

Docket No.: A.18-07-011 and A.18-07-012

Exhibit No.: _____

Hearing Date: _____

Witness: Peter N. Sywenki

ALJ: Karl Bemederfer

Commissioner: Clifford Rechtschaffen

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF PETER N. SYWENKI

ON BEHALF OF JOINT APPLICANTS

NOVEMBER 7, 2019

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION..... 1

II. PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY 1

**III. AMENDED SCOPING RULING – QUESTIONS NO. 1 THROUGH 8, NO
CHANGES TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY 2**

1 **I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION**

2

3 **Q: Please state your name, position, and business address.**

4 **A:** My name is Peter N. Sywenki. I am the Director of Government Affairs for
5 Sprint Corporation (“Sprint”). My business address is 6450 Sprint Parkway, Overland
6 Park, Kansas 66251.

7

8 **Q: Please describe your professional qualifications and experience.**

9 **A:** I previously submitted rebuttal testimony (“Rebuttal Testimony”) dated January
10 29, 2019 (Hearing Ex. Jt Appl. 9), and appeared as a witness at the hearing on February
11 7, 2019. My professional qualifications and experience are summarized in Joint
12 Applicants’ Exhibit Jt Appl.-9.

13

14 **II. PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY**

15

16 **Q: What is the purpose of your Supplemental Testimony?**

17 **A:** The purpose of this testimony (“Supplemental Testimony”) is to supplement my
18 Rebuttal Testimony in response to questions raised in the Assigned Commissioner’s
19 Amended Scoping Ruling (“Amended Scoping Ruling”) dated October 24, 2019.

20

21 **Q: Was this Supplemental Testimony prepared by you or under your direction**
22 **and are the responses you have provided true and correct and complete to the best**
23 **of your knowledge?**

24 **A:** Yes, this Supplemental Testimony was prepared by me or under my direction
25 and the responses I have provided are true and correct and complete to the best of my
26 knowledge. I have attached a declaration to that effect to confirm the same. See
27 Attachment A.

28

1 **III. AMENDED SCOPING RULING – QUESTIONS NO. 1 THROUGH 8, NO**
2 **CHANGES TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**
3

4 **Q: Do you have any changes to your Rebuttal Testimony in response to**
5 **Question 1 of the Amended Scoping Ruling?**

6 **A:** No. I have reviewed my Rebuttal Testimony, and I do not have any changes
7 with respect to the topics addressed in my Rebuttal Testimony.
8
9

10 **Q: Do you have any additional information to provide in response to**
11 **Questions 2 through 8 of the Amended Scoping Ruling?**

12 **A:** No. Those questions request information regarding the impact of post-merger
13 commitments on the business and network plans of other entities, including New T-
14 Mobile and DISH, following the merger. As an employee of Sprint, I am not privy to
15 those business and network plans and thus cannot speak to the impact, if any, that the
16 commitments would have following the merger.
17

18 **Q: Does this conclude your testimony?**

19 **A:** Yes.

DECLARATION

I, Peter N. Sywenki, have reviewed the responses to the questions posed in the following Supplemental Testimony and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the responses to the questions posed are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and if called to testify thereon I am prepared to do so.

Dated: November 7, 2019


Peter N. Sywenki