DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-2866 Phone: 202-216-7000 | Facsimile: 202-219-8530

AGENCY DOCKETING STATEMENT

1.	Administrative Agency Review Proceedings (To be completed by appellant/petitioner) CASE NO. 18-1305 2. DATE DOCKETED: 11/15/2018
3.	CASE NAME (lead parties only) American Public Power Ass'n Federal Communications Commission
4.	TYPE OF CASE: ⊠ Review □ Appeal □ Enforcement □ Complaint □ Tax Court IS THIS CASE REQUIRED BY STATUTE TO BE EXPEDITED? ○ Yes ● No If YES, cite statute
b. c. d.	CASE INFORMATION: Identify agency whose order is to be reviewed: Give agency docket or order number(s): WT Doc No. 17-79 and WC Doc No. 17-84, FCC 18-133 Give date(s) of order(s): Released September 27, 2018, published in Fed. Reg. on October 15, 2018 Has a request for rehearing or reconsideration been filed at the agency? Yes No If so, when was it filled? 11/14/2018 By whom? City of New Orleans Et Al Has the agency acted? Yes No If so, when? Identify the basis of appellant's/petitioner's claim of standing. See D.C. Cir. Rule 15(c)(2): APPA's member public power utilities provide electric service to millions of people. The Order interferes with the proprietary rights of public power utilities to manage their facilities and poses risks to safe electric utility operations. Are any other cases involving the same underlying agency order pending in this Court or any other? Yes No If YES, identify case name(s), docket number(s), and court(s)
N	See Attachment Are any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pending before the agency, this Court, another Circuit Court, or the Supreme Court which involve substantially the same issues as the instant case presents?
	• Yes O No If YES, give case name(s) and number(s) of these cases and identify court/agency: See Attachment
	Have the parties attempted to resolve the issues in this case through arbitration, mediation, or any other alternative for dispute resolution? O Yes No If YES, provide program name and participation dates.
Nan	nature Sean A Stokes Date 12/20/2018 ne of Counsel for Appellant/Petitioner Sean A. Stokes Baller Stokes & Lide, PC, 2014 P Street, NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20036
E-M	failstokes@baller.com Phone (_202_)833-530 Fax (_202_)833-1180
N	ATTACH A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE If counsel for any other party believes that the information submitted is inaccurate or incomplete, counsel may so advise the Clerk within 7 calendar days by letter, with copies to all other parties, specifically referring to the challenged statement.
	USCA Form 41 Print Form

Reset Form

Print Form

Save

August 2009 (REVISED)

Filed: 12/20/2018

APPA DOCKETING STATEMENT ATTACHMENT

6(f). Are there any other cases involving the same underlying agency order pending in this Court or any other?

Yes, counsel is aware of the following cases:

- U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
 - AT&T Services, Inc. v. FCC, No. 18-1294
 - City of Austin, Texas, Et Al v. USA, No. 18-1326
 - City of Eugene, Oregon, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-1330
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
 - *Montgomery County, Maryland, v. FCC*, No. 18-2448 (transfer to Tenth Circuit Pending)
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit
 - City of North Little Rock, Arkansas, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-3678
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
 - City and County of San Francisco v. FCC, No. 18-73376
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit
 - Sprint Corp. v. FCC, No. 18-9563
 - Verizon Commc'ns v. FCC, No. 18-9566
 - Puerto Rico Tel. Co. v. FCC, No. 18-9567
 - City of San Jose, California, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-9568
 - City of Seattle, Washington, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-9571
 - City of Huntington Beach, California, v. FCC, No. 18-9572
- 6(h). Are there any other cases, to counsel's knowledge, pending before the agency, this Court, another Circuit Court, or the Supreme Court which involve *substantially the same issues* as the instant case presents?

Filed: 12/20/2018

Yes, Counsel understands that the following cases may raise some similar issues to this case:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

- City of Austin, Texas, Et Al v. USA, No. 18-1326
- City of Eugene, Oregon, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-1330

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

• City of North Little Rock, Arkansas, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-3678

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

• City and County of San Francisco v. FCC, No. 18-73376

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit

- City of San Jose, California, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-9568
- City of Seattle, Washington, Et Al v. FCC, No. 18-9571
- City of Huntington Beach, California, v. FCC, No. 18-9572

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on December 20, 2018, I filed the foregoing in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit using the appellate CM/ECF system. I further certify that all parties are registered CM/ECF users, and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system, except for the following party who will be served via U.S. Mail.

By First Class Mail
Matthew G. Whitaker
Acting Attorney General of the United States
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington DC 20530

/s/Sean A. Stokes

Sean A. Stokes BALLER STOKES & LIDE, P.C. 2014 P St. NW, Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036 office: 202/833-5300

Filed: 12/20/2018

fax: 202/833-1180