## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



April 10, 2019

VIA ELETRONIC MAIL

Erik B. Jacobson Director – Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 2343 San Francisco, California 94105

Re: Denial of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Request for Extension of Time to Comply with Decision (D.) 19-03-004, Ordering Paragraph 6.

Dear Mr. Jacobson:

On March 25, 2019, Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") submitted a letter to me, as the Executive Director. In that letter, PG&E requested an extension of time to comply with Ordering Paragraph 6 of Decision (D.) 19-03-004. In the decision, the Commission affirmed an Arbitrator's Report and ordered PG&E and Crown Castle NG West LLC ("Crown Castle") to execute the revised license agreement attached to D.19-03-004. PG&E made its request for an extension of time to comply pursuant to Rule 16.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

In an e-mail to the Executive Director on March 26, 2019, Crown Castle indicated that it plans to oppose PG&E's request and asked for the denial of the request, or "at a minimum [that] the extension of time [be] limited" so Crown Castle could file a forthcoming response to PG&E's request. Rather than sending a letter response to the Executive Director, Crown Castle inappropriately submitted on April 8, 2019 its opposition to the extension in its response to PG&E's application for rehearing.

Nonetheless, I have fully considered PG&E's request, and believe the request fails to set forth good cause for the granting of an extension of time to comply. Merely making a general statement of irreparable harm and referencing the filing of an application for rehearing are insufficient grounds for me to grant the requested extension.

Erik B. Jacobson Request for Extension of Time to Comply April 10, 2019 Page 2

Also, I note that my authority under Rule 16.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure allows me to extend the time for compliance but not to grant a motion for stay. Only the Commission can grant such a motion. Further, the denial of this extension request does not affect the Commission's consideration and disposition of the rehearing application.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Alice Hebburs

Sincerely,

Alice Stebbins
Executive Director

cc: All Parties of Record in A.18-10-004

aljextensionrequests@cpuc.ca.gov

Cynthia Walker, Communications Division Michael Morris, Communications Division

Alexander J. Abramson, Communications Division

Kimberly Lippi, Legal Division