Appellate Case: 18-9563 Document: 010110101476 Date Filed: 12/21/2018 Page: 1



Federal Communications Commission

Office Of General Counsel—Litigation Division 445 12th Street S.W. • Washington, DC 20554

Tel: (202) 418-1740 • Fax: (202) 418-2819

December 21, 2018

Elisabeth Shumaker, Clerk of Court U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit The Byron White U.S. Courthouse 1823 Stout Street Denver, CO 80257 (303) 844-3157

Re: Sprint Corp. v. FCC, No. 18-9563 (and related cases)

Dear Ms. Shumaker:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j), Respondent Federal Communications Commission (FCC) submits this letter to notify the Court of a recent development in support of Respondents' opposition to the San Jose Petitioners' motion to transfer. San Jose insists this case should be transferred to the Ninth Circuit to be consolidated with a case in that circuit, *City of Portland v. FCC*, No. 18-72689 (9th Cir.), in which the petitioner challenges a different FCC order. In opposing that motion, Respondents explained that the FCC "has moved to hold [the *City of Portland* case] in abeyance" pending further administrative proceedings and that "[i]f the Ninth Circuit grants that motion, that would only further diminish any argument for transfer." Resps.' Opp. to Mot. to Transfer at 15 n.10. On December 20, 2018, the Ninth Circuit granted that motion in part by staying all proceedings in that court for 60 days, deferring the filing of the administrative record in that court, and directing the FCC to file a status report in 60 days (which may include a motion for a further stay of those proceedings). A copy of the Ninth Circuit's order is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott M. Noveck

Scott M. Noveck
Counsel for Respondent Federal
Communications Commission

All counsel (per attached service list)

CERTIFICATE OF DIGITAL SUBMISSION

I hereby certify that with respect to the foregoing document:

- 1. All required privacy redactions have been made per Tenth Circuit Rule 25.5.
- 2. If required to file additional hard copies, the ECF submission is an exact copy of those documents.
- 3. The digital submissions have been scanned for viruses with the most recent version of a commercial virus scanning program, Cylance PROTECT Version 2.0.1500.18 (current as of December 20, 2018), and according to the program are free of viruses.

/s/ Scott M. Noveck
Scott M. Noveck
Counsel for Respondent
Federal Communications Commission

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 21, 2018, I caused the foregoing document to be filed with the Clerk of Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit using the electronic CM/ECF system. I further certify that all participants in the case, listed below, are registered CM/ECF users and will be served electronically by the CM/ECF system.

<u>/s/ Scott M. Noveck</u>Scott M. NoveckCounsel for RespondentFederal Communications Commission

Service List:

Christopher J. Wright
Elizabeth Austin Bonner
HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
cwright@wiltshiregrannis.com
abonner@hwglaw.com

Counsel for Petitioner/Intervenor Sprint Corporation Henry Weissmann
MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON
350 South Grand Avenue
50th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
henry.weissmann@mto.com

Counsel for Petitioner/Intervenor Verizon Communications, Inc.

[Service List Continued from Previous Page]

Jonathan Meltzer
MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON
1155 F Street, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20004
jonathan.meltzer@mto.com

Counsel for Petitioner/Intervenor Verizon Communications, Inc.

Joseph Leonard Van Eaton
BEST BEST & KRIEGER
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 5300
Washington, DC 20006
joseph.vaneaton@bbklaw.com

Counsel for Petitioners/Intervenors The City of San Jose, California, et al.

Kenneth S. Fellman
KISSINGER & FELLMAN
3773 Cherry Creek North Drive
Ptarmigan Place, Suite 900
Denver, CO 80209
kfellman@kandf.com

Counsel for Petitioners City of Seattle, Washington, et al. and Intervenors City of Coconut Creek, Florida, et al. Megan L. Brown
Jeremy J. Broggi
WILEY REIN
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
mbrown@wileyrein.com
jbroggi@wileyrein.com

Counsel for Petitioner Puerto Rico Telephone Company, Inc.

Gail A Karish
BEST BEST & KRIEGER
300 South Grand Avenue
25th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
gail.karish@bbklaw.com

Counsel for Petitioners/Intervenors The City of San Jose, California, et al.

Robert Carroll May III
TELECOM LAW FIRM
3570 Camino del Rio North
Suite 102
San Diego, CA 92108
tripp@telecomlawfirm.com

Counsel for Petitioners League of Oregon Cities et al. and Intervenors City of Bakersfield, California, et al.

[Service List Continued from Previous Page]

Michael J. Vigliotta
Scott Franklin Field
Office of the City Attorney
City of Huntington Beach
2000 Main Street, P.O. Box 190
Huntington Beach, CA 92648
mvigliotta@surfcity-hb.org
sfield@surfcity-hb.org

Counsel for Petitioner
City of Huntington Beach

MacKenzie Fillow New York City Law Department 100 Church Street, Room 6-200 New York, NY 10007 mfillow@law.nyc.gov

Counsel for Intervenor City of New York

Jennifer P. Bagg Susannah J. Larson HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS 1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036 jbagg@hwglaw.com slarson@hwglaw.com

Counsel for Intervenor Competitive Carriers Association Tillman L Lay
Jeffrey Michael Bayne
SPIEGEL & MCDIARMID
1875 Eye St., NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
tim.lay@spiegelmcd.com
jeffrey.bayne@spiegelmcd.com

Counsel for Intervenors

City of Eugene, Oregon, et al.

Joshua Scott Turner
Sara Baxenberg
WILEY REIN
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
jturner@wileyrein.com
sbaxenberg@wileyrein.com

Counsel for Intervenor

CTIA—The Wireless Association

Thomas Scott Thompson
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-3401
scottthompson@dwt.com

Counsel for Intervenor Wireless Infrastructure Association Appellate Case: 18-9563 Document: 010110101476 Date Filed: 12/21/2018 Page: 6

[Service List Continued from Previous Page]

Robert Nicholson
Adam D. Chandler
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ANTITRUST DIVISION
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530
robert.nicholson@usdoj.gov
adam.chandler@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Respondent United States of America

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 20 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CITY OF PORTLAND,

Petitioner,

THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION; et al.,

Intervenors-Pending,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

Respondents.

No. 18-72689

FCC No. 18-111 Federal Communications Comm

ORDER

Before: LEAVY and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner's motion to waive the requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(c)(1-3) and Ninth Circuit Rule 15-1 (Docket Entry No. 2) is granted. *See Sierra Club v. U.S. EPA*, 118 F.3d 1324, 1326 (9th Cir. 1997).

The motions to intervene (Docket Entry Nos. 17, 20, 22) are granted. The Clerk shall update the docket accordingly.

Respondent's motion to hold this petition in abeyance and defer filing the administrative record (Docket Entry No. 16) is granted in part. Proceedings in this

petition are stayed for 60 days. Respondent shall file a status report 60 days after the date of this order, which may include a motion for a further stay of proceedings.