USCA Case #18-133 NITED STATES COURT OF A PPEAL (28/2019

Page 1 of 3

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-2866 Phone: 202-216-7000 | Facsimile: 202-219-8530

AGENCY DOCKETING STATEMENT

1.		istrative Agency Revie 18-1326	O (e completed by appellant/ E DOCKETED: 12-1	•	
3.	8. CASE NAME (lead parties	s only) Cit	y of Austin	V.	FCC and US	
4. 5.	. TYPE OF CASE: ☑ Re . IS THIS CASE REQUIF If YES, cite statute	eview Appea	□ Enforceme E TO BE EXPE	ent Complaint EDITED? O Yes	☐ Tax Court No	
b.	. CASE INFORMATION: a. Identify agency whose o. Give agency docket or c. Give date(s) of order(s	order number(s):	Federal Communication on 1	33	
	. ,	Has a request for rehearing or reconsideration been filed at the agency? • Yes O No				
u.	If so, when was it filled	•	By whom?	•	w Orleans, et al.	
	Has the agency acted	? ○ Yes ⊙ N	O If so, when?			
	Identify the basis of appellant's/petitioner's claim of standing. See D.C. Cir. Rule 15(c)(2): Petitioners appealed under 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, et al. and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2342, 2344. They are local governments all of whom are substantially affected by the order and all of whom participated in the underlying proceeding. Are any other cases involving the same underlying agency order pending in this Court or any other?					
	Yes O No If YESSee attachment	, identify case na	me(s), docket r	number(s), and cour	t(s)	
_			• •	•	this Court, another Circuit s the instant case presents?	
	Yes O No If YES,See attachment	give case name	(s) and number	(s) of these cases a	nd identify court/agency:	
	Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in this case through arbitration, mediation, or any oth alternative for dispute resolution? ○ Yes ● No If YES, provide program name and participation date					
_	ignature /s/Joseph Van Eaton			8/2019		
	ame of Counsel for Appellant/	<u>'</u>		006		
	ddress <u>2000 Pennsylvania Av</u> - ^{Mail} joseph.vaneaton@bbkla		Phone (202)		x (202) 785-1234	
N	Note: If counsel for any oth		H A CERTIFICAT	E OF SERVICE	or incomplete, counsel may so	

advise the Clerk within 7 calendar days by letter, with copies to all other parties, specifically referring to the

USCA Form 41 August 2009 (REVISED)

challenged statement.

CONSOLIDATED CASES WITH ATT

ATT v. FCC, DC Circuit Court of Appeals, Case No. 18-1294 (Lead case);

APPA v. FCC, DC Circuit Court of Appeals, Case No. 18-1305;

City of Eugene, Oregon et al. v. FCC, DC Circuit Court of Appeals, Case No. 18-1330

OTHER CASES INVOLVING THE SAME ISSUES:

The following cases were ordered to transfer to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals on January 10, 2019.

Sprint v. FCC, 9th Circuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-70123

Verizon v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-70124

Puerto Rico Telephone v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-70125

City of San Jose, et al. v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-70144

Seattle, et al. v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-80136

Huntington Beach, et al. v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-70146

Montgomery County, Maryland v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-

City and County of San Francisco v. FCC, 9thCircuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 19-70145

City of North Little Rock, Arkansas v. FCC, 9thCircuit court of Appeals, Case No. 19-70148

City of Portland, Oregon v. FCC, 9th Circuit Court of Appeal, Case No. 18-72689

70147

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 28, 2019 I filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit through the CM/ECF system.

Participants in the cases are all registered CM/ECF and will be served by the CM/ECF system.

/s/ Joseph Van Eaton
Joseph Van Eaton
Best Best & Krieger LLP

January 28, 2019