

STAMP & RETURN

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

ALAMO BROADBAND INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

Case No. 15- _____

FILED
MAR 13 2015
FBI

FILED
MAR 13 2015
FBI

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2342(1) and 2344, and Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Alamo Broadband Inc. (“Alamo”) hereby petitions this Court for review of the final order of the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) captioned *In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet*, Report and Order on Remand, Declaratory Ruling, and Order, FCC 15-24, GN Docket No. 14-28 (“*Order*”). The *Order* was released on March 12, 2015.¹ A copy of the full text of the *Order* is attached as Exhibit A.

¹ The *Order* was issued in a “notice and comment” rulemaking proceeding and also contains a declaratory ruling, which creates some ambiguity regarding the date of “issuance of the order.” 28 U.S.C. § 2112(a)(1); 47 C.F.R. § 1.4(b)(1)-(2). Alamo has thus filed this petition for review within ten days of the release date of the *Order* in an abundance of caution.

In the *Order*, the FCC adopts rules that regulate broadband Internet access service, which the *Order* reclassifies as a telecommunications service subject to regulation under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Alamo, which participated in the proceeding below, is a provider of broadband Internet access service subject to the regulations adopted by the *Order* and the requirements of Title II of the Communications Act from which the *Order* does not forbear. Alamo thus is aggrieved by the *Order* and possesses standing to challenge it. Venue is proper in this Court because Alamo resides in and has its principal office in the State of Texas. 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Alamo seeks relief on the grounds that the *Order*: (1) is in excess of the Commission's authority; (2) is arbitrary, capricious, and an abuse of discretion within the meaning of the Administrative Procedure Act; (3) is contrary to constitutional right; and (4) is otherwise contrary to law. Accordingly, Alamo respectfully requests that this Court hold unlawful, vacate, enjoin, and set aside the *Order*, and provide such additional relief as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/ Brett A. Shumate
Andrew G. McBride
Brett A. Shumate
Eve Klindera Reed
WILEY REIN LLP
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

(202) 719-7000
bshumate@wileyrein.com

Dated: March 23, 2015

Counsel for Alamo Broadband Inc.

EXHIBIT A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Brett A. Shumate, hereby certify that on March 23, 2015, I filed the foregoing Petition for Review via the Court's Appellate ECF filing system. I further certify that I directed that one copy be delivered via United States mail and electronic mail to:

Jonathan Sallet
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Room 8-A741
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554
Jonathan.Sallet@fcc.gov

*Counsel for the Federal
Communications Commission*

Eric H. Holder, Jr.
U.S. Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Room 3601
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Robert J. Wiggers
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division/Appellate Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Room 3224
Washington, DC 20530-0001
robert.wiggers@usdoj.gov

Counsel for the United States of America

s/ Brett A. Shumate
Brett A. Shumate