AT	ORNE	Y OR P	VŖTY W	THOUT	ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Suzanne Solomon 169005; Juliana Kresse 256745					9005; Juliana Kresse 256745	
Lie	eber	t Cas	sidy '	White	more	
135 Main Street, 7th Floor					Floor	
Sa	an F	ranci	sco, (CA 9	4105	
TELEPHONE NO., (415) 512-3000 FAX NO. (Optional); (415) 856-0306						ELECTRONICALLY
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ssolomon@lcwlegal.com; jkresse@lcwlegal.com						FILED
<u> </u>					efendants	Superior Court of California,
1 .	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Francisco					County of San Francisco
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street					IcAllister Street	07/02/2018
MAILING ADDRESS:						Clerk of the Court
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102-3680					rancisco, CA 94102-3680	BY:JEFFREY LEE Deputy Clerk
	BR	ANCH N	AME;			beputy ofern
	PL	AINTIF	F/PET	ITION	IER: Karen Clopton	
DE	FENI	ΠΔΝΤ/	RESD	ONDE	NT: California Public Utilities Commission, et al.	
	1 1-17.	ווווחעם	ILOI			
İ					CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER: CGC-17-563082
(C	heck	(one)	: [2		UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	000-17-000002
					(Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	
					exceeds \$20,000) Of lessy	
A	CAS	E MA	NAGE	MEN	IT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	
Da	te: J	uly 1	3, 20	18	Time: 10:30 a.m. Dept.: 610	Div.: Room:
Ad	dres	s of c	ourt <i>(i</i>	f diffe	erent from the address above):	
			•		·····	
]	Notic	e of Ir	ntent	to Appear by Telephone, by (name): Juliana Kresse	
		IJ	NSTR	UCTI	ONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	l information must be provided.
1.	Par	ty or	partie	s (an	nswer one):	
	a	\boxtimes			ment is submitted by party (name): CPUC, Michael Picker and	Liane M. Randolph
	b.		This	state	ment is submitted jointly by parties (names):	
	ο		_4		to the second se	to motes.
2.					ss-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainant	s only)
	a. L	Ine -	-		vas filed on (date):	
	b.	ш	me	CIOSS	-complaint, if any, was filed on <i>(date):</i>	
3.	Sei	vice	to be	answ	vered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	
	a.		All p	arties	named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served, h	ave appeared, or have been dismissed.
	b.		The	follow	ring parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint	
			(1)		have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
			(2)		have been served but have not appeared and have not been dis	missed (specify names):
					and the second of the second o	
			(3)	Ш	have had a default entered against them (specify names):	
	_		T I	<i>i</i>		-Konstanting and July Konstant
	C.				ving additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of invi	oivement in case, and date by which
			uley	шау	be served):	
4.	De	script				
	a.					acluding causes of action):
				allege taliat	es whistleblower retaliation under Gov. Code 8547 and Lab	. Gode 1102.5, race discrimination, and
		1-61	17.16	iand	uon.	haar e ade
						Page 1 of 5

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.720–3.730 www.courts.ca:gov
American LegalNct, Inc.

	PLAINTI	FF/PETITIONER: Karen Clopton	CASE NUMBER;
DE	EFENDAN ¹	7/RESPONDENT: California Public Utilities Commission, et al.	CGC-17-563082
	b. Provid damaş eamin Plaint	e a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], gs to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, descriff was the CPUC Chief Administrative Law Judge. She alleges that shor engaging in allegedly protected activity. Defendant denies all liability	estimated future medical expenses, lost ribe the nature of the relief.) e was terminated because of her race
	☐ (If m	ore space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachme	ent 4b.)
5.	The party	onjury trial or parties request	ne party, provide the name of each party
3.	b. 🛛	The trial has been set for <i>(date):</i> No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of not, explain):	the date of the filing of the complaint (if
_	May	on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and ex	cplain reasons for unavailability):
7.	The party a.	i length of trial or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one): days (specify number): 10 hours (short causes) (specify):	
В.		esentation (to be answered for each party) or parties will be represented at trial	caption
	c. Addre	ess:	
	d. Telep	hone number: f. Fax number	er:
		il address: g. Party repreditional representation is described in Attachment 8.	esented:
9.	Preferen	•	
10.	Alternativ	re dispute resolution (ADR)	
	the A	information package. Please note that different ADR processes are available DR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information and community programs in this case.	
		parties represented by counsel: Counsel has has has not providule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	ed the ADR information package identified
	(2) For	self-represented parties: Party has has not reviewed the ADR info	rmation package identified in rule 3.221.
	b. Refer	ral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available). This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil Promediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amount statutory limit.	
	(2)	Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit recover Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	ery to the amount specified in Code of
	(3) 🛚	This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the California mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify exemple) Amount in controversy exceeds \$50,000	

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karen Clopton	CASE NUMBER: CGC-17-563082	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: California Public Utilities Commission, et al.		

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in (check all that apply and provide the specified information):

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):
(1) Mediation		 ✓ Mediation session not yet scheduled ☐ Mediation session scheduled for (date): ☐ Agreed to complete mediation by (date): ☐ Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation		 Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (specify):		 □ ADR session not yet scheduled □ ADR session scheduled for (date): □ Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): □ ADR completed on (date):

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karen Clopton	CASE NUMBER: CGC-17-563082
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: California Public Utilities Commission, et al.	
 Insurance a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): b. Reservation of rights: Yes No c. Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain): 	
12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status:	and describe the status.
13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or related cases. (1) Name of case: Karen Clopton v. CPUC, et al. (2) Name of court: State Personnel Board (3) Case number: 17-1317 (4) Status: Hearing 4/16-4/27 Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a.	
b.	y (name party):
14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons):	coordinating the following issues or causes of
15. Other motions	
The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify modern Defendants will file a Motion for Summary Judgment	oving party, type of motion, and issues):
 Discovery a. The party or parties have completed all discovery. b The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe a) 	Il anticipated discovery):
Party Description Defendants Written Discovery	<u>Date</u> February 2019
Defendants Depositions	February 2019
c. The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of anticipated (specify):	electronically stored information, are



	PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karen Clopton	CASE NUMBER: CGC-17-563082			
DE	FENDANT/RESPONDENT: California Public Utilities Commission, et al.	333 1,733332			
7.	Economic litigation a. This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case.	the economic litigation procedures in Code			
	 b. This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the econor discovery will be filed (if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation should not apply to this case): 	nic litigation procedures or for additional procedures relating to discovery or trial			
18.	Other issues The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered conference (specify):	r determined at the case management			
19.	Meet and confer a. The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects recourt (if not, explain):	quired by rule 3.724 of the California Rules o			
	 After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of (specify): 	Court, the parties agree on the following			
ar	Total number of pages attached (if any): n completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discuss the status of discuss raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into case management conference, including the written authority of the party where require	stipulations on these issues at the time of			
Dat	e: July 2, 2018				
		4			
Jul	ana Kresse (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)			
prenerowano	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Additional s	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY) ignatures are attached.			



6383 Arizona Circle Los Angeles, CA 90045

Phone: (888) 882-6878 Fax: (888) 883-2946

CourtCall.com

Court Conference is a division of CourtCall®

CourtCall Appearance - Service Copy

This document shall serve as notice of a CourtCall Appearance by the participant listed below in the referenced case. Others wishing to appear remotely should contact CourtCall, LLC at (888) 882-6878 or (310) 342-0888.

Juliana Kresse

Liebert Cassidy Whitmore Tel: (415) 512-3048

Fax: (415) 856-0306

Case Name: Clopton vs. California Public Utilities Commission, et al.

Case Number: CGC17563082

Court Name: San Francisco Superior Court (CA)

Dept/Judge: 610 / Judge Teri Jackson
Proceeding: Case Management Conference

Date/Time: Wednesday, July 18th, 2018 at 10:30 AM PT

Note: this is not a Confirmation of your CourtCall Appearance. Each participant must make his or her own arrangements with CourtCall.

While clients can save money and Courts can become more efficient and generate revenue, CourtCall has the upon most impact practicing attorneys as it helps to them their lives back. Attorneys the time they can use using CourtCall to catch-up on other pressing matters, enjoy time with family and friends otherwise take advantage of the tíme no longer spent traveling to from Court. Call us for details. There are n o subscriptions or equipment to buy.

COURTCALL **VIDEO** HERE! 15 CourtCall is equipping Courtrooms interested Judges with state-of-the-art, browser-based video conferencing platform, enabling full video participation for traditional CourtCall Appearances. Lawyers only need a computer with sufficient bandwidth and webcam а view and/or share video in accordance with Judae's preferences. Τo learn more about video options, please ask CourtCall representative.

The foregoing information may have been obtained from court records which may not reflect changes. If it is recent calendar inaccurate because your appearance has been continued, please this information appiy the new date. If the matter is off you have calendar. n o Involvement in the case or you d٥ wish to this use service. please disregard this notice. not call the Court Clerk.

Our representatives are available to make presentations for your firm, your clients, Bar Associations or other groups, Please call to schedule a presentation.

Remote Appearances. Simplified.

CourtCall Appearances are available for over 2,000 Judges nationwide, with additional Courts being added monthly. Please visit our website at www.courtcall.com for a complete list of participating Courts and Judges across the US, Canada and other jurisdictions.

Liebert Cassidy Whitmore A Professional Law Corporation 135 Main Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94105

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 135 Main Street, 7th Floor, San Francisco, California 94105.

On July 2, 2018, I served the foregoing document(s) described as DEFENDANTS'

CASE MANAGERMENT STATEMENT AND COURTCALL APPEARANCE in the

manner checked below on all interested parties in this action addressed as follows:

Mr. Dan Siegel Jane Brunner Siegel, Yee & Brunner 475 14th Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: 510.839.1200 Facsimile: 510.444.6698

☑ (BY U.S. MAIL) I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on July 2, 2018, at San Francisco, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Ashley R. Shreffler