

APPENDIX C

STAFF PROPOSAL TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. PROPOSED CHANGES FOR BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ACCOUNT	3
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	3
1.2. AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR GRANTS.....	5
1.3. DEFINITIONS	6
1.4. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS.....	7
1.5. APPLICATION PROCESS.....	7
1.6. INFORMATION REQUIRED OF APPLICANTS	8
1.7. LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES - EXPEDITED MINISTERIAL REVIEW	13
1.8. HI-PRIORITY AREAS - REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL MINISTERIAL REVIEW	14
1.9. RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL.....	15
1.10. TREATMENT OF CAF II AREAS.....	16
1.11. SUBMISSION AND SELECTION TIMELINES.....	16
1.12. POSTING OF APPLICATIONS	17
1.13. PROJECT CHALLENGES	17
1.14. SCORING CRITERIA AND HIGH-PRIORITY AREAS	18
1.15. COMPLIANCE CHANGES PURSUANT TO CASF PERFORMANCE AUDIT.....	19
1.16. CEQA PAYMENT	19
1.17. SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTING AND COMPLETION REPORTS	20
1.18. PAYMENT.....	21
1.19. EXECUTION AND PERFORMANCE.....	22
1.20. ATTACHMENTS 1.A THROUGH 1.D	24
2. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR LINE EXTENSION PROGRAM.....	33
2.1. BACKGROUND.....	33
2.2. AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR GRANTS.....	33
2.3. INCOME THRESHOLD	34
2.4. SUBSIDY LEVEL	35
2.5. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS.....	35
2.6. ELIGIBLE PROJECTS	35
2.7. INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM APPLICANTS	36
2.8. SUBMISSION AND TIMELINES.....	37
2.9. EXPEDITED REVIEW.....	37
2.10. RESOLUTION REVIEW	38
2.11. REPORTING	38
2.12. PAYMENT.....	38
2.13. EXECUTION AND PERFORMANCE.....	38
3. PROPOSED CHANGES FOR RURAL AND REGIONAL URBAN CONSORTIA ACCOUNT	40
3.1. BACKGROUND.....	40
3.2. AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR GRANTS.....	40
3.3. DEFINITIONS	41
3.4. ACCOUNT OBJECTIVE AND ACTIVITIES	41
3.5. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS	41

3.6.	INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM APPLICANTS	42
3.7.	SCORING AND EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	46
3.8.	SUBMISSION AND TIMELINES.....	47
3.9.	BASIS FOR REVIEW AND AWARD OF CONSORTIA GRANTS	48
3.10.	PUBLIC WORKSHOP	48
3.11.	REPORTING	49
3.12.	OVERSIGHT OF CONSORTIA ACTIVITIES SUBSEQUENT TO GRANT APPROVAL	49
3.13.	PAYMENT	50
3.14.	DISTINGUISHING CASF FROM OTHER FINANCIAL RESOURCES.....	51
3.15.	EXECUTION AND PERFORMANCE.....	52
3.16.	ATTACHMENTS 3.A THROUGH 3.I	52

1. Proposed Changes for Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account

1.1. Background

AB 1665 was signed into law on October 15, 2017. AB 1665 makes the following changes to the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account:

- Extends the date of the CASF goal from 2015 to 2022 and modifies the goal to approve funding for broadband infrastructure projects that will provide broadband access to no less than 98 percent of California households in each consortia region, as identified by the Commission as of January 1, 2017, instead of 98 percent statewide.
- Requires the Commission to approve projects that provide last-mile broadband access to households that are unserved by an existing facility-based broadband provider.
- Specifies that projects that only deploy middle-mile infrastructure are not eligible for grant funding. For a project that includes funding for middle-mile infrastructure, the Commission is required to verify that the proposed middle-mile infrastructure is indispensable for accessing the last-mile infrastructure.
- Requires the Commission to give preference to projects in areas where Internet connectivity is available only through dial-up service that are not served by any form of wireline or wireless facility-based broadband service or areas with no Internet connectivity. However, the Commission is not prohibited from approving funding for projects outside of the areas that are designated as prioritized.
- Requires the Commission to recognize that broadband advanced communication services include both wireline and wireless technologies.
- Requires the Commission to annually offer existing facility-based providers the opportunity to demonstrate that they will provide broadband access to delineated unserved areas within 180 days and prohibits the Commission from approving funding for a project in those areas also known as right of first refusal (ROFR), provided the existing provider demonstrates that it will deploy broadband or upgrade existing broadband service throughout the project area.
- Specifies that Connect America Fund Phase II (CAF II) areas are ineligible for CASF funding until July 1, 2020, unless the existing facility-based broadband provider has notified the Commission before July 1, 2020 that it has completed its CAF deployment in the census block however, an existing facility-based broadband provider is eligible to apply for CASF funding pursuant to the CAF II fund to expand broadband service within identified census blocks, as needed.
- Requires the provider to provide the Commission with information to demonstrate what progress has been made or challenges faced in completing the deployment if the existing facility-based broadband provider is unable to

complete the deployment of broadband within the delineated unserved area within 180 days and allows the Commission to extend the time to complete the project beyond the 180 days if the Commission finds that the provider is making progress towards completing deployment, if the Commission finds that the provider is not making progress towards completing the deployment, the delineated unserved area shall be eligible for CASF funding.

- Requires the Commission to not disclose publicly information submitted by the facility-based broadband provider that includes plans for future deployment, but allows the Commission to disclose publicly information regarding the area designated for broadband deployment, the number of households or locations to be served, and the estimated date the deployment will be completed.
- Requires projects eligible for grant awards to meet the following requirements: (a) deploy infrastructure capable of providing broadband access at speeds of a minimum of 10 megabits per second (Mbps) downstream and 1 Mbps upstream to unserved households in census blocks where no provider offers access at speeds of 6 Mbps downstream and 1 Mbps upstream; and (b) all or a significant portion of the project deploys last-mile infrastructure to provide service to unserved households.
- Prohibits the Commission from granting projects that are already funded by the Connect America Fund program or other similar federal public program that funds that infrastructure, except for funding from the federal high-cost support programs that support operations, including High Cost Loop Support, Connect America Fund-Broadband Loop Support (CAF-BLS), or the Alternative Connect America Cost Model (A-CAM).
- Specifies that the Commission may award grants to fund all or a portion of the project, and the Commission shall determine funding on a case-by-case basis.
- Specifies that the Commission may require each infrastructure grant applicant to indicate steps taken to first obtain any available funding from the CAF program or similar federal public programs.
- Allocates \$30 million remaining in the Infrastructure Grant account for projects that provide last-mile broadband access to households at speeds of at least 10/1.
- Requires the Commission to post on the homepage of the CASF on its Internet website a list of all pending applications, application challenge deadlines, and notices of amendments to pending applications.
- Requires the Commission to establish a service list of interested parties to be notified of any CASF applications. Any application and any amendment to an application for project funding shall be served to those on the service list and posted on the Commission's Internet website at least 30 days before publishing the corresponding draft resolution.

1.2. Amount Available for Grants

AB 1665 provides \$300 million for grants to the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account.¹ As of November 30, 2017, \$33,443,763 remains in the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account.²

Upon the accomplishment of the goal, AB 1665 also directs the Commission to reserve not more than \$30 million of Infrastructure grant funds for infrastructure projects that provide last-mile broadband access to households to which no facility-based broadband provider offers broadband service at speeds of at least 10/1.

The Commission may award grants to finance up to 100 percent of eligible project costs in low-income area census block groups. Eligible projects with areas exceeding the low-income standard may be awarded 80 percent of total project costs. Total project funding will be evaluated and apportioned on a case by case basis. Factors that will be taken into consideration include, but are not limited to, the location and accessibility of the area, the existence of communication facilities that may be upgraded to deploy broadband, the ability of the applicant to earn a reasonable return on its investment and whether the project makes a significant contribution to achieving the program goal.

The Commission will approve projects that provide last-mile broadband access to households that are unserved by an existing facility-based broadband provider. Projects that only deploy middle-mile infrastructure are not eligible for grant funding. For an application requesting funding for middle-mile infrastructure, the applicant is required to prove that the proposed middle-mile infrastructure is indispensable for accessing the last-mile infrastructure. Examples of proof shall include a detailed explanation of existing middle-mile facilities, if any, and how and why the existing middle-mile facilities cannot meet the needs of the last-mile infrastructure and/or the needs of the community.

Set-Aside: In order to ensure that broadband infrastructure reaches eligible unserved low-income communities, Staff is proposing a minimum of \$100 million to benefit low-income communities through all forms of applications.

The Commission will give preference to projects in areas where Internet connectivity is available only through dial-up service that are not served by any form of wireline or wireless facility-based broadband service or areas with no Internet connectivity, and it does not prohibit the Commission from approving funding for projects outside of these specified areas.

The Commission will also require where possible that projects leverage existing networks and existing infrastructure. Special consideration will also be given to projects that optimize 'dig once' infrastructure projects, such as gas line digs and highway projects.

¹ This includes \$5 million set aside for the Line Extension program.

² The \$3,158,286 remaining in the Broadband Infrastructure Revolving Loan Account unencumbered as of January 1, 2018, will be transferred to the Broadband Infrastructure Loan Account.

Per AB 1665, the Commission will reimburse for the following expenses:

- Costs directly related to the deployment of infrastructure;
- Costs to lease access to property or for Internet backhaul services for a period not to exceed five years; and
- Costs incurred by an existing facility-based broadband provider to upgrade its existing facilities to provide for interconnection.

The Commission will not fund costs for broadband infrastructure already funded by the CAF program or other similar federal public program that funds that infrastructure, except for funding from the federal high-cost support programs that support operations, including High Cost Loop Support, Connect America Fund-Broadband Loop Support, or the Alternative Connect America Cost Model.

1.3. Definitions

“Baseline Data” means the reported served status of broadband at or above 6 Mbps downstream and 1 Mbps upstream in California by Consortium as of December 31, 2016, published by the Commission. www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=6442455975

“Broadband Map” means the California Interactive Broadband Map showing served status and eligibility, maintained by the Commission. <http://www.broadbandmap.ca.gov/>

“Consortium Map” means a static (pdf) map showing eligible areas in a Consortium’s region published by the Commission. www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=6442455975

“Eligible Project” means an area containing unserved households and that is not within a CAF II, ROFR or existing CASF project area. CAF II areas remain project eligible to the corresponding existing facilities based provider to extend service within its CAF II area. Eligible projects must be capable of offering broadband service at or above 10/1 Mbps, irrespective of the project’s technology.

“Low-income areas” means areas identified by the median income within a Census Block Group having median income less than \$49,200.³

“Unserved household” means a household for which no facility-based broadband provider offers broadband service at speeds of at least 6 mbps downstream and 1 mbps upstream.⁴

“Unserved households with slow-service” means households’ having service at speeds less than 6 Mbps down or 1 Mbps up.

“Unserved households with no-service” means households’ having speeds less than

³ The Commission’s CARE program standard applies per household. We assume a household size of four persons. <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=976>

⁴ Pub. Util. Code section 281(b)(1)(B)

768 Kbps down or 200 Kbps up, no service, or dial-up service.

“Priority Area” means an area recognized by the Commission in Resolution T-17443, Appendix 4 “Broadband Infrastructure Priority Areas”.

“High-Priority Area” means an area deemed by the Commission in an order that it should receive a broadband CASF grant with preference given to projects having low-income census tracts.

1.4. Eligible Applicants

The following entities are CASF infrastructure grant eligible:

- Entities with a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) that qualify as a “telephone corporation” as defined under Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Code section 234; or
- Wireless carriers who are registered with the Commission (i.e., hold a WIR)—wireless carriers need not obtain a CPCN to qualify for CASF funding; or
- Non-telephone corporations, which are facilities based broadband service providers—The Commission uses the National Telecommunications and Information Administration’s (NTIA) definition of a facilities-based broadband service provider, which is generally defined as any entity providing internet access service or middle mile transport, over its own fixed or wireless facilities to residence, businesses, or other institution—must meet the CASF eligibility requirements and comply with program requirements. (D.14-02-018 and T-17443)
- A local governmental agency if no other eligible entity applied.

In addition, the Commission will consider applications from satellite service providers provided that the applicants are able to prove functionality, and are able to meet the speeds required. A local governmental agency may be eligible for an infrastructure grant only if the infrastructure project is for an unserved household or business, the Commission has conducted an open application process, and no other eligible entity applied.

AB 1665 allows a Public Supported Community (PSC) eligible for funding via the Public Housing Account to submit an application for funding from the Infrastructure Account only after all funds available for the Public Housing Account have been awarded.⁵

1.5. Application Process

A completed eligible application will be reviewed by staff and may be recommended to the Commission for approval during a public Commission meeting. Two alternatives to this Commission Resolution process are available: (1) Projects meeting specific criteria are eligible for Expedited Ministerial approval by Staff in lieu of a Commission

⁵ Pub. Util. Code section 281(i)(3)(C)

resolution. (2) Projects deemed “hi-priority” by the Commission for which no subsequent applications have been received are eligible for Staff to engage Request for Proposal contracts. The Request for Proposal process will follow the State Contract Process.

All CASF Grant Applications and supporting material must be submitted online via the web portal. (https://apps.cpuc.ca.gov/cpuc_notices/CASF_Home_Page.htm) Should the web portal not be functional, a hard copy of the application materials may be submitted to the CASF Infrastructure Grant Coordinator and another copy sent to the Office of Ratepayer Advocates.

1.6. Information Required of Applicants

A single CASF Grant Application is to be submitted by each applicant for any eligible project areas. An application can include non-contiguous project areas. Non-contiguous project areas can be considered as a single project. Project applications can include either or both wireline and wireless technologies. Projects with middle-mile infrastructure must show that it is indispensable for accessing the last-mile infrastructure.

Proposed Application Item 1 – Project Summary (Distributed Publicly): Staff recommends that the applicant must submit a Project Summary, which the Communications Division (CD) will post on the CASF webpage, under Pending New Applications to Offer Broadband. The applicant must also submit the Project Summary to the CASF Distribution List. The summary must include the following information:

- Company/Applicant’s name.
- CPCN/U-Number or WIR or pending CPCN/WIR application number.⁶
- Contact person.
- Project title.
- Named project location (Community/County).
- Project type (Last-mile or Hybrid Last-mile/Middle-mile).
- Amount of CASF grant funding requested and project cost.
- Map of the proposed project area.
- The number of unserved households with no-service and the number of unserved households with slow-service for which the project will provide service.
- The maximum Mbps downstream and upstream speed currently offered to households.
- Median Household Income
- The number of businesses, anchor institutions and public safety locations in the project area that will receive new or improved service.
- Description of proposed broadband project plan for which CASF funding is being requested, including the type of technology to be provided in the proposed service areas.

⁶ A CPCN or WIR authority is not necessary to apply or be awarded a CASF grant. CPCN/WIR information is available at www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=1019

- Download speed capabilities of proposed facilities.
- Upload speed capabilities of proposed facilities.
- The project description should provide enough construction detail to enable a preliminary indication of the need for a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review. For example, when trenching is required, the applicant should so state and describe the manner in which the site is to be restored, post-trenching.
- Identification of leveraging existing available facilities (e.g., interconnection in lieu of overbuilding existing facilities of another provider).

The applicant may also use this summary information in its adoption and outreach efforts, i.e., in soliciting local government and community support for the proposed project, in disseminating information to their proposed communities/areas.

Proposed Application Item 2 – Applicant Entity Information: Staff proposes the following information be provided, as necessary:

- Information Sheet (Attachment C) with a Certificate of Good Standing issued by the Secretary of State.
- Organizational Chart, Company History, and Statement of Readiness to Build, Manage, and Operate Broadband
- Key Contact Information (Name, Title, Address, Email Address, and Phone number).
- Key Company Officers

Proposed Application Item 3 – Description of the Applicant’s Current Broadband Infrastructure and Existing Infrastructure in the Area: Staff proposes the applicant provide:

- A description of the provider’s current broadband infrastructure and service within five miles of the proposed project.
- The geographic location of the key network equipment, such as; DSLAMs, wireless towers, router facilities and network interconnection. (Format to be determined by Staff)
- A description of other provider’s infrastructure within the project area which can be leased, purchased or accessed via interconnection.

Proposed Application Item 4 – Project Location Data: Staff proposes the applicant provide the following:

- The geographic location of all households. This information should be provided in a plain-text, comma-separated values (CSV format) file, that contains geo-located street address information, including latitude and longitude coordinates.⁷ (Format to be determined by Staff)

⁷ There are a several possibilities for acquiring geolocated street address level data. This document from USAC provides an overview of geolocation methods:

http://www.usac.org/_res/documents/hc/pdf/tools/HUBBGeolocationMethods.pdf

- The geographic location of the project related key network equipment, such as; DSLAMs, wireless towers, router facilities, network interconnection, etcetera. (Format to be determined by Staff)

Proposed Application Item 5 - Median Income: Staff proposes the applicant report median household income for each Census Block Group (CBG) that intersects the project area. The California Broadband Map (<http://www.broadbandmap.ca.gov/>) includes census derived population and income data and information regarding existing service providers and their reported service speeds. Using the census block group layer data return, the medium income and CBG code can be obtained. For reference, CBG codes are formatted as follows:

- CBG(s) must be based on the latest decennial census. CBG(s) must be in a twelve digit format, as follows:⁸

<u>State CA</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Tract</u>	<u>Block Group</u>
2 digits	3 digits	6 digits	1 digit

Proposed Application Item 6 – Project Eligibility: Staff proposes that the applicant rely on the Broadband Map to identify project eligibility and that the project reported households (CSV file reference in Item 6) are within eligible census blocks (unserved blocks that are not within a CAF II, ROFR or existing CASF project area). Eligible projects must offer broadband service at or above 10 Mbps downstream and 1 Mbps upstream, irrespective of the project’s technology. Staff proposes that the applicant:

- Provide an assertion that the applicant reviewed the wireline, fixed-wireless and mobile served status on the Broadband Map and determined that the broadband project area being proposed is eligible.
- The applicant may provide CalSPEED tests to show actual speeds or denials of service or other public feedback as evidence on unserved status. The Commission’s public feedback tools are available on the CASF website: www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=5868. Such evidence may be used to dispute the Broadband Map depictions of served status.

Proposed Application Item 7 - Deployment Schedule: The current program requires the applicant to complete the project within two years following receipt of grant authorization. However, many projects are delayed by the CEQA permitting process. Staff recommends the project be required to be completed following receipt of grant authorization and all construction permits, including CEQA. Staff requests the applicant provide:

- A schedule for obtaining necessary permits prior to construction. The schedule must include the timeline required for the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review, as applicable.
- A schedule for project construction following receipt of permits, to complete the project within 24-months. The schedule shall identify and describe construction milestones and include start and end dates for each milestone.

⁸ For example, a census block in the town of Fort Bidwell in Modoc County is 060490040001.

- If the applicant is unable to construct and complete the proposed project within 24-months, it must notify CASF staff as soon as it becomes aware and explain reasons for the delay and when the project will be completed.

Proposed Application Item 8 - Proposed Project Expenditures: Staff proposes that the applicant provide:

- Identification of expense categories; direct and or indirect expenses.
- Identification of direct expenses; equipment/materials, labor/construction wages and permitting/CEQA review.
- Identification of individual cost elements and their cost amount. The applicant must identify all the equipment to be funded by the CASF by category, (buildings, towers and poles, network and access equipment, operating equipment, customer premise equipment, materials), and the type of equipment (new building, prefabricated building, rehab of existing building, new towers or poles, modification towers and poles, broadband switching equipment, cable, etc.).
- Identification of allocated indirect costs; General and Administrative expenses, such as; repair & maintenance expenses for equipment and facilities, utilities, rent of equipment and facilities, administrative costs, indirect materials and supplies, insurance on equipment & machinery, indirect labor and contract supervisory wages, production period interest expense

Proposed Application Item 9 - Performance Bond Relief: A performance bond is currently required from applicants who are non-telephone corporations, in order to protect taxpayers from risks like fraud and default. A performance bond is also required from CPCN/WIR holders, unless they certify that the percentage of total project costs it is providing comes from their capital budget and is not obtained from outside financing. However, some applicants have criticized the requirement as unnecessarily burdensome, both because of the difficulty for surety corporations to guarantee a CASF performance bond, and because the CASF program already has several tools at its disposal to ensure that projects are completed. Further, there have been project delays and significant provider and staff resources dedicated to additional Commission resolutions to address bond implementation subsequent to the granting of a CPCN to a provider. The burden is not just to the industry.

Staff recommends in the interest of promoting the deployment of broadband infrastructure some risk of default may need to be accepted, which should be substantively mitigated thorough Staff review of financial statements and performing the project viability test. There are project progress report requirements that are subject to penalty of perjury. Grant monies are reimbursable to costs, where the project must be completed and operational before final payment is made. Further, it is not clear that the risk of default is any greater with a small company that has a CPCN versus one that does not, and to date, there have been no program defaults. Staff seeks comments on whether the performance bond requirement should be maintained.

Proposed Application Item 10 – Pricing Commitment: Staff proposes that applicants submit fixed monthly service subscription rates that it will offer to all consumers during a 24 month period following completion of the project. To encourage adoption, Staff proposes installation charges be waived during the commitment period. After this 24 month period, the service provider may revise their generally applicable service rates and reinstate installation/connection charges for new service connections. The applicant should identify in its application:

- Fixed monthly service level subscription rates.
- Waived installation/service connection charges.
- Specify any commitments and/or requirements that the customer must agree to pursuant to receipt of equipment during the commitment period, such as return of equipment.

Proposed Application Item 11 – Marketing/Outreach plan: Staff proposes that the applicant provide a plan to encourage subscription of the broadband service in the project location. The submission should explain the marketing and outreach plans the applicant will employ to attract residents to sign up for service during the pricing commitment period.

Proposed Application Item 12 – Government and Community Support: The applicant may submit endorsements or letters of support from state and local government, community groups, and anchor institutions supporting the deployment of the broadband infrastructure.

Proposed Application Item 13 – Funding Sources: Staff proposes the applicant identify each applicable project funding source, such as; loans, financial contributions from the service provider, public or private broadband adoption or deployment program funds, federal and state grants or loans.

Proposed Application Item 14 - Financial Qualifications: Staff proposes the following be submitted by applicant regarding the company:

- CPA Audited/Attested Financial Statements for the last three years:
 - Balance Sheet
 - Income Statement
 - Statement of Cash Flows
- Pro Forma Financial Forecast for a five year period, including a list of assumptions supporting the forecast. Projections must include:
 - Balance Sheet
 - Income Statement
 - Statement of Cash Flows
- Five year annual EBIT (Earnings Before Income and Tax) projection.

CPA Audited or Attested Financial Statements will be accepted from parent companies in lieu of financial statements from subsidiaries that have no audited or attested financial statements. If applicant has been in existence for less than three years, financial

statements for as long as applicant has been in existence, e.g. one or two years, will be accepted.

Proposed Application Item 15 – Project Viability: Staff recommends the applicant provide:

- A Five-year projected project business plan showing project profitability, revenues and expenses. The project viability forecast must include projected revenue from customers, showing changes in subscriptions and service rates and charges through the pricing commitment period and the period thereafter, years three through five, as applicable.

Proposed Application Item 16 – CEQA Attestation: Some grant recipients have not considered impacts of CEQA, nor requested adequate funds within their application, and have subsequently requested funds to cover CEQA costs following the approved project grant. This oversight results in additional project delays and workload for the Commission in order to approve additional CEQA funding.

Staff recommends that the applicant provide information about their project such that determinations of how CEQA compliance is to be obtained.

- The applicant should attest that they have contacted the Commission’s Energy Division CEQA section in advance of the filing and have consulted with CEQA Staff regarding the process of developing and filing a PEA or other CEQA documents and are aware of their responsibilities if their proposed project is not exempt from CEQA. Information on PEA and CEQA requirements is available on the Commission’s website, www.cpuc.ca.gov/ceqa

Proposed Application Item 17 – Affidavit: Applicants must submit an affidavit, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of their knowledge all the statements and representations made in the application information submitted is true and correct. (See Attachment D)

1.7. Low-Income Communities - Expedited Ministerial Review

Problem: Commission project review, from application filing to approval date has taken a minimum of 6 months for only a few projects, whereas the majority of projects have taken several years. Frequently, review delay has provided opportunities for additional challenges and new problems to arise at the resolution publication phase. Further, for projects that are non-controversial, Staff must prepare a resolution adding months to the approval process. Currently, staff does not have an expedited process to quickly review low-cost projects and approve without a resolution.

Proposed Solution: Staff recommends that the Commission delegate to Staff the authority to approve applications that meet all of the following criteria:

1. Applicant meets the program eligibility requirements herein and pursuant to Pub. Util. Code sections 281.
2. Costs per household are below CASF program average:⁹
 - a. For project upgrades using existing infrastructure, proposed project costs \$1,212 per household or less.
 - b. For projects building new fiber to the home, proposed project costs \$15,650 per household or less.
 - c. For fixed wireless projects, proposed project costs \$1,285 per household or less.
3. The project serves low-income areas.

1.8. Hi-Priority Areas - Request for Proposal Ministerial Review

Problem: Currently, the program relies solely on a passive approach for providers to select eligible areas they wish to serve and to submit applications. Though Staff engaged consortia to identify priority areas and the Commission subsequently adopted and published a list of priority communities to attract applicants, there has been limited success.¹⁰ There are few projects from existing facilities based providers to utilize the CASF program and apply for grants.

Proposed Solution: Staff proposes implementing a “Request for Proposal” (RFP) process, which would be a more proactive approach for directing applicants towards “high-priority” areas where no applications have been received. The RFP would enable grantees to bid on the projects that Staff determines will maximize broadband availability at served speeds, given scarce resources. The RFP shall follow the state contract process. A similar program, which began in November, 2016, through the Massachusetts Broadband Institute (MBI),¹¹ effectively identifies providers with established financial and technical track records who are willing to meet program specifications.

Contracts will be deliverable-based, to be compensated following project completion and reporting that the project meets the specifications identified in the RFP. Proposals received will be scored based on best value and meeting RFP requirements. The proposal will be reviewed and approved by the Commission’s Contracts Office for the Non IT Goods & Services.

⁹ CASF Workshop on Reform Report May 25, 2017, presented average project cost per household by technology type, Page 72, Table 11. <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=9226>

¹⁰ See Resolution T-17443, “Priority Areas” section beginning on p. 10 and Finding of Fact 8. CASF Regional Consortia and four unrepresented counties designated 182 areas as priorities for broadband infrastructure projects.

¹¹ Massachusetts Broadband Institute Press Release, [MBI Launches RFP to Identify Private Sector Options to Close ‘Last Mile’ Broadband Gaps](#), November 21, 2016.

Requests for bids for identified projects will be published and any interested prospective provider may submit a bid. The RFP process is as follows:

1. Staff identifies projects areas that the Commission identified as “high-priority” for which no CASF grant applications have been received.
2. Staff prepares one or more RFPs inclusive of “high-priority” areas.
3. RFPs are published.
4. Providers submit scope of work and budget proposals that enable it to provide a minimum of 10 Mbps download /1 Mbps upload to all households in the project area within 24 months after environmental reviews have been completed.
5. Staff review and verify that selected bids meet the qualifications and expectations of the program
6. The Director of CD approves and signs contracts with selected providers.

Staff requests comment on the approach outlined above. Should the Commission use a RFP contracting process to encourage Internet service providers to offer service in a given area? How should or does the RFP contract process provide a sufficient difference from the existing application process? Should it provide additional incentives, such as no-matching funds required? Are there potential negative consequences to using this process such as increasing delay in achieving program goals? Are there other approaches that would allow the Commission to meet program goals in a more efficient manner, such as reducing the number of application elements?

1.9. Right of First Refusal

AB 1665 requires that the Commission annually offer an existing facility-based broadband provider the opportunity to demonstrate that it will deploy broadband or upgrade existing facilities to a delineated unserved area within 180 days. If the existing facility-based broadband provider (existing provider) is unable to complete the deployment of broadband within the delineated unserved area within 180 days, the provider shall provide the Commission with information to demonstrate what progress has been made or challenges faced in completing the deployment. If the Commission finds that the provider is making progress towards the completion of the deployment, the Commission shall extend the time to complete the project beyond the 180 days. If the Commission finds that the provider is not making progress towards completing the deployment, the delineated unserved area shall be eligible for CASF funding.

On December 14, 2017, the Commission adopted Resolution T-17590, which establishes new processes and timelines for an existing provider to invoke its “right of first refusal” (ROFR)¹² pursuant to AB 1665, and defers to this proceeding the adoption of a final

¹² Resolution T-17590 does not prohibit existing providers from submitting the ROFR letter indicating an intent to build in a given area beyond the 180 days and before the next ROFR process begins. However, Commission receipt of the letter will not bar the Commission from approving a grant to build in the same area.”

ROFR procedure including a review of the T-17443 criteria to determine if an ROFR designation should be extended beyond 180 days.

Staff requests comments on the following:¹³

1. Is the ROFR submission and due date, each January 15th through 2022, as described in T-17590 appropriate?
2. Is the staff ROFR publication of approved ROFR areas on the Interactive Broadband Map and due date, each January 30th through 2022, sufficient and appropriate?
3. Is the ROFR Project Completion Report and due date, each July 31st through 2022, as described in T-17590 sufficient?
4. Is the ROFR Extension Request and due date, each July 15th through 2022, as described in T-17590 sufficient?
5. What should be the consequences if the ROFR provider fails to timely complete their project?
6. Is it reasonable to grant repeated extensions of a ROFR, and if so, how many and for how long?

1.10. Treatment of CAF II Areas

AB 1665 specifies that the CAF II areas are eligible to existing facility-based providers and are ineligible for CASF until July 1, 2020, unless the existing facility-based broadband provider has notified the Commission before July 1, 2020, that it has either completed or elected not to build its Connect America Fund deployment in the census block

Staff published on December 22, 2017, the California Interactive Broadband Map which depicts CAF II accepted locations that are CASF grant ineligible to non-existing facilities based broadband providers.¹⁴

Staff requests comments on the following:

1. How can the Commission incentivize existing facilities based broadband providers to build out their CAF II obligations in a timely manner?
2. How and what is the process for existing providers to notify the Commission before July 1, 2020, that it has either completed or elected not to build its CAF to expand broadband service within identified census blocks?

1.11. Submission and Selection Timelines

Staff proposes that annual submission of CASF grant applications be due three months following publication of the annual ROFR determinations depicted on the Interactive Broadband Map. Presuming the current ROFR schedule as described in T-17950 was to

¹³ Information about the ROFR and Resolution T-17950 is available at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=1075>

¹⁴ The list of FCC's accepted blocks is available from the FCC at: https://transition.fcc.gov/web/CAM43_Supported_Locations.zip

continue, CASF applications would be due on April 30th following the ROFR publication on each January 31st. Staff will select projects for funding prior to the next application submission date. If a project has not been selected or rejected they will compete for the subsequent round(s) of funding and need not reapply. Staff seeks comment:

1. Is 90 days sufficient time for potential applicants following publication of the ROFR areas on the map?
2. Should an additional CASF grant application opportunity be afforded following the July 31st ROFR completion dates, thereby permitting submission of applications every 180 days? How will this affect prioritization of projects?

1.12. Posting of Applications

The Commission will post on the homepage of the CASF on its Internet Web site a list of all pending applications, application challenge deadlines, and notices of amendments to pending applications. The Commission already has a CASF distribution list of interested parties, and will continue to notify the distribution list of interest parties for any CASF applications. The Commission will serve applications and any amendment to an application for project funding to those on the service list and posted on the Commission's Internet Web site at least 30 days before publishing the corresponding draft resolution.

1.13. Project Challenges

Pursuant to AB 1665, the Commission shall provide each applicant, and any party challenging an application, the opportunity to demonstrate actual levels of broadband service in the project area, which the Commission shall consider in reviewing the application.

Staff recommends that the challenge period be 21 calendar days from the filing of the application and that challengers have submitted their most current Form 477 filed with the FCC.

Challengers must provide a public notice of the challenge to the CASF Distribution List and submit the confidential challenge report to the CD Director, inclusive of the following:

- a) Identification of the number of served households in the project area that already have broadband availability at or above 6 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload.
- b) An attestation that the households identified in (a) are offered service and have the capability to receive minimum speeds of 6 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload.
- c) The geographic location of households that are served. This information should be provided in a plain-text, comma-separated values (CSV) file, that contains geo-located street address information, including latitude and longitude coordinates. (See Appendix 1 for data submission format)

- d) CalSPEED test results indicating download and upload speeds at dispersed locations in the project area, with geographic locations identified. CalSPEED is available at <http://www.calspeed.org/>.

CD will inform the applicant of its determination of the challenge based on the confidential challenge report.

1.14. Scoring Criteria and High-Priority Areas

Problem: The current scoring criteria lack a guide to determine a higher project priority area from another when project areas do not overlap. Applications are ranked against other projects in order to determine Staff priorities based on the scoring criteria set forth in D.12-02-015. There are several ranking processes for infrastructure grant/loan projects: (1) Staff ranks new projects with all pending projects. (2) Low-rank projects are delayed relative to higher-ranking projects at the time of assignment of resources. (3) Ranking is most relevant when two or more projects have overlapping areas and a choice is to be made which project to recommend to the Commission for approval. When application project areas are disparate, both projects, when meeting program requirements are recommended to the Commission for approval.

Staff Proposal: Staff recommends revising the scoring criteria to give greater weight to areas determined as Low-Income and separately having the Commission identify “high-priority” areas. In the criteria listed below, 5 points have been removed from Speed criteria and added to Low-Income Areas criteria:

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| • Funds Requested per Potential Customer | 30 points |
| • Speed | 20 points |
| • Financial Viability | 15 points |
| • Low-Income Areas | 10 points |
| • Pricing | 10 points |
| • Total Number of HHs in Proposed Area(s) | 5 points |
| • Timeliness of Project Completion | 5 points |
| • Guaranteed Pricing Period | 5 points |

The determination of low-income is based on the median household income of the potential customers in the applicant’s proposed area. Five (5) bonus points will be added to the score of an applicant who is able to submit government and community support endorsements or letters-of-support.

“High-priority” areas will be designated by the Commission for special consideration via an RFP should an application not be received for the area. Project applications containing “high-priority” areas will receive ten (10) bonus points. Eligible projects need not contain “high-priority” areas and will be reviewed, scored and considered accordingly.

1.15. Compliance Changes Pursuant to CASF Performance Audit

Problem: The CASF Second Interim Performance Audit findings from the State Controller's Office (SCO) questioned whether the resolution, which it refers to as agreements, is sufficient in holding grantees accountable.¹⁵ SCO stated that specific program requirements are not found in the code or in the resolution and are very broad. SCO recommended that the Commission enter into signed, contractual grant agreements with grantees that require them to provide data on job creation.

Proposed Solution: In addition to the resolution, that binds the applicant to its terms and address SCO concerns, Staff recommends creating a consent form that is signed by the grantee. The consent form will require the grantees signature acknowledging receipt of the resolution, and will further bind the grantee to the terms and conditions of the resolution authorizing the CASF award.

Proposed Change: Require the applicant to sign a consent form agreeing to the terms stated in the resolution authorizing the CASF award. The agreement will provide the name of the applicant, names of officers and members, and must be signed by the applicant. The proposed wording of the consent form is in Attachment Ax.

1.16. CEQA Payment

Problem: The current program requires that the applicant provide the Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA) prior to the first 25% payment. This is problematic because (1) potential CEQA costs are generally unknown and are not always considered prior to grant approval and (2) because CEQA costs can be substantial and under current rules can only be recovered after 25% of the project is complete, which cannot occur without CEQA first being completed. Because completion of CEQA can take years, cash flow problems have occurred for some grantees.

Proposed Solution: CEQA consultant costs should be paid directly by the Commission to the contractor. Following award of a grant the Energy Division CEQA Section Staff will obtain a contractor to review the CEQA documents for the project. The CASF program will pay directly for the cost of the consultant preparation of the CEQA compliance documents in proportion of the awarded project cost grant share. The grantee will be billed for the remaining portion of the cost of the consultant according to that awarded share, award to matching funds.

The applicant may file with the Energy Division's CEQA Section a completed CEQA review conducted by another agency acting as the Lead Agency pursuant to CEQA. Should this occur, grantees may request funds to pay for preparation of a PEA.

¹⁵ State Controller's Office Audit Report, March 2017, pg. 5.
www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=9226

1.17. Semi-annual Reporting and Completion Reports

Currently the program, pursuant to Decision 12-02-015, requires quarterly reports beginning the first quarter following the approval of the resolution approving a grant. However, staff believes that the quarterly reporting requirement is too-frequent and does not provide value. Often reports contain little information from prior reports, in particular when projects are waiting on environmental review.

Staff proposes that reports be due on a bi-annual basis instead of quarterly reporting. This would require a minimum of four reports if the project immediately begins construction following receipt of the grant and is completed within 24-months. Bi-annual reports will reduce the number of reports otherwise received thereby reducing regulatory burden on the applicant and Staff. Bi-annual reports should contain the following:

1. Description of project accomplishments during this quarter
2. Identification of project milestones and the percent complete to date. If the percent completed is different from the estimated target milestones from the CASF application, it is necessary to provide a narrative description explaining what occurred.
3. Description of any challenges or issues and any risks faced during this past quarter in achieving planned progress on the project, including environment compliance and permitting challenges if applicable.
4. Description of significant project milestones or accomplishments planned for next quarter
5. Subscribership information to date.
6. Certification that each progress report is true and correct, under penalty of perjury.

Completion reports must be submitted prior to receiving the final payment, and should contain the following:

1. Comparison of approved versus actual costs of construction
2. Description of the project, including any changes in the project construction and alignment, if applicable.
3. Milestones and completion dates for each milestone
4. Speed test data for the CB
 - a. Test the download and upload speeds
 - b. Sample at dispersed locations in the project area; number of tests will vary based on project
 - c. An attestation that all households with the project area are offered service and minimum speeds of 6 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload or higher
 - d. Use online CalSPEED speed test tool – <http://calspeed.org/index.html>; take a screen shot of the results and include it with your final report
 - e. Maps of the areas covered

- f. The geographic location of all households that are served. This information should be provided in a plain-text, comma-separated values (CSV) file, that contains geo-located street address information, including latitude and longitude coordinates.
- g. Documentation of advertisements, billing inserts and marketing information; by speed tier and prices
- h. Number of subscribers that actually signed up as of the date of the request for reimbursement versus the projected number of subscribers
- i. Identification of the number of served households in the project area that have broadband availability at or above the aforementioned minimum speeds.
- j. Submit a copy of Form 477 data directly to the Commission

Similarly, Staff recognizes the following issue, and requests comments: Grantees typically want to be paid promptly/frequently, with minimal reporting requirements, but these two issues are intrinsically related. Because more frequent payments imply more frequent reports as well, Staff requests comments on the appropriate balance between the two, particularly as it relates to proposals to adopt bi-annual reporting, or deliverables-based payments (see section 1.8). More specifically, Staff requests comments on the following question: If a project will take a total of less than 24 months, should grantees submit fewer reports and be paid less frequently? For example, if a project is completed within 6 months, should the grantee only submit a completion report (and be paid once)?

1.18. Payment

Requests for payments are on a progress billing basis. The first payment requires that a progress report be submitted to the Commission showing that at least 25% of the project has been completed. Subsequent payments are made in 25% increments, after demonstrating completion of at least 50%, 75%, and 100%. The grantee must submit a project completion report before full payment will be issued. Payments are based on submitted receipts, invoices and other supporting documentation showing expenditures incurred for the project in accordance with the CASF funding budget submitted by the CASF grantee's application. Grantees shall submit the final request for payment within 90 days after completion of the project. The grantee shall notify the Commission as soon as they become aware that they may not be able to meet the 24-month timeline. Requests for payments for CEQA-related costs, such as PEAs are not subjected to progress billing basis

As an alternative, Staff proposes payments may be made on a deliverable-basis, wherein a grantee indicates in its application that it will submit invoices upon completion of specific construction phases. This imposes upon the grantee an obligation to deliver the phases of construction specified in the resolution. This payment option establishes a single price, or a series of line-item or unit prices, that are not subject to any adjustment, that the grantee may or may not experience during construction. This includes a precise description of what is to be delivered, the costs specific to these deliverables, and the timelines to be met. CASF project deliverables include obtaining permits, consultants,

equipment, lease contracts, completed wiring of cable, and fiber to the pole and to the home.

Projects paid on a deliverable-basis are made when each segment of the project has been completed. The grantee must provide proof of completion, which includes providing copies of receipts, invoices, and permits. Payments are based on submitted receipts, invoices and other supporting documentation showing expenditures incurred for the project in accordance with the CASF funding budget submitted by the CASF grantee with its application.

Grantees shall submit the final request for payment within 90 days after completion of the project. If the grantee cannot complete the project within the 24-month timeline, the grantee shall notify the Commission as soon as they become aware that they may not be able to meet the timeline and provide a new project completion date.

In the event that the recipient fails to notify the Communications Division of any delays in the project completion and the project fails to meet the approved completion date, the Commission may impose penalties to be adopted in a Commission resolution. Invoices submitted will be subject to a financial audit by the Commission at any time within 3 years of completion of project. If portions of reimbursements are found to be out of compliance, Grantees will be responsible for refunding any disallowed amounts along with appropriate interest at rates determined in accordance with applicable Commission decisions.

1.19. Execution and Performance

Staff and the CASF grant recipient shall determine a project start date after the CASF grant recipient has obtained all approvals, commonly 30 days after approval of the resolution or expedited review approval. Should the recipient or Contractor fail to commence work at the agreed upon time, the Commission, upon five (5) days written notice to the CASF recipient, reserves the right to terminate the award.

In the event that the CASF recipient fails to complete the project, in accordance with the terms of approval granted by the Commission, the CASF recipient must reimburse some or all of the CASF funds that it has received. The CASF grant recipient must complete all performance under the award on or before the termination date of the award.

Construction Phase: A grantee must notify the Commission within five days of determining that the grantee is planning to sell or transfer its assets. The grantee shall notify the Director of the Commission's Communications Division in writing of its intent to sell or transfer company assets within five days of becoming aware of these plans. The grantee shall also provide documentation, including an affidavit, stating that the new entity will take full responsibility and ownership to comply with the requirements of the CASF award. The new entity shall agree in writing to such. The grantee shall provide the Commission with any necessary documents requested in its review of the transfer. This will include all documents that are generally required of all entities applying for the

CASF grants and loans. The grantee shall not transfer CASF funds or the built out portion of the project to the new entity prior to Commission approval via a resolution/order. If the Commission does not provide approval, it will rescind the grant.

Post-Construction Phase: A grantee must notify the Commission within five days of determining that the grantee is planning to sale or transfer its assets for three years after project completion. The grantee shall notify the Director of the Commission's Communications Division in writing of their intent to sell or transfer company assets within five days of becoming aware of these plans. The grantee shall also provide documentation, including an affidavit, stating that the new entity will take full responsibility and ownership to comply with the requirements of the CASF grant. The new entity shall agree in writing to such.

1.20. Attachments 1.A through 1.D

To assist prospective applicants for infrastructure grants in preparing their requests in a manner that meets Commission requirements, below are proposed documents that must be properly completed with the application in order to be considered for approval. The purpose and contents of each of these forms and information requirements is discussed in detail below. The attachments provided are summarized as follows:

- 1.A. Consent Form
- 1.B. Application Checklist
- 1.C. Applicant Information Sheet
- 1.D. Affidavit

Attachment 1.A—Consent Form

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., *Governor*

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
CONSUMER PROTECTION & SAFETY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



CONSENT FORM

Name of Grantee:

The Grantee identified above acknowledges receipt of the California Public Utilities Commission Resolution T-_____ and agrees to comply with all grant terms, conditions, and requirements set forth in the Resolution and CASF rules.

Undersigned representative of _____

[Name of Grantee] is duly authorized to execute this Consent Form on behalf of the Grantee and to bind the Grantee to the terms, conditions, and requirements set forth in California Public Utilities Commission Resolution T-_____.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

Signature

Printed Name

Title: _____

Organization or Name of Company:

Business Address (include street address, suite/apt. number, city, state, and ZIP Code):

Telephone Number (include area code): Email Address:

() _____

Attachment 1.B—Application Checklist**CASF APPLICATION CHECKLIST**(Required for EACH proposed project)

To assist the Commission in verifying the completeness of your proposal, mark the box to the left of each item submitted.

	1. Project Summary (Distributed Publicly)
	2. Applicant Entity Information (Information Sheet)
	Attach Information Sheet with a Certificate of Good Standing from Secretary of State
	Organizational Chart, Company History, and Statement of Readiness to Build, Manage, and Operate Broadband
	Key Company Officers
	Key Contact Information (Name, Title, Address, Email Address, and Phone number)
	3. Description of the Applicant's Current Broadband Infrastructure and existing Infrastructure in the area
	A description of the provider's current broadband infrastructure and service within five miles of the proposed project.
	The geographic location of the key network equipment, such as; DSLAMs, wireless towers, router facilities and network interconnection. (Format to be determined by Staff)
	A description of other provider's infrastructure within the project area which can be leased, purchased or accessed via interconnection.
	4. Project Location Data
	The geographic location of all households. This information should be provided in a plain-text, comma-separated values (CSV format) file, that contains geo-located street address information, including latitude and longitude coordinates. ¹⁶ (Format to be determined by Staff)
	The geographic location of the project related key network equipment, such as; DSLAMs, wireless towers, router facilities, network interconnection, etcetera. (Format to be determined by Staff)
	The geographic location of all households. This information should be provided in a plain-text, comma-separated values (CSV format) file, that contains geo-located street address information, including latitude and longitude coordinates. (Format to be determined by Staff)
	5. Median household
	Income for each Census Block Group (CBG) that intersects the project area. The California Broadband Map (http://www.broadbandmap.ca.gov/)

¹⁶ There are several ways of acquiring geolocated street address level data. USAC provides an overview: www.usac.org/_res/documents/hc/pdf/tools/HUBBGeolocationMethods.pdf

	Using the census block group layer data return, the medium income and CBG code can be obtained. For reference, CBG codes are formatted as follows: <u>State CA</u> <u>County</u> <u>Tract</u> <u>Block Group</u> 2 digits 3 digits 6 digits 1 digit
6. Project Eligibility	
	Provide an assertion that the applicant reviewed the wireline, fixed-wireless and mobile served status on the Broadband Map and determined that the broadband project area being proposed is eligible.
	The applicant may provide CalSPEED tests to show actual speeds or denials of service or other public feedback as evidence on unserved status. The Commission's public feedback tools are available on the CASF website: www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=5868 . Such evidence may be used to dispute the Broadband Map depictions of served status.
7. Deployment Schedule	
	A schedule for obtaining necessary permits prior to construction. The schedule must include the timeline required for the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review, as applicable.
	A schedule for project construction following receipt of permits, to complete the project within 24-months. The schedule shall identify and describe construction milestones and include start and end dates for each milestone.
8. Proposed Project Expenditures	
	Identification of expense categories; direct and or indirect expenses.
	Identification of direct expenses; equipment/materials, labor/construction wages and permitting/CEQA review.
	Identification of individual cost elements and their cost amount. All equipment to be funded by the CASF by category, (buildings, towers and poles, network and access equipment, operating equipment, customer premise equipment, materials), the type of equipment (new building, prefabricated building, rehab of existing building, new towers or poles, modification towers, poles, switching equipment, cable, etc.).
	Identification of allocated indirect costs; General and Administrative expenses, such as; repair & maintenance expenses for equipment and facilities, utilities, rent of equipment and facilities, administrative costs, indirect materials and supplies, insurance on equipment & machinery, indirect labor and contract supervisory wages, production period interest expense
9. Performance Bond to be posted if applicable,	
	Required within five business days after completion of the CEQA review.
10. Pricing Commitment	
	Fixed monthly service level subscription rates.
	Waived installation/service connection charges.
	Specify any commitments and/or requirements that the customer must agree to pursuant to receipt of equipment during the commitment period.
11. Marketing/Outreach Plan	

	Provide a plan to encourage subscription of the broadband service in the project location. The submission should explain the marketing and outreach plans the applicant will employ to attract residents to sign up for service during the pricing commitment period.
12. Government and Community Support	
	The applicant may submit endorsements or letters of support from state and local government, community groups, and anchor institutions supporting the deployment of the broadband infrastructure.
13. Funding Sources	
	Identify each applicable project funding source, such as; loans, financial contributions from the service provider, public or private broadband adoption or deployment program funds, federal and state grants or loans.
14. Financial Qualifications	
	CPA Audited/Attested Financial Statements for the last three years: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Balance Sheet ○ Income Statement ○ Statement of Cash Flows
	Pro Forma Financial Forecast for a five year period, including a list of assumptions supporting the forecast. Projections must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Balance Sheet ○ Income Statement ○ Statement of Cash Flows
	Five year annual EBIT (Earnings Before Income and Tax) projection.
	CPA Audited or Attested Financial Statements will be accepted from parent companies in lieu of financial statements from subsidiaries that have no audited or attested financial statements.
	If applicant has been in existence for less than three years, financial statements for as long as applicant has been in existence, e.g. one or two years, will be accepted.
15. Project Viability	
	A Five-year projected project business plan showing project profitability, revenues and expenses. The project viability forecast must include projected revenue from customers, showing changes in subscriptions, service rates, and charges through the pricing commitment period and three through five years thereafter, as applicable.
16. CEQA Attestation	
	The applicant should attest that they have contacted the Commission's Energy Division CEQA section in advance of the filing and have consulted with CEQA Staff regarding the process of developing and filing a PEA or other CEQA documents and are aware of their responsibilities if their proposed project is not exempt from CEQA. Information on PEA and CEQA requirements is available on the Commission's website, www.cpuc.ca.gov/ceqa
17. Affidavit	
	Applicants must submit an affidavit, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of their knowledge all the statements and representations made in the application information submitted is true and correct.

Attachment 1.C— Applicant Information Sheet

Information Sheet to be submitted by Applicants Requesting for CASF Funding

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 Application of:	
Name of Applicant	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 30px;"></div>	
for CASF Funding pursuant to Decision _____	
(Insert the full legal name of applicant in blank above; see instruction 1; attach fictitious names, if any)	
Street address:	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px;"></div>	
Telephone: ()	Fax No.: ()
E-Mail:	

2 Applicant is: (Check only one; see instruction 2.)	A corporation (attach good standing certificate)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A general partnership (attach good standing certificate)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A limited liability partnership (attach good standing certificate)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A limited liability company (attach good standing certificate)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A general partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A sole proprietor	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A trust	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Other (describe)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Attach name, street address, and telephone number of applicant's registered agent for service of process Attach list of the names, titles, and street addresses of all officers and directors, general partners, trustees, members, or other persons authorized to conduct the business of applicant at a similar level <i>Attach list of all affiliated entities (see instruction 2)</i>		

3 Legal domicile of applicant is:	California	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Other (identify):	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>(Check only one; see instruction 3.)</i>		

4 Applicant will provide service:	In specific portions only (attach description and map)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>(Check only one; see instruction 5.)</i>		

5. Applicant will provide: broadband service only	True	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not true	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>(Check only one; see instruction 6.)</i>		

6. For the past 10 years, no affiliate, officer, director, general partner, or	True	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not true	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>person owning more than 10% of applicant, or anyone acting in such a capacity whether or not formally appointed, held one of these positions with any company that filed for bankruptcy or has been found either criminally or civilly liable by a court of appropriate jurisdiction for a violation of § 17000 et seq. of the California Business and Professions Code or for any actions which involved misrepresentations to consumers, and to the best of applicant's knowledge, is not currently under investigation for similar violations.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(Check only one; see instruction 2.)</i></p>		

7. To the best of applicant's knowledge, neither	True	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not true	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>applicant, any affiliate, officer, director, partner, nor owner of more than 10% of applicant, or any person acting in such capacity whether or not formally appointed, has been sanctioned by the Federal Communications Commission, or any state regulatory agency for failure to comply with any regulatory statute, rule or order, or convicted by any court for any criminal activity for the past 10 years.</p>		

8. Applicant has the required financial capability and technical	True	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not true	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>expertise to build a broadband infrastructure and operate and maintain a broadband service.</p>		

R.12-10-012 MGA/eg3

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the forgoing information, and all attachments, are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief after due inquiry, and that I am authorized to make this application on behalf of the applicant named above.

Signed:

Name: _____
Title:
Dated
:

**Street
Address**

**Telephone No.
Fax No.**

Principal Place of Business (if different from address on page 1).

**Street Address
City
State
ZIP Code
Telephone No.**

Attachment 1.D-Affidavit

Affidavit

Name of Carrier/Company _____

Utility Identification Number _____ or _____ check here if Application for CPCN is pending and the CPUC assigned application no., if available.

My name is _____. I am _____ (Title) of _____ (Company). My personal knowledge of the facts stated herein has been derived from my employment with _____ (Company)

I swear or affirm that I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Application for the California Advanced Services Fund, I am competent to testify to them, and I have the authority to make this Application on behalf of and to bind the Company.

I further swear or affirm that _____ [Name of Carrier/Company] agrees to comply with all federal and state statutes, rules, and regulations, covering broadband services and state contractual rules and regulations, if granted funding from the California Advanced Services Fund.

I swear and affirm that I agree to comply with Rules 1.11 and 2.2 of the California Public Utilities Commission's rules of practice and Procedure.

I swear or affirm, under penalty of perjury, and under Rule 1.1 of the California Public Utilities Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, that, to the best of my knowledge, all of the statements and representations made in this Application are true and correct.

Signature and title

Type or print name and title

2. **Proposed Implementation Plan for Line Extension Program**

2.1. **Background**

AB 1665 introduced the Line Extension Program (LEP) as part of the CASF Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account. Under the LEP, an individual household and/or property owner can apply for an infrastructure grant to offset the costs of connecting a household or property to an existing or proposed facility-based broadband provider.¹⁷ Any infrastructure built with funds provided by the LEP shall become the property of, and part of, the network of the facility-based broadband provider to which it is connected.¹⁸ AB 1665 directs the Commission to consider limiting funds to households based on income so that funds are provided only to households that would not otherwise be able to afford a line extension to the property, to limit grants on a per-household basis and to require a percentage of the project to be paid by the household or owner of the property.¹⁹

2.2. **Amount Available for Grants**

Pursuant to AB 1665, the aggregate amount available for awards is \$5 million dollars.²⁰

Definitions

Staff seeks comments on the following definitions:

Facilities-based broadband provider: An entity is a facilities-based provider if any of the following conditions are met: (1) it owns the portion of the physical facility that terminates at the end-user premises or obtains the right to use dark fiber or satellite transponder capacity as part of its own network to complete such terminations; (2) it obtains unbundled network element (UNE) loops, special access lines, or other leased facilities that terminate at the end-user premises and provisions/equips them as broadband; (3) it provisions/equips a broadband wireless channel to the end-user premises over licensed or unlicensed spectrum; or (4) it provides terrestrial mobile wireless service using its own network facilities and spectrum for which it holds a license, manages, or has obtained the right to use via a spectrum leasing arrangement.²¹

¹⁷ Pub. Util. Code, § 281(f)(6)(A).

¹⁸ Id.

¹⁹ Pub. Util. Code, § 281(f)(6)(B)(i).

²⁰ Pub. Util. Code, § 281(f)(6)(B)(ii).

²¹ Federal Communications Commission, <https://transition.fcc.gov/form477/WhoMustFileForm477.pdf> (downloaded November 7, 2017).

Line Extensions: Line extensions consist of over-head, underground or wireless extensions of plant from existing distribution facilities to the customer premises network interface device at the demarcation point and exclude additions to plant along existing distribution facilities.

Service Connection: Wire or cable or wireless transmission equipment, and associated supporting structure, from the point of connection from the provider's distribution facilities to the customer premises network interface device. A service connection serves only the property on which it is located.

Telecommunications transmission media (specific to LEP): Twisted-pair (copper); coaxial cable (copper); fiber optics; wireless solutions such as millimeter wave spectrum may be included.

Unserved household: An unserved household is a household for which no facility-based broadband provider offers broadband service at speeds of at least six megabits per second (mbps) downstream and one mbps upstream.²²

Non-profit housing organization (NPHO): An incorporated nonprofit organization as described in Section 501 (c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. Sec. 501(c)(3)) that is exempt from taxation under Section 501 (a) of that code (16 U.S.C. Sec. 501(a)), and that has received public funding to subsidize the construction or maintenance of housing occupied by residents whose annual income qualifies as "low"-or "very low" income according to federal poverty guidelines.²³

2.3. Income Threshold

AB 1665 requires the Commission to consider income in determining eligibility for grants and requiring a percentage of the project to be paid for by the applicant.²⁴

Applicants who would qualify for the California LifeLine²⁵ or CARE²⁶ Programs would automatically meet the qualifying income threshold. Staff proposes that exemptions to qualifying income requirements be made for applicants whose properties are used for such activities as farming, for low-income housing, for educational purposes, among others.

²² Pub. Util. Code, § 281(b)(1)(B).

²³ Pub. Util. Code § 281, (i)(1)(B)(ii).

²⁴ Pub. Util. Code, § 281(f)(6)(B)(i).

²⁵ www.cpuc.ca.gov/ults/

²⁶ www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=976

Staff invites parties to comment on what criteria can be used to determine activities which would allow for a project to be exempt from a qualifying income threshold.²⁷

2.4. Subsidy Level

If approved by expedited review (see below), the LEP will subsidize 95% of the cost of the proposed project for those applicants who meet the California LifeLine or CARE Program income thresholds or applicants who are NPHOs. Otherwise the percentage to be subsidized will be determined at the time the project is under review.

The Commission may want to consider a requirement for the facilities-based broadband provider to pay for some minimal amount of the proposed line extension given that the provider will own the constructed infrastructure.

Staff invites parties to comment on what the appropriate subsidy level should be used for the LEP consumer and **that of the non-LEP consumer**, given that AB 1665 requires a percentage of the project to be paid by the household or owner of the property²⁸ and that any infrastructure built with funds from the LEP become the property of the facilities based broadband provider²⁹

2.5. Eligible Applicants

An eligible applicant is an individual household who resides at the location to be served or the property owner of the location to be served and who either meets the income based requirements or who qualifies for an exemption to the income requirements.

2.6. Eligible Projects

An eligible project is a project at the property to be served which meets the following:

- The project is to help fund the construction of a line extension or an upgrade to an existing line extension to and on a property which is the residence of the applicant household or which is owned by the applicant property owner.
- This project is for a household that has not received a grant from the LEP.
- The project is for an unserved household.
- The project is for a new service connection or for a service connection to a household that is considered unserved.
- The maximum length of the total line extension and the included service connection length (both maximum and minimum) that could be funded will be limited. Staff invites parties to comment on the maximum and minimum length of a proposed line extension, in context of the transmission media used.

²⁷ Pub. Util. Code § 281, (f)(6)(B)(i) “. . .the commission shall consider limiting funding to households based on income so that funds are provided only to households that would not otherwise be able to afford a line extension to the property. . .”

²⁸ Pub. Util. Code § 281, (f)(6)(B)(i).

²⁹ Pub. Util. Code § 281, (f)(6)(A).

- The project will be constructed on existing rights of way and on the project property.
- The network that the proposed line extension will connect to will provide served speeds, as defined.

2.7. Information Required from Applicants

- The applicant must provide a proposed contract (“the contract”) from the facilities broadband provider or its approved contractor for the purpose of the construction of the line extension. This contract must state details of this construction, such as the type of connection, statement of work, cost estimate and the justification for the cost.
- If the applicant is qualifying for the LEP based on his/her enrollment in a qualifying public assistance program,³⁰ the applicant must provide proof of this enrollment.
- If the applicant is qualifying for the LEP based on income, the applicant must provide proof-of-income.
 - The applicant needs to provide a copy of one or more of the documents below and any other relevant federal tax documents showing income (the applicant or applicant household needs to be listed as filer):
 - Federal Income Tax Form 1040, 1040NR, 1040A, 1040EZ, 1040PC, 1040X (for amended returns) w/original 1040 from previous year, 1040 with Schedule E. If self-employed, Federal Income Tax form 1040 w/ Schedule C or Schedule C-EZ, 1040X (amended returns) with original 1040 & Schedule C.
 - Pay stub:
 - Name of person must be on stub or other identifying information to link to the person (i.e., SSN).
 - Pay stub must be issued within the last 45 days showing the gross amount (include tips, overtime, commission and bonus).
 - A pay stub or check indicating only net amount is not acceptable.
- If the applicant is not the property owner, the applicant must provide a letter from the property owner stating the property owner’s consent for work to be done, as stated in the contract.
- If the applicant is a NPHO, the applicant must provide an IRS letter approving the applicant’s status as a 501(c)(3) entity incorporated for the purposes of providing affordable housing, which must include the applicant’s Tax Identification Number, along with an award letter from a public agency such as the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC), proving its receipt of public funding for affordable housing purposes.
- If the applicant is any other entity that has been granted an exemption from income requirements, that applicant must provide documents that support that exemption.

³⁰ For a list of qualifying programs, please refer to the California LifeLine webpage on the CPUC website (<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/ults/>).

2.8. Submission and Timelines

Applicants need to use the Commission provided application form to apply. Applicants should electronically file their completed application, along with required documentation, using the Commission’s FTP file server available at <https://kwftp.cpuc.ca.gov> and mail a separate hard copy to the Communications Division, Attn: California Advanced Services Fund, Line Extension Program.

Applications may be submitted at any time. However, CD will consider applications submitted on or before each deadline listed below as a batch, until the funding is exhausted. Staff seeks comments on whether the following deadlines are reasonable.

- October 1, 2018
- January 1, 2019
- April 1, 2019
- July 1, 2019
- October 1, 2019
- January 1, 2020
- April 1, 2020
- July 1, 2020
- October 1, 2020
- January 1, 2021
- April 1, 2021
- July 1, 2021
- October 1, 2021
- January 1, 2022
- April 1, 2022
- July 1, 2022
- October 1, 2022

Any deadline falling on a holiday or a weekend will be extended to the following business day.

2.9. Expedited Review

Staff recommends that the Commission assign to Staff the task of approving applications that meet all of the following criteria:

- The proposed project is an eligible project, as described in Section 2.6 “Eligible Projects.”
- The proposed project is for an applicant whose income is at or under the income thresholds, as described in Section 1.1.3 “Income Threshold.”
- The proposed project per-foot costs meet the benchmark costs specific to the proposed transmission media. Staff invites parties to comment on what, if any, project per-foot cost benchmark should be used.

- Only project proposals whose total cost is at or below a designated amount could be approved by expedited review. Staff invites parties to comment on the range of total project costs.
- The project is exempt from any environmental review.

2.10. Resolution Review

When an eligible project does not meet the expedited review criteria, the project may still be considered for a grant, but it must go through the traditional Commission Resolution approval process.

2.11. Reporting

Upon project completion of the project and before payment, both the applicant and the facilities based broadband provider or its approved contractor must provide signed affidavits stating that the work has been completed. The applicant must submit a signed affidavit stating the line extension has been installed and that they are receiving internet at served speeds. The facilities based broadband provider or its approved contractor must submit a signed affidavit stating that the work has been completed as stated in the contract and that the service has been turned on.

2.12. Payment

Payment will be made directly to the facilities-based broadband provider or the approved contractor who installed the line extension. Payment will be based upon receipt and approval of the invoice submitted by the provider showing the expenditures incurred for the project along with the affidavit detailed in the Reporting section. The invoice must be supported by documentation of equipment and supplies purchased, cost of labor and any other expense that will be recovered by the subsidy.

Payment from the Commission will only be for the provided subsidy.

2.13. Execution and Performance

The facilities based broadband provider or its approved contractor has up to one year to complete the proposed line extension in accordance to the terms of its contract and the requirement of the LEP. If the proposed line extension has not been completed within the one-year period, the grant will be rescinded.

The Commission has the right to conduct any necessary audit, verification, and discovery during project implementation/construction for work done under the LEP to ensure that CASF funds are spent in accordance with Commission approval.

Invoices from the facilities based broadband provider or its approved contractor will be subject to financial audit by the Commission at any time within 3 years of completion of the work.

R.12-10-012 MGA/eg3

In the event that the facilities based broadband provider fails to complete the work in accordance with the approval granted by the Commission, and as stated in the contract the facilities based broadband provider must reimburse some or all of the CASF funds that it has received.

3. Proposed Changes for Rural and Regional Urban Consortia Account

3.1. Background

AB 1665 was signed into law on October 15, 2017. This bill would revise the goal of the program to provide that its goal is to approve funding by December 31, 2022, for infrastructure projects that will provide broadband access to no less than 98% of California households in each consortia region, as identified by the commission on or before January 1, 2017.

AB 1665 makes the following changes to the Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account:

- Change Consortia eligibility and requires that monies in the Consortia Account are available for assisting infrastructure applicants in the project development or grant application process
- Require each consortium conduct an annual audit of its expenditures and submit to the Commission an annual report

3.2. Amount Available for Grants

The total Consortia program appropriation as authorized in AB 1665 is \$10 million. To date, the Consortia Account has \$967,372.00 remaining. This includes \$8,523,106 disbursed for the first solicitation process and \$4,611,114 approved for the second solicitation process.³¹ AB 1665 will supplement the existing \$15 million authorized for the consortia grants with an additional \$10 million.

Amount of Grant Funding Allocations

Consistent with Decision (D.) 11-06-038, Staff proposes that the Commission continue to award grants based only upon the budgeted level of program activities approved for each Consortium, subject to a maximum funding cap of \$150,000 per year per Consortium, plus \$10,000 (per consortium for up to 5 representatives) for attendance at one of the annual public workshops as required by AB 1665. The overall combined grant limits for all Los Angeles sub-regional consortia shall not exceed the established caps of \$150,000 per year and \$450,000 for three years. Where an application seeks multi-year funding, however, the application must still present separate year-by-year annual work plans and budgets. Given the program is operating with a limited amount of funding available, the Commission may award more grants of smaller amounts (proposals requesting less funding) and/or that offer services for less than three years. It is likely that awards will be less than the allowed maximum amounts in order to leverage available funding.

Any CASF grants awarded will be limited and apply only to activities and programs that are not already covered by funding from any other public or private sources.

³¹ AB 1262 (Stats. 2015, Ch. 242) redirected \$5 million from the CASF Revolving Loan Account to the Consortia Grant Account. This reflects the balance (net of funds awarded to grantees).

3.3. Definitions

“Unserved household” means a household for which no facility-based broadband provider offers broadband service at speeds of at least 6 megabits per second (mbps) downstream and one mbps upstream.

3.4. Account Objective and Activities

AB 1665 revised the objective of the Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account to facilitate deployment of broadband services by assisting infrastructure applicants in the project development or grant application process.³² The new legislation changed the core of consortia activities from promote “regionally appropriate and cost-effective broadband deployment, access, and adoption”³³ to facilitate deployment of broadband services by assisting infrastructure applicants in project development or grant application process.

Consistent with the revised objective, Staff proposes the Commission fund grantees for activities consistent with the statutory mandate specified in Pub. Util. Code, § 281:

- Collaborating with the Commission to engage regional consortia local officials, internet service providers (ISPs), stakeholders, and consumers regarding priority areas and cost-effective strategies to achieve the broadband access goal.
- Identifying potential CASF infrastructure projects, along with other opportunities, where providers can expand and improve their infrastructure and service offerings to achieve the goal of reaching 98% broadband deployment in each consortia region.
- Assisting infrastructure applicants in the project development or grant application process.

It is important to reiterate, “the California Emerging Technology Fund (CETF) partners or any other external Consortia grantees will have no formal role in the Commission’s review of CASF applications for infrastructure loans or grants. The CASF review and approval function must remain exclusively under Commission authority.”³⁴ While Consortia shall work with grant recipients and offer input on proposed CASF infrastructure grant projects, a Consortium grant shall not be used for construction of infrastructure facilities.

3.5. Eligible Applicants

Pub. Util. Code section 281 (g)(1) specifies consortium eligibility criteria which states:
Moneys in the Rural and Urban Regional Broadband
Consortia Grant Account shall be available for grants to

³² Pub. Util. Code, § 218(g)(1).

³³ D.11-06-038, p. 10.

³⁴ D.11-06-038, p. 12.

eligible consortia to facilitate deployment of broadband services by assisting infrastructure applicants in the project development or grant application process. An eligible consortium may include, as specified by the commission, representatives of organizations, including, but not limited to, local and regional government, public safety, elementary and secondary education, health care, libraries, postsecondary education, community-based organizations, tourism, parks and recreation, agricultural, business, workforce organizations, and air pollution control or air quality management districts, and is not required to have as its lead fiscal agent an entity with a certificate of public convenience and necessity.

SB 745 expanded the eligibility criteria for a consortium to include, air pollution control or air quality management districts.³⁵ The membership of consortia can be quite broad, encompassing the range of community interests that share the common purpose of promoting greater deployment and adoption of broadband.

With regards to new consortia applications, Staff recommends the Commission allow both existing and newly formed consortia to submit applications. Staff recommends that the Commission not predetermine or mandate the precise number of consortia to receive CASF grants; however, the Commission shall award CASF grants only to one consortium per geographic region. Staff proposes continuing to provide general standards and guidelines to govern the formation and membership of eligible consortia and the details regarding the membership of each consortium should be worked out within each consortia region.

3.6. Information Required from Applicants

AB 1665 does not contain provisions that substantively change information required from applicants except for the annual audit requirement; the following is consistent with D.11-06-038 and Resolution T-1775,³⁶ with some minor changes from recent Staff proposal.³⁷

Each Consortium application shall provide the following required information:

- A. Identification (i.e., name, contact information, etc.) of each Consortium member, including which, if any members are telecommunications carriers that are

³⁵ Senate Bill No. 745 - Approved by Governor on September 27, 2016. Pub. Util. Code, § 218 (g)(1), as of September 27, 2016.

³⁶ Resolution T-17575 Implementation of changes in the California Advanced Services Fund program enacted by Senate Bill 745, August 24, 2017, p. B-2.

³⁷ Supporting Materials for May 25 Communications Division Staff Workshop on CASF Reform, Communications Division, May 2017.

- certificated by or registered with the Commission, identifying their Utility Identification number in such instances.
- B. Background, description, and role of each member of the Consortium will play in the proposed Consortium project.
 - C. Governing board structure in place that provides for direct representation from affected cities, counties, and tribes; the application must describe the governing board structure.
 - D. Identification and description of the geographical regions/population groups/community interests to be covered by the proposed Consortium project to include a description of the area, maps, and list of Census Blocks (CBs).
 - E. Description of existing and past projects including: (1) budget, timelines, and funding source; (2) demonstration that there will be no overlap and/or duplication of such projects (i.e., provide description of geographic region served and geographic region that will be served, etc.); and (3) best practices learned from said projects.

Proposed Application Item 1 – Action and Work Plan Requirements: Each Consortium applicant must submit an Action Plan and Work Plan as part of the application. The Action Plan and the Work Plan will serve as the tools in the initial review of the applications.³⁸ The Action Plan is an outline of the Consortium’s priorities as they relate to the region’s needs for broadband deployment. The Work Plan should include more detailed functions and activities related to implementing the Action Plan. Given the updated CASF goal and revised Account objective, both the Action and Work Plans should align with the activities outlined in Section 3.4 Account Objective and Activities and Attachment 3.A.

The Action Plan and Work Plan documents are to be tailored to fit the needs of a given consortium region’s constituents and geography, incorporating core responsibilities, including goals, measurable deliverables, expected outcomes, and specific timeline milestones as they relate to broadband deployment.

Given the new consortia account objective, Staff recommends the Action Plan represent the viewpoints of its consensus of stakeholders and anchor institutions, and it should be aimed at increasing broadband deployment, specifically, assisting in infrastructure project applications and identifying priority areas and cost-effective solutions in the Consortium’s respective region. The Action Plan should reflect how the Consortium will carry out the identified activities the Commission will fund (Section 3.4 Account Objective and Activities), and should set forth overall Consortium program goals, outcomes, performance metrics, and strategies to accomplish said goals. Additionally, Staff recommends the Action Plan should broadly describe how the Consortium would

³⁸ Metrics is a measurement used to gauge quantifiable components of performance, e.g., survey of 150 community-based organizations, five project application meetings with local ISP, etc.

track and measure performance results with respect to broadband deployment goal of AB 1665.³⁹

The Work Plan is an expansion of the Action Plan that shows how each priority in the Action Plan will be carried out. The Work Plan should include more detailed functions, activities, and deliverables related to implementing the Action Plan. The Work Plan should include a timeline identifying milestone dates for completion of key Work Plan activities and deliverables proposed to be funded; the timeline should describe each of the monthly milestones, including performance metrics to be accomplished. The Work Plan should also explain how the performance results from the proposed functions and activities will be tracked and measured following milestone dates and/or completion of functions/activities/deliverables, as described in the Action Plan. The Work Plan should closely conform to the Account objective and activities, specifically, the Plan must demonstrate how many project applicants the consortium plans to assist. A Work Plan for each funding year is to be submitted, e.g., Work Plan Year 1, Work Plan Year 2, Work Plan Year 3. A sample Work Plan format is shown in Attachment3.C.

Proposed Application Item 2 – Annual Audit: AB 1665 contains a new requirement for each consortium to conduct an annual audit of its expenditures for programs funded and submit to the Commission an annual report that includes both of the following:

- A description of activities completed during the prior year, how each activity promotes the deployment of broadband services, and the cost associated with each activity.
- The number of project applications assisted.

Any functions and activities necessary for the preparation of the annual audit must be included in the Action and Work Plans. The cost of the annual audits must be included in the budget requirements. Staff asks parties to provide comments on the budget limits for the Annual Audit and whether the CASF should fund for such audit.

Proposed Application Item 3 – Budget Requirements: A proposed budget detailing the expected costs to be covered by the Work Plan including explanation of how any other costs related to the Work Plan, if any, will be funded, e.g., matching funds from other sources, is required as part of the application. The requested amount of consortia grant funds shall be based upon and consistent with the budget presented in the application. A yearly budget must be submitted for each funding year. A sample Budget format is included in Attachment D.

Each applicant’s proposed consortium budget must expressly exclude any costs for activities or programs within the consortia region that are separately funded from any other sources in order to ensure that CASF grants do not duplicate funding from any other sources. The proposed consortium budget must be accompanied by a description of

³⁹ “Supporting Materials for May 25 Communications Division Staff Workshop on CASF Reform,” Communications Division, May 2017, p. 11-14.

any existing broadband deployment activities funded by any other state or federal grants within the same region, together with confirmation showing that the CASF consortium budget does not duplicate any other sources of funding.

Proposed Application Item 4 – Assignment of a Fiscal Agent: Each regional Consortium must retain at least one Fiscal Agent with lead responsibility and legal authority to represent the Consortium for purposes of sponsoring the application, and for administration of Consortium activities, including receipt and disbursement of Consortium grant funds. In any event, the Fiscal Agent must affirmatively agree, on behalf of the Consortium, to comply with the Commission’s directives and conditions relating to the review, approval, and administration of any consortia application grants. This requirement is to provide assurance that Consortium members or contractors retained by the Consortium are capable and committed to delivering on the commitments to be funded.

The Fiscal Agent may be a local public institution e.g., city, county, academic institution, tribal government, etc., as defined under Section 50001 of the Government Code, or a town, as defined by Section 21 of the Government Code. The Fiscal Agent may also possibly be a certificated telecommunications carrier. The Fiscal Agent must submit a letter stating its commitment to act as a Fiscal Agent for the Consortium. The letter must include:

- The name and contact information of the responsible party within the agency, including the person responsible for the administrative tasks, if different.
- Affirmation that the work outlined in the Consortium Work Plan will be completed and verification by an Annual Audit instead of the previously required Attestation Report,⁴⁰ to be prepared by an independent, licensed Certified Public Accountant will be submitted annually to the Communications Division. The letter must also state the Consortium’s acceptance of the Fiscal Agent’s rights, duties, and responsibilities.

The Fiscal Agent shall comply with the all rules and requirements set forth in the adopted new rules, and the Resolution authoring the award, including but not limited to ensuring implementation of the approved Work Plan within the allocated budget (in conjunction with the consortium program manager), and shall be responsible for notifying Communications Division of any proposed changes to Action Plan, Work Plan or budget during the course of the grant cycle. Any changes to the substantive terms and conditions underlying Commission approval of the grant (e.g., changes to the Action Plan, Work Plan budget or designated Fiscal Agent, etc.) must be communicated in writing to the Director of Communications Division at least 30 days before the anticipated change, and may be subject to approval by either the Director or by Commission resolution before becoming effective.

Any subsequent change in the Fiscal Agent must first be approved by the Commission resolution.

⁴⁰ D.11-06-038, p. 26.

Proposed Application Item 5 – Affidavit of Application’s Truth and Accuracy: As part of the application, an applicant’s Fiscal Agent must sign an affidavit, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of their knowledge all the statements and representations made in the Consortium application are true and correct.⁴¹ A sample Affidavit form is provided in Attachment E.

If the Consortium fails to perform in good faith, or in accordance with the expectations set forth in its Action Plan, Work Plan or Consent Form, as affirmed in the affidavit, the Commission may withhold subsequent grant disbursements or suspend or terminate the Consortium grant, as warranted.

3.7. Scoring and Evaluation Criteria

An evaluation team comprised of Communications Division Staff will assess all completed applications in each of the following areas: (1) Regional Consortium Representation and Endorsements; (2) Regional Consortium /Members’ Experience; (3) Action Plan; (4) Work Plan; and (5) Budget.

Letters of endorsements should also be obtained from community-based organizations, schools, hospitals, libraries, businesses and consumers. Applicants will be objectively evaluated on how well they meet the goals of the CASF Consortia program. This scoring method will be used on all completed applications submitted by the given deadline. Judgment will be rendered in the form of a numerical score. All applicants will be assigned a score.

Staff recommends redistributing the weight of application scoring to favor deployment experience. The maximum points for Scoring Criterion, Regional Consortium's / Members Experience is 35 points, broken down into community organizing experience categories (20 points) and broadband adoption, access and deployment project experience categories (15 points). To emphasize the importance of broadband project experience, specifically related to deployment, the maximum points for broadband adoption, access and deployment project experience categories have been increased to 25 points and maximum points for community organizing experience categories have been decreased to 15 points, accordingly.

Those applicants who meet a minimum score of 70 points (out of a possible 100 points) will be considered for funding. Funding will be reserved to only one Regional Consortium per region. If said scoring criteria threshold is not met in any region(s), no award will be disbursed for said region(s) and as a result, a second application process for said region(s) will be required and announced to the CASF Distribution List and posted on the Commission website at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/Telco/Information+for+providing+service/CASFConsortiaGrant.htm>.

⁴¹ Rule 1.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Where multiple consortia apply for the same region only the applicant in a region who has the highest score will be considered for an award. The scoring criteria and the points allocated to each criterion are shown in Attachment G.

The Commission will issue approval of qualifying consortia applications, together with the grant amount per consortium, through a Commission resolution(s).

3.8. Submission and Timelines

Staff proposes the Commission begin accepting applications from consortia regions in which consortia grant programs have ended. Staff also recommends the Commission consider accepting application from existing consortia that voluntarily submit amended Action and Work Plans to conform with the revised Account objective and activities before the end of the existing grant cycle.

When the Commission is ready to begin issuing grants under the CASF Consortia program, a Notice will be sent out to members of CASF Distribution List to inform prospective applicants that the Staff is accepting proposals.

The consortia applications will not be formally filed with the Commission's Docket Office, but will instead be submitted through the procedures set forth below. Since these applications are not to be filed with the Commission's Docket Office, they will not be assigned formal proceeding number(s), but will be tracked separately by the Commission's Communications Division.

Completed applications for consortia grants must be submitted as follows:

1. Via an electronic format at the Commission's website at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/Telco/Information+for+providing+service/CASFConsortiaGrant.htm>; and
2. Via U.S. mail in the form of a paper copy mailed separately to the CPUC Communications Division, Attention: CASF Consortia Grant Account, 505 Van Ness Ave., Third Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Public Notice of Consortia Application Information

Communications Division will post a list of all pending applications and notices of amendments to pending applications on the CASF Consortia Grant Account webpage at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/Telco/Information+for+providing+service/CASFConsortiaGrant.htm>

Given that multiple applicants may potentially be competing for the same grant money, the Commission will not post the full contents of each application on the webpage. However, parties seeking to review the contents of a Consortium application may contact the respective Consortium to request an electronic or paper copy for review.

Forum to Seek Additional Assistance with Procedural Questions

A forum for submitting questions electronically via the e-mail addresses referenced below. Responses to inquiries will be posted on the CASF website. Accordingly, prospective applicants may contact the CASF Consortia Grant Administrator for questions on the application process at:

CASF_Consortia_Grant_Application_Questions@cpuc.ca.gov

And for Consortia Grant Account program questions at:

CASF_Consortia_Grant_Administrator@cpuc.ca.gov

3.9. Basis for Review and Award of Consortia Grants

Staff will assess all completed applications in each of the following areas: (1) Regional Consortium Representation and Endorsements; (2) Regional Consortium / Members' Experience; (3) Action Plan; (4) Work Plan; and (5) Budget. Applicants will be objectively evaluated on how well they meet the goals of the CASF Consortia program. This scoring method will be used on all completed applications submitted by the given deadline. Judgment will be rendered in the form of a numerical score. All applicants will be assigned a score. Those applicants who meet a minimum score of 70 points (out of a possible 100 points) will be considered for funding. Funding will be reserved to only one Regional Consortium per region.

If said scoring criteria threshold is not met in any region(s), no award will be disbursed for said region(s) and as a result, a second application process for said region(s) will be required and announced to the service list and posted on the Commission website.

Where multiple Consortia apply for the same region only the applicant in a region who has the highest score will be considered for an award. The scoring criteria and the points allocated to each criterion are shown in Attachment G.

The Commission will issue approval of qualifying Consortia applications, together with the grant amount per Consortium, through a Commission resolution(s).

The Commission's grant of any award is subject to satisfaction of the conditions set forth in the decision adopting this proposal, and any additional conditions that may be specified in the Commission resolution approving a grant. Each grant is made expressly only to the Consortia grantee as identified in the Commission resolution. The Consortia grantee may not assign the project in whole or in part, except as expressly provided by the Commission's approval.

3.10. Public Workshop

AB 1665 directs the Commission to consult with regional consortia, stakeholders, local governments, existing facility-based broadband providers, and consumers regarding unserved areas and cost-effective strategies to achieve the broadband access goal through public workshops at least annually no later than April 30 of each year through year

2022.⁴² Staff recommends all consortia receiving CASF grants to attend the at least one of the annual public workshops to be conducted by Communications Division.

Staff recommends that the Commission use the same criteria for Annual Summits set forth in D.11-06-038 for public workshop reimbursement. Consortia may claim reimbursement for travel expenses and per diem costs associated with each public workshop hosted by Staff. The maximum reimbursement allowable is \$2,000 per person for up to five delegates for each workshop, for a total of up to \$10,000 per consortium.

3.11. Reporting

Progress Report

D.11-06-038 requires consortia to submit quarterly progress reports in order to receive progress payment. Staff proposes a new reporting and payment schedule on a bi-annual basis instead of quarterly. This proposal is intended to decrease the of frequency report preparation by consortia and review by Staff. Staff asks parties to provide comments on other advantages and drawbacks of bi-annual and quarterly reporting.

A sample Progress Report template is included as Attachment I. Progress Reports must be based upon the approved Action Plan, Work Plan, Consent Form, timelines, milestones, and costs identified in the application. Further, the Progress Report must indicate the actual date of completion for each task/milestone as well as problems/issues encountered and the actions taken to resolve these problems/issues. The Progress Report must be submitted and certified under penalty of perjury by using the Progress Report and Payment Request Transmittal Letter and Declaration.⁴³ All grantees must submit progress reports on the status of the project irrespective of whether progress payment is requested.

In order to receive progress payment, each consortium must submit a Progress Report to Staff together with a Progress Payment Request supported by relevant documentation as explained in Section 3.13 Payment.

3.12. Oversight of Consortia Activities Subsequent to Grant Approval

Staff is authorized to implement administrative controls necessary to assure that funds disbursed to a Consortium are administered efficiently and cost-effectively consistent with the stated purposes and objectives for which the funds are to be used. Staff and the CASF Consortia grantee will determine the project start date. No payment will be issued for the Consortium's activities until a start date has been established and until Staff receives a signed Consent Form.

Audit

⁴² Pub. Util. Code, § 218 (f)(2).

⁴³ See Consortia website <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/casf/> or Consortia Administrative Manual.

Grant funds will be disbursed in accordance with, and within the time specified in, California Government Code Section 927. Staff has the authority to initiate any necessary audit, verification, and discovery of Consortium members relating to grant funding activities to ensure that CASF Consortia grant funds are spent in accordance with the adopted rules and standards for the Account. Each Consortia grantee shall maintain books, records, documents and other evidence sufficient to substantiate expenditures covered by the grant, according to generally accepted accounting practices. Each Consortia grantee shall make these records available to the Commission upon request and agrees that these records are subject to a financial audit by the Commission at any time within three years after the Grantee incurred the expense being audited. A Consortia grantee shall provide access to the Commission upon 24 hour notice to evaluate work completed or being performed pursuant to the grant.

Each Consortia grantee must use the grant funds solely for the approved project and must complete the project in accordance with and within the project performance period set forth in the Commission-approved Action Plan and Work Plan, see Section 3.14 Execution and Performance on changes to the substantive terms and conditions underlying Commission approval. Grantee's performance and completion of the project must comply with all applicable laws and regulations. The Progress Report must present the results of performance metrics. Grantees must notify Communications Division as soon as they become aware that they may not be able to meet performance metrics set forth in the Action and Work Plans. Any changes to the substantive terms and conditions underlying Commission approval of the grant (e.g., changes to the Action Plan, Work Plan budget or designated Fiscal Agent, etc.) must be communicated in writing to the Director of Communications Division at least 30 days before the anticipated change, and may be subject to approval by either the Director or by Commission resolution before becoming effective

Lobbying and Advocacy Efforts

Consortia grantees are free to advocate on any legislation of their choosing. However, no work on proposed state legislation including meetings, travel, or lobbying may be billed to or reimbursed by the CASF Consortia Grant program.

3.13. Payment

The disbursement of funds at any time is subject to Commission discretion, including a review-and-approval process of each grantee through regular site visits, progress reports on a quarterly basis or as needed, supporting invoices and receipts, and execution of and compliance with a signed Consent Form. A sample Consent Form is included as Attachment H. Prior to the release of any CASF Consortia Grant Account funds, the Grantee must complete and execute the Consent Form and return it to the Communications Division. The Consent Form binds the Grantee to the terms, conditions, and requirements of both the Decision and the resolution awarding the grant.

Disbursement of Grant Funds

The Commission's Fiscal Office is directed to administer all accounting and record keeping necessary for the Commission to oversee consortia grant funds. Once an

application for a grant is approved, actual disbursements will be made in the form of progress payments to the Fiscal Agent. All requests for progress payments and reimbursements must be supported by documentation, e.g., receipts, invoices, quotes, etc.

The Consortia grantee may request reimbursement of start-up costs equivalent to a maximum of 10% of the total award. Such payment requests must be supported by documentation, e.g., receipts, invoices, quotes, etc. Start-up costs include administrative expenses, e.g., rental of building, hiring of personnel, purchase of office supplies, etc. Subsequent disbursements are on a progress report-review basis.

In order to receive a progress payment, the Consortium must first submit the Progress Report to the Communications Division, together with all requests for payment and reimbursement supported by relevant invoices receipts, etc. A sample of a Progress Report is included in Attachment I. Progress Reports shall be based upon the approved Action Plan, Work Plan, Consent Form, timelines, milestones, and costs identified in the application. Further, the Progress Report shall indicate the actual date of completion of each task/milestone as well as problems/issues encountered and the actions taken to resolve these problems/issues. The Progress Report will be submitted and certified under penalty of perjury. As noted above, all requests for payment and reimbursement must be supported by appropriate supporting documentation, e.g., receipts, invoices, quotes. Staff understands that some consortia cannot wait months to receive payments; therefore, Staff requests comments on the frequency of payment requests.

All performance specified under the terms of any award must be completed on or before the termination date of the award, as per the signed Consent Form. A project completion report will be required before full payment showing that all activities in the Work Plan have been accomplished. The final disbursement will be equal to the outstanding balance due under the Consortium grant or actual expenditures, whichever is less. The grantee's final payment report, including all documentation and receipts, should be submitted no later than 60 days after project completion.

3.14. Distinguishing CASF from other Financial Resources

D.11-06-038 requires that the consortium take steps to ensure that that CASF grant budget does not duplicate funding from other non-Consortia Account funding.⁴⁴ Staff proposes to retain this requirement. All grantees must ensure that only CASF-approved activities will be billed to the Consortia Account, and activities obligated to other funding resources will not be billed to the CASF Consortia Account.

⁴⁴ D.11-06-038, Ordering Paragraph 11, p. 40, "Any proposed consortium budget must expressly exclude any costs for activities or programs within the consortia region that are separately funded from any other sources in order to ensure that California Advanced Services Fund grants do not duplicate funding from any other sources."

Prior to initiation of Work Plan activities, the Fiscal Agents for the Consortia must communicate to Staff how they will ensure that billing to discrete funding sources does not overlap. Any proposed consortium budget must expressly exclude any costs for activities or programs within the consortia region that are separately funded from any other sources in order to ensure that California Advanced Services Fund grants do not duplicate funding from any other sources.⁴⁵

3.15. Execution and Performance

Staff will coordinate start dates with each consortium grantee. By receiving a CASF Consortia grant, the grantee agrees to comply with the terms, conditions, and requirements of the grant and thus submits to the jurisdiction of the Commission with regard to disbursement and administration of the grant.

Should the Consortia grantee or its contractor fail to commence work at the agreed upon time, the Commission, upon ten business days written notice to the Consortia grantee, may terminate the award.

In the event that the Consortia grantee fails to complete the project, in accordance with the terms of approval granted by the Commission, the grantee will be required to reimburse some or all of the CASF Consortia Grant Account funds that it has received.

If the Consortia grantee fails to perform in good faith, or in accordance with the expectations set forth in its Action Plan, Work Plan and Consent Form, as affirmed in the affidavit, the Commission may withhold subsequent grant disbursement or suspend or terminate the Consortia grant, as warranted.

Any changes to the substantive terms and conditions underlying Commission approval of the Consortium grant (e.g., changes to Action Plan, Work Plan, budget or designated Fiscal Agent, etc.) must be communicated in writing to the Communications Division Director at least 30 days before the anticipated change, and may be subject to approval by either the Director or by Commission resolution before becoming effective.

3.16. Attachments 3.A Through 3.I

To assist prospective applicants for Consortia grants in preparing their requests in a manner that meets Commission requirements, Staff has provided a series of sample attachments that illustrate various key informational requirements and documents that must be properly completed with the application in order to be considered for approval. The purpose and contents of each of these forms and information requirements is discussed in detail below. The attachments provided are summarized as follows:

- A. Action Plan and Work Plan Contents
- B. Sample of Action Plan Format
- C. Sample of Work Plan Format

⁴⁵ Ibid.

R.12-10-012 MGA/eg3

- D. Requested Budget
- E. Affidavit Form
- F. CASF Consortia Application Checklist
- G. Consortium Scoring Criteria
- H. Consent Form
- I. Sample of Progress Report Format

ATTACHMENT 3.A

Action Plan and Work Plan Contents

The Action Plan and Work Plan are to be tailored to fit the needs of a given region's constituents and geography, incorporating core responsibilities, including goals, measurable deliverables, expected outcomes, and specific timeline milestones as they relate to broadband deployment, access, and adoption. Below are required core responsibilities that should be included and reflected in an Action Plan. Following the Action Plan is a list of activities that should be included in a Work Plan. The Work Plan should include all activities designed to implement the Action Plan goals. Sample forms illustrating the format of an Action Plan and Work Plan are included as Attachments B and C.

Action Plan Core Responsibilities that should be included:

- Broadband deployment
 - Conduct open information briefings for stakeholders and providers about CASF.
 - Identify and convene interested parties to discuss options and facilitate the thorough preparation and submission of infrastructure applications and to explore opportunities for coordinating use of community resources to achieve the most cost-effective proposals where most needed.
 - Recommend prioritization of broadband infrastructure projects.
 - Facilitate open party meetings to encourage area-specific proposals and to promote cooperation with public-private entities in application preparation.
 - Provide regional data and information to all interested applicants.
 - Work with public agencies and private-sector industry clusters (and prospective customers) to facilitate interaction between them and CASF applicants.
 - Provide input on applications submitted to CASF from the region in the course of assessment of the infrastructure grant projects.
 - Identify opportunities and top priorities for application of broadband infrastructure and deployment through research, analyses, and recommendations on cost-effective projects within their regions.
- Priority Analysis
 - Collaborate with the Commission to engage regional consortia local officials, internet service providers (ISPs), stakeholders, and consumers regarding priority areas and cost-effective strategies to achieve the broadband goal.
 - Utilize Commission provided tools (maps, region specific brochures, speed test. etc.) to conduct engagement.

Work Plan activities designed to implement the Action Plan goals that should be included:

- Activities to assist in developing cost-effective CASF infrastructure grant applications, e.g. gathering market data and undertaking of studies to identify opportunities and priorities for application of broadband deployment.

- Activities to assist in collaborative engagement with the Commission to identify priority areas and cost-effective strategies for broadband deployment.
- Studies to identify performance metrics, looking at actual performance versus potential performance, e.g. addressing the questions of “Where are we” and “Where do we want to be.”
- Contracting with technical consultants (if applicable).

ATTACHMENT 3.B

Sample of Action Plan Format
[Name of Regional Consortium]
[Name of Project]
ACTION PLAN
---EXAMPLE SECTIONS---

- I. Executive Summary
 - An overview of your consortium
 - An overview of your project
 - Summarize your key points

- II. Vision Statement
 - A picture of your consortium in the future
 - Your framework for strategic planning

- III. Background
 - History of your consortium
 - Inception of your project
 - Importance of your project

- IV. Organizational Structure
 - Design of your consortium's allocation of authority, roles and responsibilities, communications (how information flows between different levels), etc.

- V. Activities
 - A description of your consortium's actions in achieving goals and objectives

- VI. Investment Strategy
 - A description of the ways in which your consortium will maximize investments

- VII. Broadband Deployment
 - How will your consortium achieve broadband deployment (assist in broadband infrastructure projects)
 - How will your consortium identify priority areas and cost-effective solutions through engagement
 - How will your consortium track and measure the achievements

- VIII. Budget and Expenditures
 - Include itemization of budget items and expenditures including documentation (receipt, invoices, quotes, etc.)

- IX. Next Steps
 - Your consortium's project plans and activities in the future (e.g., what does your consortium plan to accomplish within the next three-to-five years)

- X. Appendix

ATTACHMENT 3.C

Sample of Work Plan Format
[Name of Regional Consortium]
[Name of Project]

WORK PLAN – [MONTH, YEAR]

Activity(ies)	Timeline	Responsible Party(ies)	Performance Measure(s)
Meet with potential infrastructure applicant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss application filing • Collect information to track performance metrics 	1/1/18 – 12/21/18 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Convene meetings: 1/9/18-12/15/18 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joseph Lee, Community Relations Manager • Stephanie Singh, Marketing Director 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of applications • Number of meetings with applicants • Conduct two meetings per month • Conduct one conference call per week to accomplish X

ATTACHMENT 3.D

PROPOSED BUDGET									
Name of Consortium:									
Requested Budget Total:									
Requested Budget Year 1, Fiscal Year:									
Budget Line Item	List/describe activities in the Work Plan. Insert more columns and rows as needed								Amount Funded by CASF
	Activity 1	Activity 2	Activity 3	Activity 4	Activity 5	Activity 6	Activity 7	Activity 8	
Personnel/ Staff Compensation inclusive of all benefits, payroll taxes, contributions, etc.¹									
Subtotal									
Travel									
Subtotal									
Equipment hardware software									
Subtotal									

Office Supplies/Printing/ Postage																				
Subtotal																				
Training/ Educational Supplies																				
Subtotal																				
Advertising/ Promotion																				
Subtotal																				
Conferences/ meetings/ Conventions																				
Subtotal																				
Consultants																				
Subtotal																				
Consortium Overhead/ Indirect Costs																				

Subtotal																					
Other Expenses																					
Subtotal																					
TOTAL																					
	1. Attach personnel names, titles, and position descriptions.																				

ATTACHMENT 3.E

AFFIDAVIT FORM

Name of Regional Consortium (Consortium): _____
My name is _____. I am _____ [Title]
of _____ [Name of local agency/town acting as Fiscal Agent],
which is the Fiscal Agent for _____ [Name of Consortium].

Pursuant to Rule 1.11 of the California Public Utilities Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, I am an officer, agent, or employee of _____
[Name of local agency/town acting as Fiscal Agent].

I swear or affirm that I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Application for Consortium Grant Account funding under the provisions of the California Advanced Services Fund, I am competent to testify to them, and I have the authority to make this Application on behalf of and to bind the Consortium.

I further swear or affirm that _____ [Name of Consortium] agrees to comply with all federal and state statutes, rules, and regulations covering broadband services and state contractual rules and regulations, if granted Consortium Grant Account funding from the California Advanced Services Fund.

I further swear or affirm that _____ [Name of Consortium] agrees to comply with the terms, conditions, and requirements of the grant and thus submits to the jurisdiction of the Commission with regard to disbursement and administration of the grant if granted Consortium Grant Account funding from the California Advanced Services Fund.

I further swear or affirm that no member, officer, director, or partner of _____ [Name of Consortium or its Fiscal Agent] has: 1) filed for bankruptcy; 2) was sanctioned by the Federal Communications Commission or any state regulatory agency for failure to comply with any regulatory statute, rule, or order; nor 3) has been found either civilly or criminally liable by a court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation of Section 1700 et. seq. of the California Business and Professions Code, or for any action which involved misrepresentation to consumers, nor is currently under investigation for similar violations.

I swear or affirm, under penalty of perjury, and under Rule 1.1 of the California Public Utilities Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, that, to the best of my knowledge, all of the statements and representations made in this Application are true and correct.

Signature and Title

Type or Print Name and Title

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me on the _____ day of _____, 20____.

Notary Public In and For the State of: _____

My Commission expires: _____

ATTACHMENT 3.F

CASF CONSORTIA APPLICATION CHECKLIST

(Required for application)

To assist the Commission in verifying the completeness of your application, mark the box to the left of each item submitted with your application. Any unchecked items will automatically result in the disqualification of your proposal.

	1.	Name of Applicant
	2.	Key Contact Information
		First Name
		Last Name
		Address Line 1
		Address Line 2
		City
		State
		ZIP Code
		Email Address
		Phone Number
	3.	Name of Fiscal Agent
		Letter from a public institution, e.g. city, county, academic institution, tribal government, etc., stating its willingness to act as a Fiscal Agent for the community including an understanding of the rights, duties, and responsibilities of the Fiscal Agent
		First Name
		Last Name
		Address Line 1

		Address Line 2
		City
		State
		ZIP Code
		Email Address
		Phone Number
		Contact Person
		First Name
		Last Name
		Address Line 1
		Address Line 2
		City
		State
		ZIP Code
		Email Address
		Phone Number
	4.	Consortium Members (to be provided for each consortium member)
		Phone Number
		Address Line 1
		Address line 2
		City
		State
		ZIP Code

		Contact Person
		First Name
		Last Name
		Address Line 1
		Address Line 2
		City
		State
		ZIP Code
		Email Address
		Phone Number
	5.	Governing Board Structure
	6.	Description of Geographical Region, e.g. maps, Census Block Groups, and ZIP Codes
	7.	Proposed Broadband Project Description
	8.	Endorsements from regional government entities, e.g. county boards of supervisors, etc., which demonstrate substantial support for consortium by letters and/or resolutions
	9.	Endorsements from public, non-profit, and/or for-profit organizations, e.g. community-based organizations, associations, schools, health care organizations, libraries, businesses, consumers, etc., which demonstrate substantial support for consortium by letters and/or resolutions
	10.	Action Plan
	11.	Work Plan
		Work Plan Year 1
		Work Plan Year 2
		Work Plan Year 3
	12.	Proposed Budget

		Expected cost breakdown based on Work Plan with explanation of source of matching funds
		Budget Year 1
		Budget Year 2
		Budget Year 3
	13.	Notarized Affidavit [Attachment E to be signed by Fiscal Agent)

ATTACHMENT 3.G

CASF Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account

Consortium Scoring Criteria

– Total Maximum Points Available: 100 –

– Minimum Points Needed To Be Considered For Funding: 70 –

<u>Criterion</u>	<u>Maximum Points</u>
1. Regional Consortium Representation and Endorsements	15
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Consortium is representative of organizations, including, but not limited to, local and regional government, public safety, K-12 education, health care, libraries, higher education, community-based organizations, tourism, parks and recreation, agricultural, and business (up to 10 points)• Endorsed by regional government entities (such as City and county government), and non-profit/for-profit organizations (such as community-based organizations, associations, schools, health care organizations, libraries, businesses, consumers, etc.) by letters or resolutions (up to 5 points)	
2. Regional Consortium's / Members Experience	35
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Prior successes in achieving broadband deployment (up to 15 points)• Demonstrated success building regional, multi-party collaborative efforts focused on broadband or broadband-related issues that achieved results (up to 5 points)• Experience managing the deployment of broadband services (if using a contractor to deploy or operate the broadband facilities, demonstrated experience of consortium members managing contractors) (up to 10 points)	

- Proven track record of working successfully with culturally and linguistically diverse communities (up to 10 points)

3. Action Plan **20**

- Submission of a completed, detailed Action Plan that includes program goals, deliverables, expected outcomes, timelines, track and measure performance metrics, strategies to accomplish said goals, and core responsibilities as listed in Attachment A
(up to 20 points)

4. Work Plan **20**

- Submission of a completed, detailed Work Plan that includes timelines and activities designed to implement the Action Plan as listed in Attachment A
(up to 20 points)

5. Budget **10**

- A budget that is clear, detailed, comprehensive, cost-effective and easily traceable to the goals and activities referenced in the Action Plan and Work Plan
(up to 10 points)

ATTACHMENT 3.H

CONSENT FORM

Name of Regional Consortium (Consortium):

Members of Consortium:

(include additional pages if necessary)

Commission Resolution awarding grant from the California Advanced Services Fund (CASF) Rural and Urban Regional Consortia Grant Account (Consortia Grant Account): Resolution T-_____, dated _____, 20__.

The Consortium identified above hereby agrees to comply with all grant terms, conditions, and requirements set forth in Commission Decision _____ and Commission Resolution T-_____.

Undersigned representative of _____ [Name of Member of Consortium] is duly authorized to execute this Consent Form on behalf of the Consortium and to bind the Consortium to the terms, conditions, and requirements set forth in Commission Decision _____ and Commission Resolution T-_____.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20__.

Signature

Printed Name

Title

Organization (Name of Member of Consortium)

Business Address (include street address, suite/apt. number, city, state, and ZIP Code)

Telephone Number (include area code)

Email Address

ATTACHMENT 3.I

Sample of Progress Report Format

[Name of Regional Consortium]
[Name of Project]

PROGRESS REPORT

Start Date: ____/____/2018
Date Report Submitted: ____/____/2018

Goals/ Objectives (as stated in the Action Plan)	Activity(ies) (as stated in the Work Plan)	Performance Measures	Estimated Completi on Date	Revised Estimated Completion Date	Date Completed	Actual Performance Results	Comments (e.g. reason why actual results not meeting planned performance measures)
Goal A	Preparation of infrastructure application	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conducted four (4) meetings with XX (list names of providers) Assisted with XX infrastructure applications (list name of applicants) 	2/14/18		2/14/18	2 meetings conducted with XX (list names of provider) 3 infrastructure applications submitted by XX	Reason why performance measure was not met