2018	Pennsylvanians for Safe Technology	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917277109407
9/17/2018	Peter Donovan	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091896056969
9/17/2018	Phyllis Kirson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917230520171
9/17/2018	Phyllis Kirson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091718578494
9/17/2018	Phyllis Kirson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172654107097
9/17/2018	Rachel Gaunt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109171292906938
9/17/2018	Rachel Gaunt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172855802986
9/17/2018	Ray Meyers	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917289137824
9/17/2018	Reinette Senum	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091781695611
9/17/2018	Richard Cristdahl	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917193401400
9/17/2018	Rick Gordon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091677313498
9/17/2018	Robert Ernst	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109170568223127
9/17/2018	Robert Ernst	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091728313154
9/17/2018	Roberta Anthes	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918628314543
9/17/2018	Roberta Anthes	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091858665295
9/17/2018	S. Gregory	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172975926753
9/17/2018	S.B. Straus	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918984018003
9/17/2018	Samuel Case	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091873442538

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/17/2018	Sarah Aminoff, California Alliance for Safer Technology	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091715005886
9/17/2018	sharon Hamilton	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10916198894841
9/17/2018	Stephanie Falcone	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918029722777
9/17/2018	Stephanie Falcone	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181508521273
9/17/2018	Stephanie K Thomas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917197729578
9/17/2018	Stephanie K. Thomas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917122607629
9/17/2018	Stephen Phillip Romine	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917761210920
9/17/2018	Steven L. Schainker	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917212012369
9/17/2018	Steven Wasserman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109172655905959
9/17/2018	Steven Wasserman	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917234355057
9/17/2018	Susan Gage	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917211799932
9/17/2018	Susan Nine	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10915161218259
9/17/2018	Taras Lumiere	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917843124369
9/17/2018	thomas schnaidt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917885330165
9/17/2018	Tina Chow	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091719326762
9/17/2018	Toby Stover	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917597424848
9/17/2018	Vicki Gold	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10917282746499
9/17/2018	Victoria Sievers	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091616804532
9/17/2018	Wyoming Association of Municipalities	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091705276336
9/17/2018	you	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109182007102799
9/17/2018	you	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091870110858
9/17/2018	Zack Pelzel	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091856690205
9/18/2018	1	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091876557848
9/18/2018	Andrew Stone, Steve Patterson, City of Athens, OH	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918302337549
9/18/2018	Andy Leon Harney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918743004128
9/18/2018	Arlington County Virginia government	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181189029671

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/18/2018	Board of Stevens County Commissioners	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918290000607
9/18/2018	Bonnie Michael	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180598309279
9/18/2018	Bonnie Michael	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091871068085
9/18/2018	Brad J. Townsend	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091891740389
9/18/2018	Brian Humphress, on behalf of the Miami Valley Communications Council	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918717300261
9/18/2018	Brian Lazor, City of Mason	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091841047969
9/18/2018	Cheriel Jensen	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918383317370
9/18/2018	Chevy Chase Village	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918323604670
9/18/2018	Chris Vitolins	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918154205120
9/18/2018	Chris Vitolins	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091831222665
9/18/2018	Cindy Jones Mills	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091829401090
9/18/2018	City of Akron	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091811886255
9/18/2018	City of Beachwood	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918787922599
9/18/2018	City of Beavercreek, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918899010606
9/18/2018	City of Braidwood	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091849462795
9/18/2018	City of Brookville	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192059811909
9/18/2018	City of Chardon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918573230336
9/18/2018	City of Culver City	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180955611864
9/18/2018	City of Danville, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091807939762
9/18/2018	City of Delaware	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918279388213
9/18/2018	City of Gaithersburg	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091880906436
9/18/2018	City of Hilliard, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918732919802
9/18/2018	City of Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091875578853
9/18/2018	City of Kent, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091810619242
9/18/2018	City of Lorain Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091893395461
9/18/2018	City of Mukilteo, City of Bremerton, City of Mountlake Terrace, City of Kirkland, City of	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109183078308187

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
	Redmond, City of Issaquah, City of Lake Stevens, City of Richland	
9/18/2018	City of Newport, Minnesota	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091860405146
9/18/2018	City of Oberlin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091804758676
9/18/2018	City Of Pendleton, Oregon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10926088539553
9/18/2018	City of Piedmont	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918097503031
9/18/2018	City of Pismo Beach, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091896919825
9/18/2018	City of Rochester New York	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918434917028
9/18/2018	City of San Jose	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918161513154
9/18/2018	Clark County, NV	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091826561770
9/18/2018	Coalition of Local Internet Choice	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091888884850
9/18/2018	Colorado Communications and Utility Alliance (CCUA)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091806715499
9/18/2018	Communications Workers of America	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091924138490
9/18/2018	Consumers for Safe Cell Phones	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091888567788
9/18/2018	County of Louisa, Virginia, Jeffrey Ferrel, Christian Goodwin	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091872800549
9/18/2018	County of Yolo, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091857979484
9/18/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181129416342
9/18/2018	Debra March	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091912829733
9/18/2018	Denise Capobianco	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918476614018
9/18/2018	Denise Capobianco	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091812390104
9/18/2018	Diane Barber	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918144943421
9/18/2018	EMF Safety Network	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918052322900
9/18/2018	Eric Holmes, City Manager, City of Vancouver, WA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091830079966
9/18/2018	Government of Stafford County Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918378924797
9/18/2018	IRREGULATORS, New Networks Institute	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091879852059

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/18/2018	IRREGULATORS, New Networks Institute	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180323113314
9/18/2018	James M. Benster	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091873823526
9/18/2018	James M. Brown, Town of Poolesville	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091872773979
9/18/2018	Janet FitzGerald	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091895090054
9/18/2018	Janet FitzGerald	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091878003458
9/18/2018	Janine McNamara	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181765819703
9/18/2018	Jeffrey Arndt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919960423410
9/18/2018	Jeffrey Arndt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091944823061
9/18/2018	Jennifer Perez	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091964862387
9/18/2018	Jill Boudreau	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918140119228
9/18/2018	John Duffy	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918575527878
9/18/2018	John V. Cunard, Director	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091832677627
9/18/2018	John V. Cunard, Director	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918491321280
9/18/2018	Josh Cohn, Mayor	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091876394914
9/18/2018	Kate Kheel for Maryland Smart Meter Awareness	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918151883853
9/18/2018	Kate Reese Hurd	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181266422922
9/18/2018	Katie McAuliffe	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091877950336
9/18/2018	Kristen Byrne	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180100715722
9/18/2018	Kristin Moriarty-Termunde	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180161422148
9/18/2018	Lamont G. McClure, Northampton County Executive	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918299947818
9/18/2018	Leon Towarnicki, City of Martinsville, VA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918163720332
9/18/2018	Linda Dance	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918172896723
9/18/2018	Linda Dance, Raymond Stalker	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918146570272
9/18/2018	Ling Wang	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918678413490
9/18/2018	Margo Warminski, Cincinnati Preservation Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918035367648

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/18/2018	MARIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS AGENCY (MTA)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918964413670
9/18/2018	Mary Beth Brangan, EON	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918261393121
9/18/2018	Maryland Municipal League	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918268723794
9/18/2018	Max Ventura	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091842595075
9/18/2018	Max Ventura	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918177236910
9/18/2018	Mayor Allison Silberberg, City of Alexandria, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918601603989
9/18/2018	Mayor Andrew J. Ginther	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918117640267
9/18/2018	Mayor Tom Barrett, Ashanti Hamilton, Robert Bauman, Michael Murphy, Jose Perez, Terry Witkowski	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181161424056
9/18/2018	Montgomery County Chapter of the Maryland Municipal League	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091841909409
9/18/2018	Nan Whaley, Mayor of Dayton, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918397519290
9/18/2018	Nancy Joseph, John Joseph, brittany Joseph, alivia joseph, Nolan Joseph, Barb Bresson, Linda Kurz, Denise Pickett, Chantal Woods	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091875067115
9/18/2018	Natalie Ventrice	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918796510864
9/18/2018	Natalie Ventrice	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109182224103874
9/18/2018	Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109182484001351
9/18/2018	New York State Conference of Mayors and Municipal Officials	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918351622225
9/18/2018	North Dakota Association of Counties	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091873501366
9/18/2018	North Metro Telecommunications Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918339911030
9/18/2018	Northwest Suburbs Cable Communications Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091808789180
9/18/2018	Norton City Council	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918967122464

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/18/2018	NYC Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091989140436
9/18/2018	Ohio Municipal League	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091841732958
9/18/2018	paska gjonaj	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091885898022
9/18/2018	Paul Silver	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918295566037
9/18/2018	Peggy Heglund	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109181287004276
9/18/2018	Pennsylvanians Against Smart Meters	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091970274808
9/18/2018	Peter T Beaudry, II, Pierre Beaudry	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091805418396
9/18/2018	Peter Thomas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918135596811
9/18/2018	R Blake Crosby	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918006426994
9/18/2018	Rachael Arndt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091892704315
9/18/2018	Rachael Arndt	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918065678546
9/18/2018	Rebecca Carol Smith	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918281421169
9/18/2018	Rebecca Carol Smith	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918028586050
9/18/2018	REBECCA CAROL SMITH	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918445124182
9/18/2018	Renville County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918085330735
9/18/2018	Sarah Reilly	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918028917580
9/18/2018	Scott J Compton	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190164425295
9/18/2018	Scott J Compton	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192855630795
9/18/2018	Sharon Schrader	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918806602953
9/18/2018	Sheila Hemphill	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091831496113
9/18/2018	Sheila Pomaranski	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109193012913542
9/18/2018	Sheila Pomaranski	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919241890431
9/18/2018	SmartWorks Partners, Angela Stacy	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091941692836
9/18/2018	Southeast Council of Governments	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918162642523
9/18/2018	St. Lucie County, Florida	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918008515510
9/18/2018	Steven V. Ponto, Mayor City of Brookfield	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918559509826
9/18/2018	Terrie Burns	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091896211794

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/18/2018	The Ohio Mayors Alliance, Mayor John Cranley, Mayor Tim DeGeeter, Mayor Andrew Ginther, Mayor Don Patterson, Mayor Lydia Mihalik, Mayor Larry Mulligan Jr., Mayor Nan Whaley	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918304953038
9/18/2018	Todd Levent	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109180673630106
9/18/2018	Town of Cortland Illinois	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918140248078
9/18/2018	Village of Elk Grove Village, IL	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091864270016
9/18/2018	Wendi Sue	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918206634206
9/18/2018	West Valley City, Utah	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919902707967
9/18/2018	Winifred Thomas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10918674117105
9/19/2018	5G Americas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919867226618
9/19/2018	5G Americas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919278553404
9/19/2018	American Tower Corporation	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919177843629
9/19/2018	Andrew P. Fox	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919250823413
9/19/2018	Ann Lindstrom	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919429524114
9/19/2018	Association County Commissioners of Georgia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191360516070
9/19/2018	Association of Minnesota Counties	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919573013122
9/19/2018	AT&T Services, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192959226984
9/19/2018	Baker County, William Harvey, Mark Bennett, Burce Nichols	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919071767409
9/19/2018	Board of County Commissioners, Sublette County, Wyoming	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919058769514
9/19/2018	Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091977464598
9/19/2018	Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919236758421

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/19/2018	Bob Kunau,Tim Darrington,Paul Christensen,Board of Cassia County Idaho Commissioners	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190920603172
9/19/2018	Botetourt County, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920167715379
9/19/2018	Broward County, Florida	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919098025505
9/19/2018	Burnsville Mayor Elizabeth B. Kautz,City of Burnsville, Minn.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191494020042
9/19/2018	Butte County Board of Supervisors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920234361299
9/19/2018	California Emerging Technology Fund	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092045808349
9/19/2018	Centre County Goverment (PA)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091982359935
9/19/2018	Charles Glass	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091990144733
9/19/2018	Charles Iley	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191771906246
9/19/2018	City of Anna	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190885004928
9/19/2018	City of Brookville	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919042205711
9/19/2018	City of Chicago	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919756804686
9/19/2018	City of Chula Vista	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091934155301
9/19/2018	City of College Park, Georgia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092789854729
9/19/2018	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191209423210
9/19/2018	City Of Cortland	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191075614853
9/19/2018	City of Cuyahoga Falls	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191486426676
9/19/2018	City of Eugene, Oregon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919094010886
9/19/2018	City of Foster City	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192018521139
9/19/2018	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091979890429
9/19/2018	City of Hayward, CA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919241404693
9/19/2018	City of Kent	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919086526015
9/19/2018	City of Las Vegas, NV	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919199282424
9/19/2018	City of Lincoln, Nebraska	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091905860458
9/19/2018	City of Los Angeles, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091933119375

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/19/2018	City of McKinney, Texas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919175504165
9/19/2018	City of Medina, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192462330417
9/19/2018	City of Mount Vernon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192835511651
9/19/2018	City of Olmos Park	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919409411372
9/19/2018	City of Overland Park, Kansas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190549905790
9/19/2018	City of Palmdale	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092050227863
9/19/2018	City of Petaluma	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092052109816
9/19/2018	City of Rockville, Maryland	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190999203047
9/19/2018	City of Roseville, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109202537808947
9/19/2018	City of Santa Maria, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092095095564
9/19/2018	City of South St. Paul, Minnesota	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919078028111
9/19/2018	City Of Virginia Beach	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109272103221094
9/19/2018	City of Yuma, Arizona	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091932758263
9/19/2018	Colorado Communications and Utility Alliance (CCUA)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091966485794
9/19/2018	Commissioner Don Hodge, Judge Dan P. Joyce, Commissioner Larry Wilson, Malheur County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919624310681
9/19/2018	Competitive Carriers Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920265430210
9/19/2018	Cooke County, Texas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091967824895
9/19/2018	Councilman Bill Hollander	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919309631921
9/19/2018	County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919153585708
9/19/2018	County Legislator John Lightfoot	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091951915636
9/19/2018	County of Augusta, VA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919914706931
9/19/2018	County of Cumberland	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919167986612
9/19/2018	County of Fresno	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919691705898
9/19/2018	County of Imperial	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919908116253

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/19/2018	County of Monterey, CA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919335500999
9/19/2018	County of Sacramento	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920815418249
9/19/2018	County of San Diego	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919647103556
9/19/2018	County of Warren, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919235846284
9/19/2018	Crown Castle	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190528109841
9/19/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109201209314771
9/19/2018	Cty of San Antonio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109202756204608
9/19/2018	Dan Langshaw	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10927202782725
9/19/2018	Dane County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919111805711
9/19/2018	Dave Pine	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919135714229
9/19/2018	Diane J. Martinez	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919263913390
9/19/2018	Duchesne County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919168094217
9/19/2018	Eric Maxwell	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190565619987
9/19/2018	Erie County NY	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191556806555
9/19/2018	Gloucester County, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092058190290
9/19/2018	Granville County, North Carolina	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919160096176
9/19/2018	Greater Bexar County Council of Cities	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919417110800
9/19/2018	Harney County,Pete Runnels,Mark Owens,Patty Dorroh	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091979091600
9/19/2018	Hennepin County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919060501161
9/19/2018	Howard County, Maryland	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091967496576
9/19/2018	Hubert Beck	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919399206165
9/19/2018	Humboldt County, CA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920707125469
9/19/2018	INCOMPAS	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920007086830
9/19/2018	James E. Baker, City Manager, City of Chesapeake	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919970615961
9/19/2018	Jo Daviess County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091992148369
9/19/2018	Kaley Schultze	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919335902414

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/19/2018	Kimball County Nebraska	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919671111048
9/19/2018	Kimball County Nebraska	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919562528387
9/19/2018	Kurt Triplett, City Manager, City of Kirkland	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191802105422
9/19/2018	Latah County Planning Department	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919025549936
9/19/2018	Latinos in Information Sciences and Technology Association, Jose Marquez	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919487317899
9/19/2018	Los Angeles County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919246717985
9/19/2018	Loudoun County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919931717650
9/19/2018	Martin J. Bilek	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919720616768
9/19/2018	Mary B. Bunting, City Manager, City of Hampton, VA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192639324006
9/19/2018	Maryland Association of Counties (MACo)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919149008217
9/19/2018	Maureen Davey	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091958735124
9/19/2018	Mayor Greg Fischer, Louisville Metro Government	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919796409517
9/19/2018	Mayor Henry Wilson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919135208764
9/19/2018	Mayor John Cranley, City of Cincinnati	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919131524220
9/19/2018	Mayor Victoria Woodards	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919117530402
9/19/2018	Michael Dylan Brennan	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919486815220
9/19/2018	Monroe County, Florida	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919133249084
9/19/2018	Morgan County Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191063913927
9/19/2018	National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109193017613140
9/19/2018	National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, National League of Cities, United States Conference of Mayors, National Association of Counties, National Association of Regional Councils	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919028495631

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/19/2018	National Association of Towns and Townships	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192612023425
9/19/2018	National Rural Health Association,American Telemedicine Association,National Association of Rural Health Clinics	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919031725561
9/19/2018	NTCA-The Rural Broadband Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109200834905206
9/19/2018	Orange County, CA Board of Supervisors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919390216999
9/19/2018	Patrick Bloomingdale	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919062311302
9/19/2018	Prince George County, VA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092731541703
9/19/2018	Prince William County, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109202851108466
9/19/2018	Queen Anne's County Maryland Board of County Commissioners	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192501630598
9/19/2018	Ricardo Ramirez	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190429901735
9/19/2018	Robert DeArmond,Scott Blain	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919151083814
9/19/2018	Robert L. Hosack	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190276614653
9/19/2018	Rural County Representatives of California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919689409608
9/19/2018	San Francisco, City and County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192605411402
9/19/2018	Sean Lanier, PE, CFM	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919081376496
9/19/2018	Seattle City Light,Seattle Department of Transportation,City of Seattle	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109191163507187
9/19/2018	Smart Communities and Special Districts Coalition	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920230003833
9/19/2018	South Washington County Telecommunications Commission	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919598922474
9/19/2018	Starry, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091939027342
9/19/2018	Steve Willis	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192607027779
9/19/2018	Steve Willis	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109190102107061
9/19/2018	The City of Philadelphia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091977459223
9/19/2018	The City of Philadelphia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192671202479

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/19/2018	Thomas C. Lamar, Commission Chair, David McGraw, Commissioner, Richard Walser, Commissioner	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919233599955
9/19/2018	T-Mobile USA, Inc.	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109201893527990
9/19/2018	Town of Danville, California, City of Dublin, California, City of Livermore, California, City of Pleasanton, California, City of San Ramon, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092088469705
9/19/2018	Town of Danville, California, City of Dublin, California, City of Livermore, California, City of Pleasanton, California, City of San Ramon, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092072849388
9/19/2018	Urban Counties of California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109192799617715
9/19/2018	Verizon	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091961328707
9/19/2018	Village of Hoffman Estates	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091999375214
9/19/2018	Village of Lake Success	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919477416988
9/19/2018	Washington Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisers (WATOA)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091967601406
9/19/2018	Washington Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919100452510
9/19/2018	Waukesha County	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919254752595
9/19/2018	Wireless Infrastructure Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1091988229795
9/19/2018	XG Communities, LLC	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092030930452
9/19/2018	Yellowstone County, John Ostlund, Denis Pitman, Robyn Driscoll	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10919231529039
9/20/2018	AT&T	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109202453507383
9/20/2018	City of Lakewood, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092009131472
9/20/2018	City of Whitehall	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109272219007399

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/20/2018	Computer & Communications Industry Association (CCIA)	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920245738967
9/20/2018	CTIA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092018022862
9/20/2018	Deloitte Consulting LLP	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092061903608
9/20/2018	Karen Mitchoff	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092018453620
9/20/2018	Kentucky Association of Counties	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092058270600
9/20/2018	Kevin Watson	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920173146463
9/20/2018	NCTA	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109201697409943
9/20/2018	RainbowPUSH	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10920388125556
9/20/2018	Stephen F. Owen	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092008425193
9/20/2018	Village of Gates Mills	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109200674326734
9/21/2018	City of Murrieta, California	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10921285507629
9/21/2018	Elizabeth Shapiro	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10921272058147
9/21/2018	Elizabeth Shapiro	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10921726312351
9/21/2018	Michael L. Beamish, Mayor, City of Troy, OH	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10921253100587
9/21/2018	Town of Middleburg, Virginia	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092166849166
9/24/2018	Cathy Murillo, City of Santa Barbara	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109241131921310
9/24/2018	City of Gahanna	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1002038924516
9/24/2018	Hope Water & Light	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109242216109904
9/24/2018	Paul Smith	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10924144282163
9/24/2018	Randal Barrett	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109240977414768
9/24/2018	Sprint	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109221684019364
9/25/2018	Arkansas Municipal Power Association	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925292808657
9/25/2018	C Barnes	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925005615911
9/25/2018	caroline thrun	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092679432003
9/25/2018	Catherine E. Pugh, Mayor, City of Baltimore	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925290414482
9/25/2018	City of Bentonville, Arkansas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092506860249
9/25/2018	City of North Little Rock	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925006646956

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/25/2018	City, Conway, Arkansas	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925671213298
9/25/2018	Clarksville Light & Water Company	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925665019722
9/25/2018	Cynthia Curtis	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925824917630
9/25/2018	Dan Schoenberg	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925029652014
9/25/2018	Dan Schoenberg	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925129212969
9/25/2018	Kim E Levinsohn and Marla Levinsohn	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10926086672342
9/25/2018	Mark & Lorene Newood	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925073153649
9/25/2018	Marla Levinsohn	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109261347516960
9/25/2018	National Governors Association, National Conference of State Legislatures	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925081485126
9/25/2018	Nicholas LiCalzi	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925715614502
9/25/2018	Sandra Betten	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10925260776430
9/25/2018	The Honorable Billy Long, The Honorable Fred Upton, The Honorable Susan W. Brooks, The Honorable Gregg Harper, The Honorable Lynn Jenkins, CPA, The Honorable Kevin Yoder, The Honorable Brian Babin, The Honorable Tim Walberg, The Honorable Ron Estes, The Honorable Bill Johnson, The Honorable Robert E. Latta, The Honorable John Ratcliffe, The Honorable Ryan Costello, The Honorable David B. McKinley, The Honorable John Curtis, The Honorable John Shimkus, The Honorable Brett Guthrie, The Honorable Adam Kinzinger, The Honorable Blaine Luetkemeyer, The Honorable Mark Walker, The Honorable Gus Bilirakis, The Honorable Larry Bucshon, M.D., The Honorable Jeff Duncan, The Honorable Michael C. Burgess, M.D., The Honorable Tom Emmer	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1092585347000

Date Received	Filer Name(s)	Link to Submission
9/26/2018	City of Benton, AR	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109260394411399
9/26/2018	City of Hudson, Ohio	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109260289311995
9/26/2018	Laura Basso	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109270127326030
9/26/2018	Office of Media Relations	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/09261819619664
9/26/2018	Pamela Wallace	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10926091508108
9/26/2018	wim ney	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/109262905606086
9/27/2018	Town of Middleburg	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/100470957004
9/27/2018	Village of Glenwillow	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10040070230463
9/27/2018	Wireline Competition Bureau	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/0927025585935