

**STAMP & RETURN**

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

ALAMO BROADBAND INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,

and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

Case No. 15-\_\_\_\_\_

FILED  
MAR 13 2015  
CLERK OF COURT

**PETITION FOR REVIEW**

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2342(1) and 2344, and Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Alamo Broadband Inc. (“Alamo”) hereby petitions this Court for review of the final order of the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) captioned *In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet*, Report and Order on Remand, Declaratory Ruling, and Order, FCC 15-24, GN Docket No. 14-28 (“*Order*”). The *Order* was released on March 12, 2015.<sup>1</sup> A copy of the full text of the *Order* is attached as Exhibit A.

---

<sup>1</sup> The *Order* was issued in a “notice and comment” rulemaking proceeding and also contains a declaratory ruling, which creates some ambiguity regarding the date of “issuance of the order.” 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(1); 47 C.F.R. § 1.4(b)(1)-(2). Alamo has thus filed this petition for review within ten days of the release date of the *Order* in an abundance of caution.

In the *Order*, the FCC adopts rules that regulate broadband Internet access service, which the *Order* reclassifies as a telecommunications service subject to regulation under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Alamo, which participated in the proceeding below, is a provider of broadband Internet access service subject to the regulations adopted by the *Order* and the requirements of Title II of the Communications Act from which the *Order* does not forbear. Alamo thus is aggrieved by the *Order* and possesses standing to challenge it. Venue is proper in this Court because Alamo resides in and has its principal office in the State of Texas. 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Alamo seeks relief on the grounds that the *Order*: (1) is in excess of the Commission's authority; (2) is arbitrary, capricious, and an abuse of discretion within the meaning of the Administrative Procedure Act; (3) is contrary to constitutional right; and (4) is otherwise contrary to law. Accordingly, Alamo respectfully requests that this Court hold unlawful, vacate, enjoin, and set aside the *Order*, and provide such additional relief as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/ Brett A. Shumate  
Andrew G. McBride  
Brett A. Shumate  
Eve Klindera Reed  
**WILEY REIN LLP**  
1776 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006

(202) 719-7000  
bshumate@wileyrein.com

Dated: March 23, 2015

*Counsel for Alamo Broadband Inc.*

## **EXHIBIT A**

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Brett A. Shumate, hereby certify that on March 23, 2015, I filed the foregoing Petition for Review via the Court's Appellate ECF filing system. I further certify that I directed that one copy be delivered via United States mail and electronic mail to:

Jonathan Sallet  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
Room 8-A741  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554  
Jonathan.Sallet@fcc.gov

*Counsel for the Federal  
Communications Commission*

Eric H. Holder, Jr.  
U.S. Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Room 3601  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Robert J. Wiggers  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Antitrust Division/Appellate Division  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Room 3224  
Washington, DC 20530-0001  
robert.wiggers@usdoj.gov

*Counsel for the United States of America*

s/ Brett A. Shumate  
Brett A. Shumate