1			
2	<b>BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>		
3	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider	R. 12-10-012	
6	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider Modifications to the California Advanced Services Fund.	(Filed October 25, 2012)	
7			
8			
9	<b>COMMENTS OF PACIFIC LIGHTWAVE (U-7154-C)</b>		
10	ON ASSIGNED COMMISSIO COMMENTS ON BROAD	COMMENTS OF PACIFIC LIGHTWAVE (U-7154-C) ON ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE	
11	<b>RULES AND APPLICATION WINDOWS, QUESTIONS (1) AND (3</b>		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20		rk P. Schreiber	
21	Sai	rick M. Rosvall ah J. Banola	
22	CC	William F. Charley COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP	
23	Sai	l California Street, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor n Francisco, California 94111	
24	Fac	lephone: (415) 433-1900 csimile: (415) 433-5530	
25		ail: <u>wcharley@cwclaw.com</u>	
26		orneys for Pacific Lightwave, California Corporation	
27			
28 <b>COOPER, WHITE</b> <b>&amp; COOPER LLP</b> ATTORNEYS AT LAW 201 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-5002			

Pursuant to the comment deadlines in Assigned Commissioner Guzman Aceves's March 26, 1 2 2020 Ruling Requesting Comments on Broadband Infrastructure Rules and Application Windows 3 ("Ruling") as modified by Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Stevens' March 26, 2020 email ruling revising the deadline for comments on Question (1) to April 9, 2020, Pacific Lightwave, a California 4 5 Corporation ("Pacific Lightwave") hereby offers these comments on Questions (1) and (3) in the Ruling. Pacific Lightwave notes that it is seeking party status contemporaneous with these 6 7 comments, but the comments are tendered in anticipation of the motion for party status being 8 granted. Pacific Lightwave is a small Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") and 9 broadband provider serving primarily the Coachella Valley and nearby areas. Pacific Lightwave is 10 evaluating a possible California Advanced Services Fund ("CASF") infrastructure grant, so it is interested in procedural and substantive issues surrounding the program. 11

The Ruling contains twenty-five separate questions, with a deadline for opening comments on all other questions except for Question (1) of April 15, 2020. *Ruling*, at 16. Pacific Lightwave hereby provides comment on an issue that is common to both Question (1) and Question (3) – the timing and deadlines for infrastructure grant proposals under the California Advanced Services Fund ("CASF") program.<sup>1</sup> Pacific Lightwave reserves the right to offer additional comments on the issues in the Ruling on April 15<sup>th</sup>.

Pacific Lightwave urges the Commission to adopt a rolling application window for CASF grant projects rather than relying on a rigid May 4, 2020 deadline. Additional flexibility in the deadlines is appropriate give as many viable projects as possible a chance to bring benefits to Californians who do not have access to adequate broadband. The ongoing COVID-19 crisis and the associated "shelter in place" directives have underscored the importance of broadband infrastructure, and the CASF plays an important role in reaching some of the areas that remain unserved. Creating a rolling application window will assist carriers like Pacific Lightwave who

20

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Question (1) of the Ruling asks: "In the context of the CASF, what can and should the Commission do in response to COVID-19?" *Ruling*, at 4. Question (3) asks: "Should those applying for CASF infrastructure grants in areas in which carriers accepted CAF II federal funds<sup>1</sup> but did not deploy service have their CASF application deadline extended to July 15, 2020?" *Id.* at 5.

may be in a position to submit grant proposals, but who cannot reasonably do so by May 4<sup>th</sup>. A
 more flexible approach will also allow carriers to react to the ongoing crisis and propose solutions
 that may be more tailored to the community needs that become apparent from this dynamic situation.

As referenced in Question (3) of the Ruling, Pacific Lightwave also notes that the deadline 4 5 for Connect America Fund ("CAF") II recipients to report their deployment is July 1<sup>st</sup>, and a rolling application window would be a better way to address projects that may depend on information about 6 the extent of CAF II build-out and project fulfillment.<sup>2</sup> Question (3) in the Ruling anticipates this 7 8 concern and proposes a separate date for projects with CAF II implications. However, a rolling 9 deadline would be a preferable solution, as projects may naturally involve some CAF II areas and other areas that are not related to CAF II commitments. Further, carriers will need time to 10 thoughtfully evaluate the levels of deployment following the July 1<sup>st</sup> reporting deadline, so they may 11 12 not have fully planned project applications ready by July 15<sup>th</sup>.

Pacific Lightwave appreciates the Commission's consideration of the issues raised in the
Ruling. Pacific Lightwave will continue considering potential CASF projects and monitoring this
proceeding as the deadlines and submission protocols are established.

16 Respectfully submitted this April 9th, 2020 at San Francisco, California.

17 Mark P. Schreiber Patrick M. Rosvall 18 Sarah J. Banola William F. Charley COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP 19 201 California Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 20 Telephone: (415) 433-1900 Telecopier: 21 (415) 433-5530 Email: wcharley@cwclaw.com 22 23 By: /s/ William F. Charley William F. Charlev 24 Attorneys for Pacific Lightwave, a California Corporation. 25 26 <sup>2</sup> See In the Matter of Connect America Fund, WC Docket No. 10-90, Order, DA 18-710 (rel. July 6, 27 2018), at ¶ 67 (requiring CAF II recipients of model-based support to submit the first set of testing data and

28 accompanying certifications by July 1, 2020.)